

April 2009

**Yth, Bapak / Ibu / Karyawan / Karyawati**  
**Kantor Imigrasi Klas I Khusus Soekarno Hatta,**  
Di Jakarta

Hal : Permohonan Partisipasi Untuk Mengisi Kuesioner

Bersama ini, dengan hormat, kami sampaikan angket (kuesioner) untuk penelitian yang berjudul “Faktor-Faktor Penyebab Munculnya Kesenjangan Penyelenggaraan Pelayanan Izin Tinggal Terbatas Bagi Warga Negara Asing Di Kantor Imigrasi Klas I Khusus Soekarno - Hatta.” Kuesioner ini merupakan bagian dari proses penyusunan tesis yang menjadi salah satu syarat untuk menyelesaikan program pasca sarjana di Fisip Universitas Indonesia.

Untuk keperluan tersebut saya mohon Bapak / Ibu dapat memberi tanggapan dengan menjawab seluruh pertanyaan. Petunjuk pengisian / jawaban kuesioner tersaji bersama lampiran kuesioner.

Kuesioner ini tidak ada kaitan dengan pekerjaan dan jabatan karena ditujukan untuk keperluan ilmiah, sehingga tidak perlu mencantumkan nama. Kerahasiaan Bapak / Ibu sebagai responden terjamin.

Atas perhatian dan partisipasi bapak / ibu pada penelitian ini diucapkan terimakasih dan penghargaan setinggi-tingginya.

Hormat Kami,

R.A FATIMAH  
Peneliti

## KUESIONER

### A. IDENTITAS RESPONDEN (PEGAWAI PELAYANAN DI KANTOR IMIGRASI KLAS I KHUSUS SOEKARNO-HATTA)

Berilah Tanda Silang (X) Pada Jawaban Yang Sesuai.

1 PENDIDIKAN TERAKHIR	
1	SLTP
2	SLTA
3	D3
4	S1
5	S2
6	S3
2 MASA KERJA	
1	0 – 5 tahun
2	6 – 10 tahun
3	11 – 15 tahun
4	15 – 20 tahun
5	Diatas 21 tahun

Mohon diisi kursus atau pelatihan yang pernah diikuti.

	PELATIHAN ATAU DIKLAT YANG PERNAH DIIKUTI	LAMANYA
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		

**B. PERNYATAAN RESPONDEN (PEGAWAI PELAYANAN DI KANTOR IMIGRASI KLAS I KHUSUS SOEKARNO-HATTA)**

Petunjuk : berikut ini sejumlah peryataan yang dimaksudkan untuk mengukur persepsi anda tentang operasional pelayanan Izin tinggal terbatas (KITAS) di Kantor Imigrasi Klas I Khusus Soekarno Hatta. Mohon tandai tingkat yang anda setujui atau tidak anda setujui dengan melingkari satu dari lima angka disebelah kanan pernyataan. **Bila anda sangat tidak setuju (STS) lingkari 1, lingkari angka 2 bila anda tidak setuju (TS), lingkari 3 bila anda ragu-ragu (RR), lingkari 4 bila anda setuju (S), lingkari 5 bila anda sangat setuju(SS).**

PERTANYAAN / PERNYATAAN	STS	TS	RR	S	SS
<b>KERJASAMA TIM (TEAM WORK)</b>					
1 Pegawai merasa menjadi bagian dari tim dalam pelayanan di Kantor Imigrasi.	1	2	3	4	5
2 Setiap pegawai dalam Kantor Imigrasi selalu memberi dukungan kepada tim dalam melayani pemohon.	1	2	3	4	5
3 Setiap pegawai selalu merasa bertanggung-jawab untuk membantu rekan sejawat melakukan tugas mereka dengan baik.	1	2	3	4	5
4 Selama ini tidak timbul hambatan pada proses pekerjaan yang memerlukan kerjasama antar pegawai.	1	2	3	4	5
5 Pegawai merasa menjadi salah satu anggota yang penting dari Kantor Imigrasi.	1	2	3	4	5
<b>KESESUAIAN PEGAWAI-PEKERJAAN (EMPLOYEE-JOB FIT)</b>					
6 Para pegawai sudah memahami perincian tugas-tugas yang harus dikerjakan.	1	2	3	4	5
7 Kantor Imigrasi menugaskan para pegawai yang memenuhi syarat untuk melaksanakan tugasnya.	1	2	3	4	5
8 Selama ini, tugas-tugas yang dikerjakan sesuai dengan pengetahuan yang telah dimiliki pegawai	1	2	3	4	5
9 Selama ini, tugas-tugas yang dikerjakan sesuai dengan ketrampilan yang telah dimiliki pegawai	1	2	3	4	5
10 Pegawai merasa nyaman dalam pekerjaannya karena selalu mampu melaksanakan pekerjaan dengan baik.	1	2	3	4	5

