Universitas Indonesia Library >> UI - Makalah dan Kertas Kerja

Analisa perbandingan isi liputan media mainstream dan alternatif pada pemboman Rumah Sakit MSF di Kunduz, Afghnistan = Comparative content analysis of Mainstream and alternative news media coverage on doctor without border/ Médecins Sans Frontières Hospital Bombing.

Fikri Fathurahman Zikri, author

Deskripsi Lengkap: https://lib.ui.ac.id/detail?id=20424197&lokasi=lokal

Abstrak

b>ABSTRAK

 Artikel ini membahas hubungan antara framing media dan bagaimana media mainstream dan alternative

menggambarkan masalah pemboman Rumah Sakit MSF di Kunduz, Afghanistan. Teori-teori ilmu komunikasi

digunakan dalam analisa perbandingan isi artikel-artikel media mainstream dan media alternative dalam waktu satu

bulan setelah pemboman Rumah Sakit MSF. Penelitian ini menemukan bahwa kedua media mainstream dan alternative menggambarkan perspektif yang berbeda pada masalah yang sama. Media mainstream menggambarkan

pemboman sebagai kerusakan tambahan akibat penyerangan terhadap tentara Taliban dan sebuah kesalahan yang

mendorong Militer Amerika Serikat untuk melakukan investigasi sendiri yang akan berjalan dengan transparan.

Namun, media alternative menggambarkan peristiwa sebagai pembantaian yang dilakukan dengan sengaja. Selain

perbedaan pada isi artikel, perbedaan juga terlihat pada bagaimana kedua media menulis artikel mereka. Artikel

media mainstream tidak terlihat bias dengan menghindari kata-kata sifat dan tidak terdapat pendapat atau argumentasi penulis , sedangkan dalam artikel media alternative terlihat sangat bias dengan banyaknya kata-kata

sifat dan pendapat atau argumentasi penulis.

<bs/>

This article examines the relationship between media framing and the way both mainstream and alternative media

portray MSF Hospital bombing issue. Communication theories are used in a comparative content analysis that

examines the articles written by mainstream and alternative media sources within a month after MSF Hospital bombing. The research finds that both mainstream and alternative media portray different perspectives on a similar

issue. Mainstream media portrays the bombing as a collateral damage and a mistake, and toward this mistake U.S.

will do their own investigation that will run unbiased and transparent. However, alternative media portrays the

event as a massacre that is done intentionally. Moreover, the way they construct their articles are different. Mainstream media article stay away from bias by avoiding any adjective words and not including author opinion or

argumentation, while in alternative media article, there are a lot of adjective words and author opinion or argumentation.; This article examines the relationship between media framing and the way both mainstream and alternative media

portray MSF Hospital bombing issue. Communication theories are used in a comparative content analysis that

examines the articles written by mainstream and alternative media sources within a month after MSF Hospital bombing. The research finds that both mainstream and alternative media portray different perspectives on a similar

issue. Mainstream media portrays the bombing as a collateral damage and a mistake, and toward this mistake U.S.

will do their own investigation that will run unbiased and transparent. However, alternative media portrays the

event as a massacre that is done intentionally. Moreover, the way they construct their articles are different. Mainstream media article stay away from bias by avoiding any adjective words and not including author opinion or

argumentation, while in alternative media article, there are a lot of adjective words and author opinion or argumentation.; This article examines the relationship between media framing and the way both mainstream and alternative media

portray MSF Hospital bombing issue. Communication theories are used in a comparative content analysis that

examines the articles written by mainstream and alternative media sources within a month after MSF Hospital bombing. The research finds that both mainstream and alternative media portray different perspectives on a similar

issue. Mainstream media portrays the bombing as a collateral damage and a mistake, and toward this mistake U.S.

will do their own investigation that will run unbiased and transparent. However, alternative media portrays the

event as a massacre that is done intentionally. Moreover, the way they construct their articles are different. Mainstream media article stay away from bias by avoiding any adjective words and not including author opinion or

argumentation, while in alternative media article, there are a lot of adjective words and author opinion or argumentation.; This article examines the relationship between media framing and the way both mainstream and alternative media

portray MSF Hospital bombing issue. Communication theories are used in a comparative content analysis that

examines the articles written by mainstream and alternative media sources within a month after MSF Hospital bombing. The research finds that both mainstream and alternative media portray different perspectives on a similar

issue. Mainstream media portrays the bombing as a collateral damage and a mistake, and toward this

mistake U.S.

will do their own investigation that will run unbiased and transparent. However, alternative media portrays the

event as a massacre that is done intentionally. Moreover, the way they construct their articles are different. Mainstream media article stay away from bias by avoiding any adjective words and not including author opinion or

argumentation, while in alternative media article, there are a lot of adjective words and author opinion or argumentation.