

Analisis mengenai penambahan biaya akibat dari pemotongan lantai berdasarkan peraturan daerah : studi kasus: pengenaan biaya tambahan Rp. 25.000.000,00 pada Rusunami Menara Kebon Jeruk = Analysis on increased expense due to floor reduction based on the regional regulation: a case study of Rp. 25.000.000,00 additional cost assessment at low income housing Menara Kebon Jeruk / Nessya Chandra

Nessya Chandra, author

Deskripsi Lengkap: <https://lib.ui.ac.id/detail?id=20329248&lokasi=lokal>

Abstrak

**ABSTRAK
**

Program pemerintah yaitu gerakan nasional pembangunan seribu menara rumah susun. Rusunami diberikan berdasarkan peraturan menteri negara perumahan rakyat nomor 7 tahun 2007 yaitu pengelompokkan masyarakat berpenghasilan menengah kebawah. PPJB rusunami menara kebon jeruk telah ditanda tangani oleh para pihak selanjutnya pengenaan biaya tambahan berdasarkan peraturan gubernur provinsi DKI Jakarta nomor 136 tahun 2007 dengan peraturan gubernur provinsi DKI Jakarta nomor 27 tahun 2009.

Berdasarkan data bahwa rusunami menara kebon jeruk tidak ada pemotongan KLB, sehingga yang menjadi penambahan biaya tidak benar. Konsumen dilindungi oleh undang-undang perlindungan konsumen nomor 8 tahun tahun 1999. PPJB mempunyai kekuatan mengikat karena hal yang disetujui oleh para pihak.

<hr>

**ABSTRACT
**

In a government's national program which is a 1000 high-rise towers national construction movement. The low income housing is given based on the Country's Minister Regulation of Society's Residence No. 7 year 2007. It states that there is a grouping for those whose income is between low until middle level. PPJB Kebon Jeruk Tower low income housing has been signed by all parties. Afterwards, there is an additional cost expense because there is a floor reduction or permission of Coefficient Building's Width Surface based on Governor of DKI Jakarta Province regulation no. 136 year 2007 which is renewed with Governor of Dki Jakarta Province no. 27 year 2009. According to the obtained data, there is no KLB reduction at Kebon Jeruk Tower low income housing. Therefore, an additional cost expense is mistaken. Upon that act, consumers are protected by Consumer's Protection Regulation no. 8 year 1999 due to the incorrect information. PPJB has a mandatory power because it has been accepted by entire parties as a former agreement.