<b>KESESUAIAN TEKNOLOGI – PEKERJAAN (TECHNOLOGY-JOB FIT)</b>						
11 Pegawai telah diberikan peralatan dan perlengkapan yang diperlukan pegawai untuk menjalankan tugas.	1	2	3	4	5	
12 Alat yang telah diterapkan dalam sistem pelayanan di Kantor Imigrasi selalu dapat diandalkan (selalu berfungsi dengan normal).	1	2	3	4	5	
13 Alat yang dipergunakan mudah dioperasikan pegawai sehingga tidak ada hambatan pelayanan karena penggunaan teknologi.	1	2	3	4	5	
14 Sudah ada solusi yang baku agar pemohon tidak dirugikan apabila timbul permasalahan pada alat yang dipergunakan.	1	2	3	4	5	
<b>KONTROL YANG DIPERSEPSIKAN (PERCEIVED CONTROL)</b>						
15 Selama ini, pegawai memerlukan banyak waktu dalam memecahkan masalah kerja dengan sedikit pengawasan.	1	2	3	4	5	
16 Selama ini pegawai memiliki kewenangan yang mencukupi dalam pekerjaan untuk memuaskan kebutuhan pemohon.	1	2	3	4	5	
17 Kadang-kadang pegawai merasa kehilangan kontrol atas pekerjaannya karena terlalu banyak permintaan pelayanan dari pemohon pada waktu yang bersamaan.	1	2	3	4	5	
18 Selama ini, salah satu kesulitan dalam kerja adalah kadang-kadang harus bergantung pada pekerjaan pegawai lain dalam melayani pemohon.	1	2	3	4	5	
19 Selama ini para pegawai sangat menjaga batas kewenangan kerja antar pegawai.	1	2	3	4	5	
<b>SISTEM KONTROL PENGAWASAN (SUPERVISORY CONTROL SYSTEM)</b>						
20 Penilaian kinerja oleh atasan langsung yang berlaku selama ini, termasuk menilai dalam hal bagaimana pegawai berinteraksi dengan pemohon.	1	2	3	4	5	
21 Selama ini, pegawai yang melakukan pelayanan yang lebih baik untuk melayani pemohon tidak memperoleh insentif yang lebih besar.	1	2	3	4	5	
22 Selama ini, pegawai yang berkinerja terbaik dalam pelayanan lebih dihargai daripada pegawai yang lain.	1	2	3	4	5	

<b>KONFLIK PERAN (ROLE CONFLICT)</b>						
	Selama ini, jumlah pekerjaan dokumen/administrasi yang ada menyulitkan pegawai untuk memberikan pelayanan yang cepat pada pemohon.	1	2	3	4	5
23	Selama ini, Kantor Imigrasi memberikan penekanan yang sangat besar pada sisi penegakan hukum/prosedur, sehingga sulit memberikan pelayanan pada pemohon dengan sepatutnya.	1	2	3	4	5
24	Selama ini, apa yang diinginkan pemohon untuk dikerjakan pegawai, biasanya sama dengan yang dikehendaki pimpinan untuk dikerjakan.	1	2	3	4	5
25	Selama ini, setiap pegawai memiliki batasan tugas dan tanggungjawab yang jelas.	1	2	3	4	5
26		1	2	3	4	5
<b>KERANCUAN PERAN (ROLE AMBIGUITY)</b>						
	Pegawai telah menerima informasi yang mencukupi dari para pimpinan tentang tata cara menjalankan tugas.	1	2	3	4	5
27	Selama ini pegawai sering merasa tidak mengerti tata cara pelayanan yang ditawarkan oleh Kantor Imigrasi.	1	2	3	4	5
28	Selama ini, pegawai dapat mengikuti perubahan-perubahan dalam kantor yang mempengaruhi tata-cara menjalankan pekerjaan.	1	2	3	4	5
29	Selama ini, pegawai merasa belum cukup dilatih dalam hal bagaimana berinteraksi secara efektif dengan para pemohon.	1	2	3	4	5
30	Selama ini, pegawai tidak yakin aspek-aspek pekerjaan yang mana yang paling ditekankan oleh atasan langsung dalam mengevaluasi kinerja.	1	2	3	4	5
31		1	2	3	4	5

## **PEDOMAN WAWANCARA**

1. Bagaimana suka duka yang Bapak/Ibu alami selama bertugas, dalam memberikan pelayanan KITAS?
  - a. Bagaimana pandangan Bapak/Ibu mengenai kerjasama tim yang telah terbentuk, apakah telah efektif untuk kesuksesan pelayanan?
  - b. Bagaimana pendapat Bapak/ Ibu, apakah latar belakang pengetahuan, pendidikan dan ketrampilan yang dimiliki pegawai telah efektif untuk mensukseskan pelayanan?
  - c. Bagaimana pendapat Bapak/Ibu tentang pendidikan dan pelatihan dari kantor untuk pegawai?
  - d. Bagaimana pendapat Bapak/Ibu tentang kehandalan alat yang dipergunakan dalam pelayanan, dan kemampuan pegawai untuk mengoperasikan alat-alat tersebut?
  - e. Bagaimana pendapat Bapak/Ibu mengenai struktur/pendelegasian kewenangan yang berlaku, apakah telah efektif untuk mensukseskan pelayanan?
  - f. Bagaimana pendapat Bapak/Ibu, tentang tugas-tugas yang saling bertolak belakang, misalnya pada satu sisi, petugas harus dapat memberikan kemudahan pelayanan, namun pada sisi lain petugas harus menegakkan hukum?
  - g. Bagaimana pendapat Bapak/Ibu tentang batasan tugas dan kewenangan yang berlaku, apakah telah efektif untuk mensukseskan pelayanan?
  - h. Bagaimana pendapat Bapak/Ibu tentang insentif atau penghargaan pada pegawai yang berkinerja baik?
2. Bagaimana saran Bapak/Ibu agar dapat dilakukan perbaikan pelayanan, dengan mempertimbangkan keterbatasan kewenangan dan sistem yang berlaku?

## LAMPIRAN

### A. Karakteristik Responden

**Statistics**

	pendidikan	masakerja	PTKAIM	KURSUSLAIN
N	73	73	73	73
Valid	73	73	73	73
Missing	0	0	0	0

**Tingkat Pendidikan Responden**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 2 SMA	28	38.4	38.4	38.4
3 D3	15	20.5	20.5	58.9
4 S1	23	31.5	31.5	90.4
5 S2	7	9.6	9.6	100.0
Total	73	100.0	100.0	

**Masa Kerja Responden**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1 0-5 TAHUN	19	26.0	26.0	26.0
2 6-10 TAHUN	18	24.7	24.7	50.7
3 11-15 TAHUN	8	11.0	11.0	61.6
4 16-20 TAHUN	5	6.8	6.8	68.5
5 DIATAS 21 TAHUN	23	31.5	31.5	100.0
Total	73	100.0	100.0	

**Pendidikan Non Teknis Atau PTK / AIM Responden**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 0 NON PTK / AIM	49	67.1	67.1	67.1
1 PTK/AIM	24	32.9	32.9	100.0
Total	73	100.0	100.0	

**Jumlah Pelatihan /Kursus Lain Yang Pernah Diikuti Responden**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0 TIDAK PERNAH	36	49.3	49.3	49.3
	1 1 KALI	17	23.3	23.3	72.6
	2 2 KALI	10	13.7	13.7	86.3
	3 3 KALI	9	12.3	12.3	98.6
	4 4 KALI	0	0	0	98.6
	5 5 KALI	1	1.4	1.4	100.0
	Total	73	100.0	100.0	

**B. RELIABILITAS DAN VALIDITAS INSTRUMEN**

**1. Reliabilitas**

**Scale: ALL VARIABELS**

**Case Processing Summary**

		N	%
Cases	Valid	20	100.0
	Excluded( a)	0	.0
	Total	20	100.0

a Listwise deletion based on all variables in the procedure.

**Reliability Statistics**

Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items
.938	31

**Item-Total Statistics**

	Scale Mean if Item Deleted	Scale Variance if Item Deleted	Corrected Item-Total Correlation	Cronbach's Alpha if Item Deleted
TW1	97.550	268.787	.579	.936
TW2	97.750	275.987	.664	.935
TW3	97.700	274.537	.626	.935
TW4	98.400	279.726	.397	.938
TW5	97.700	275.379	.459	.938
EJF1	97.550	272.050	.743	.934
EJF2	98.200	273.853	.649	.935
EJF3	98.200	271.537	.683	.935
EJF4	98.200	273.537	.556	.936
EJF5	97.650	268.134	.852	.933
TJF1	97.700	274.432	.629	.935
TJF2	98.100	282.305	.496	.937
TJF3	97.950	270.787	.799	.934
TJF4	97.850	280.766	.526	.936
PC1X	98.700	277.695	.461	.937
PC2	97.900	268.305	.737	.934
PC3X	98.900	281.042	.517	.937
PC4X	98.400	275.621	.469	.937
PC5	98.000	272.632	.690	.935
SCS1	97.750	272.303	.744	.934
SCS2X	98.750	285.145	.458	.937
SCS3	98.250	279.987	.402	.938
RC1X	98.500	279.316	.413	.938
RC2X	98.500	278.579	.412	.938
RC3	98.500	281.105	.409	.938
RC4	98.200	278.695	.488	.937
RA1	97.500	282.684	.720	.936
RA2X	98.300	283.274	.427	.937
RA3	97.500	277.842	.659	.935
RA4X	98.250	276.724	.567	.936
RA5X	98.100	282.621	.399	.938

**Scale Statistics**

Mean	Variance	Std. Deviation	N of Items
101.350	294.766	17.1687	31

## 2. Validitas

### Factor Analysis Team Work

#### KMO and Bartlett's Test

Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin Measure of Sampling Adequacy.		.527
Bartlett's Test of Sphericity	Approx. Chi-Square	32.430
df		10
Sig.		.000

#### Communalities

	Initial	Extraction
TW1	1.000	.560
TW2	1.000	.602
TW3	1.000	.767
TW4	1.000	.893
TW5	1.000	.829

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.

#### Total Variance Explained

Component	Initial Eigenvalues			Extraction Sums of Squared Loadings		
	Total	% of Variance	Cumulative %	Total	% of Variance	Cumulative %
1	2.564	51.278	51.278	2.564	51.278	51.278
2	1.088	21.752	73.030	1.088	21.752	73.030
3	.667	13.341	86.371			
4	.543	10.854	97.224			
5	.139	2.776	100.000			

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.

#### Component Matrix(a)

	Component	
	1	2
TW1	.690	.290
TW2	.770	.095
TW3	.864	-.143
TW4	.125	.936
TW5	.856	-.312

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.  
a 2 components extracted.

## Factor Analysis Employee-Job Fit

### KMO and Bartlett's Test

Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin Measure of Sampling Adequacy.	.723
Bartlett's Test of Sphericity	
Approx. Chi-Square	48.925
df	10
Sig.	.000

### Communalities

	Initial	Extraction
EJF1	1.000	.514
EJF2	1.000	.751
EJF3	1.000	.663
EJF4	1.000	.500
EJF5	1.000	.805

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.

### Total Variance Explained

Component	Initial Eigenvalues			Extraction Sums of Squared Loadings		
	Total	% of Variance	Cumulative %	Total	% of Variance	Cumulative %
1	3.234	64.680	64.680	3.234	64.680	64.680
2	.907	18.137	82.817			
3	.450	9.008	91.825			
4	.257	5.135	96.960			
5	.152	3.040	100.000			

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.

### Component Matrix(a)

	Componen
	t
1	
EJF1	.717
EJF2	.867
EJF3	.814
EJF4	.707
EJF5	.897

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.  
a 1 components extracted.

## Factor Analysis Technology-Job Fit

### KMO and Bartlett's Test

Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin Measure of Sampling Adequacy.		.699
Bartlett's Test of Sphericity	Approx. Chi-Square df Sig.	21.665 6 .001

### Communalities

	Initial	Extraction
TJF1	1.000	.499
TJF2	1.000	.734
TJF3	1.000	.751
TJF4	1.000	.394

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.

### Total Variance Explained

Component	Initial Eigenvalues			Extraction Sums of Squared Loadings		
	Total	% of Variance	Cumulative %	Total	% of Variance	Cumulative %
1	2.378	59.461	59.461	2.378	59.461	59.461
2	.740	18.503	77.964			
3	.634	15.853	93.817			
4	.247	6.183	100.000			

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.

### Component Matrix(a)

	Componen
	t
	1
TJF1	.706
TJF2	.857
TJF3	.867
TJF4	.627

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.  
a 1 components extracted.

## Factor Analysis Perceived Control

### KMO and Bartlett's Test

Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin Measure of Sampling Adequacy.	.552
Bartlett's Test of Sphericity	
Approx. Chi-Square	20.041
df	10
Sig.	.029

### Communalities

	Initial	Extraction
PC1	1.000	.282
PC2	1.000	.744
PC3	1.000	.568
PC4	1.000	.254
PC5	1.000	.471

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.

### Total Variance Explained

Component	Initial Eigenvalues			Extraction Sums of Squared Loadings		
	Total	% of Variance	Cumulative %	Total	% of Variance	Cumulative %
1	2.319	46.371	46.371	2.319	46.371	46.371
2	.912	18.239	64.610			
3	.848	16.969	81.579			
4	.676	13.529	95.108			
5	.245	4.892	100.000			

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.

### Component Matrix(a)

	Componen
	t
	1
PC1	.531
PC2	.863
PC3	.753
PC4	.504
PC5	.686

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.  
a 1 components extracted.

## Factor Analysis Supervisory Control System

### KMO and Bartlett's Test

Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin Measure of Sampling Adequacy.	.610
Bartlett's Test of Sphericity	
Approx. Chi-Square	4.466
df	3
Sig.	.215

### Communalities

	Initial	Extraction
SCS1	1.000	.604
SCS2	1.000	.564
SCS3	1.000	.436

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.

### Total Variance Explained

Component	Initial Eigenvalues			Extraction Sums of Squared Loadings		
	Total	% of Variance	Cumulative %	Total	% of Variance	Cumulative %
1	1.604	53.476	53.476	1.604	53.476	53.476
2	.778	25.942	79.418			
3	.617	20.582	100.000			

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.

### Component Matrix(a)

	Componen
	t
	1
SCS1	.777
SCS2	.751
SCS3	.661

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.  
a 1 components extracted.

## Factor Analysis Role Conflict

### KMO and Bartlett's Test

Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin Measure of Sampling Adequacy.		.511
Bartlett's Test of Sphericity	Approx. Chi-Square df Sig.	11.415 6 .076

### Communalities

	Initial	Extraction
RC1	1.000	.758
RC2	1.000	.662
RC3	1.000	.765
RC4	1.000	.822

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.

### Total Variance Explained

Component	Initial Eigenvalues			Extraction Sums of Squared Loadings		
	Total	% of Variance	Cumulative %	Total	% of Variance	Cumulative %
1	1.797	44.935	44.935	1.797	44.935	44.935
2	1.209	30.225	75.160	1.209	30.225	75.160
3	.612	15.296	90.456			
4	.382	9.544	100.000			

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.

### Component Matrix(a)

	Component	
	1	2
RC1	-.485	.722
RC2	-.638	.505
RC3	.807	.338
RC4	.710	.564

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.  
a 2 components extracted.

## Factor Analysis Role Ambiguity

### KMO and Bartlett's Test

Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin Measure of Sampling Adequacy.	.563
Bartlett's Test of Sphericity	
Approx. Chi-Square	19.834
df	10
Sig.	.031

### Communalities

	Initial	Extraction
RA1	1.000	.789
RA2	1.000	.394
RA3	1.000	.635
RA4	1.000	.692
RA5	1.000	.824

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.

### Total Variance Explained

Component	Initial Eigenvalues			Extraction Sums of Squared Loadings		
	Total	% of Variance	Cumulative %	Total	% of Variance	Cumulative %
1	2.252	45.031	45.031	2.252	45.031	45.031
2	1.081	21.629	66.660	1.081	21.629	66.660
3	.863	17.255	83.914			
4	.539	10.772	94.687			
5	.266	5.313	100.000			

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.

### Component Matrix(a)

	Component	
	1	2
RA1	.823	-.334
RA2	.477	-.409
RA3	.735	.307
RA4	.830	-.053
RA5	.343	.840

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.

a 2 components extracted.

## Crosstabs

### pendidikan \* TW1

Crosstab

			TW1					Total
			1	2	3	4	5	
pendidikan	2	Count	1	0	0	21	6	28
		% within pendidikan	3.6%	.0%	.0%	75.0%	21.4%	100.0%
		% within TW1	33.3%	.0%	.0%	42.0%	33.3%	38.4%
	3	Count	0	0	1	11	3	15
		% within pendidikan	.0%	.0%	6.7%	73.3%	20.0%	100.0%
		% within TW1	.0%	.0%	100.0%	22.0%	16.7%	20.5%
	4	Count	1	1	0	13	8	23
		% within pendidikan	4.3%	4.3%	.0%	56.5%	34.8%	100.0%
		% within TW1	33.3%	100.0%	.0%	26.0%	44.4%	31.5%
	5	Count	1	0	0	5	1	7
		% within pendidikan	14.3%	.0%	.0%	71.4%	14.3%	100.0%
		% within TW1	33.3%	.0%	.0%	10.0%	5.6%	9.6%
Total		Count	3	1	1	50	18	73
		% within pendidikan	4.1%	1.4%	1.4%	68.5%	24.7%	100.0%
		% within TW1	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	10.670 <sup>a</sup>	12	.557
Likelihood Ratio	10.010	12	.615
Linear-by-Linear Association	.419	1	.517
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 15 cells (75.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .10.

### pendidikan \* TW2

Crosstab

			TW2					Total
			1	2	3	4	5	
pendidikan	2	Count	0	1	3	19	5	28
		% within pendidikan	.0%	3.6%	10.7%	67.9%	17.9%	100.0%
		% within TW2	.0%	100.0%	37.5%	33.9%	71.4%	38.4%
	3	Count	0	0	1	12	2	15
		% within pendidikan	.0%	.0%	6.7%	80.0%	13.3%	100.0%
		% within TW2	.0%	.0%	12.5%	21.4%	28.6%	20.5%
	4	Count	0	0	4	19	0	23
		% within pendidikan	.0%	.0%	17.4%	82.6%	.0%	100.0%
		% within TW2	.0%	.0%	50.0%	33.9%	.0%	31.5%
	5	Count	1	0	0	6	0	7
		% within pendidikan	14.3%	.0%	.0%	85.7%	.0%	100.0%
		% within TW2	100.0%	.0%	.0%	10.7%	.0%	9.6%
Total		Count	1	1	8	56	7	73
		% within pendidikan	1.4%	1.4%	11.0%	76.7%	9.6%	100.0%
		% within TW2	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	18.503 <sup>a</sup>	12	.101
Likelihood Ratio	17.199	12	.142
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.882	1	.090
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 16 cells (80.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .10.

### pendidikan \* TW3

Crosstab

			TW3					Total
			1	2	3	4	5	
pendidikan	2	Count	0	1	6	16	5	28
		% within pendidikan	.0%	3.6%	21.4%	57.1%	17.9%	100.0%
		% within TW3	.0%	25.0%	54.5%	34.0%	50.0%	38.4%
	3	Count	0	0	2	11	2	15
		% within pendidikan	.0%	.0%	13.3%	73.3%	13.3%	100.0%
		% within TW3	.0%	.0%	18.2%	23.4%	20.0%	20.5%
	4	Count	0	3	2	15	3	23
		% within pendidikan	.0%	13.0%	8.7%	65.2%	13.0%	100.0%
		% within TW3	.0%	75.0%	18.2%	31.9%	30.0%	31.5%
	5	Count	1	0	1	5	0	7
		% within pendidikan	14.3%	.0%	14.3%	71.4%	.0%	100.0%
		% within TW3	100.0%	.0%	9.1%	10.6%	.0%	9.6%
Total	Count	1	4	11	47	10	73	
	% within pendidikan	1.4%	5.5%	15.1%	64.4%	13.7%	100.0%	
	% within TW3	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	16.418 <sup>a</sup>	12	.173
Likelihood Ratio	13.186	12	.356
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.524	1	.217
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 17 cells (85.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .10.

### pendidikan \* TW4

Crosstab

			TW4					Total
			1	2	3	4	5	
pendidikan	2	Count	0	5	7	15	1	28
		% within pendidikan	.0%	17.9%	25.0%	53.6%	3.6%	100.0%
		% within TW4	.0%	45.5%	50.0%	35.7%	50.0%	38.4%
	3	Count	0	1	1	13	0	15
		% within pendidikan	.0%	6.7%	6.7%	86.7%	.0%	100.0%
		% within TW4	.0%	9.1%	7.1%	31.0%	.0%	20.5%
	4	Count	3	4	4	11	1	23
		% within pendidikan	13.0%	17.4%	17.4%	47.8%	4.3%	100.0%
		% within TW4	75.0%	36.4%	28.6%	26.2%	50.0%	31.5%
	5	Count	1	1	2	3	0	7
		% within pendidikan	14.3%	14.3%	28.6%	42.9%	.0%	100.0%
		% within TW4	25.0%	9.1%	14.3%	7.1%	.0%	9.6%

Total	Count	4	11	14	42	2	73
	% within pendidikan	5.5%	15.1%	19.2%	57.5%	2.7%	100.0%
	% within TW4	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	12.579 <sup>a</sup>	12	.400
Likelihood Ratio	14.770	12	.254
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.097	1	.148
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 16 cells (80.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .19.

#### pendidikan \* TW5

##### Crosstab

			TW5					Total	
			1	2	3	4	5		
pendidikan	2	Count	0	1	2	20	5	28	
		% within pendidikan	.0%	3.6%	7.1%	71.4%	17.9%	100.0%	
		% within TW5	.0%	33.3%	20.0%	45.5%	41.7%	38.4%	
	3	Count	0	0	4	7	4	15	
		% within pendidikan	.0%	.0%	26.7%	46.7%	26.7%	100.0%	
		% within TW5	.0%	.0%	40.0%	15.9%	33.3%	20.5%	
	4	Count	3	2	4	12	2	23	
		% within pendidikan	13.0%	8.7%	17.4%	52.2%	8.7%	100.0%	
		% within TW5	75.0%	66.7%	40.0%	27.3%	16.7%	31.5%	
	5	Count	1	0	0	5	1	7	
		% within pendidikan	14.3%	.0%	.0%	71.4%	14.3%	100.0%	
		% within TW5	25.0%	.0%	.0%	11.4%	8.3%	9.6%	
Total			4	3	10	44	12	73	
			5.5%	4.1%	13.7%	60.3%	16.4%	100.0%	
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	15.038 <sup>a</sup>	12	.239
Likelihood Ratio	17.786	12	.122
Linear-by-Linear Association	4.518	1	.034
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 17 cells (85.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .29.

#### pendidikan \* EJF1

##### Crosstab

			EJF1					Total
			1	2	3	4	5	
pendidikan	2	Count	0	2	2	21	3	28
		% within pendidikan	.0%	7.1%	7.1%	75.0%	10.7%	100.0%
		% within EJF1	.0%	28.6%	20.0%	45.7%	33.3%	38.4%
	3	Count	0	1	2	10	2	15
		% within pendidikan	.0%	6.7%	13.3%	66.7%	13.3%	100.0%

LAMPIRAN CROSSTABS 3

	% within EJF1	.0%	14.3%	20.0%	21.7%	22.2%	20.5%
4	Count	0	4	6	10	3	23
	% within pendidikan	.0%	17.4%	26.1%	43.5%	13.0%	100.0%
	% within EJF1	.0%	57.1%	60.0%	21.7%	33.3%	31.5%
5	Count	1	0	0	5	1	7
	% within pendidikan	14.3%	.0%	.0%	71.4%	14.3%	100.0%
	% within EJF1	100.0%	.0%	.0%	10.9%	11.1%	9.6%
Total	Count	1	7	10	46	9	73
	% within pendidikan	1.4%	9.6%	13.7%	63.0%	12.3%	100.0%
	% within EJF1	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	18.528 <sup>a</sup>	12	.101
Likelihood Ratio	14.940	12	.245
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.715	1	.190
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 17 cells (85.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .10.

#### pendidikan \* EJF2

##### Crosstab

			EJF2					Total
			1	2	3	4	5	
pendidikan	2	Count	0	2	5	18	3	28
		% within pendidikan	.0%	7.1%	17.9%	64.3%	10.7%	100.0%
		% within EJF2	.0%	20.0%	38.5%	42.9%	50.0%	38.4%
3	Count	0	3	5	7	0	0	15
		% within pendidikan	.0%	20.0%	33.3%	46.7%	.0%	100.0%
		% within EJF2	.0%	30.0%	38.5%	16.7%	.0%	20.5%
4	Count	1	5	3	13	1	23	
		% within pendidikan	4.3%	21.7%	13.0%	56.5%	4.3%	100.0%
		% within EJF2	50.0%	50.0%	23.1%	31.0%	16.7%	31.5%
5	Count	1	0	0	4	2	7	
		% within pendidikan	14.3%	.0%	.0%	57.1%	28.6%	100.0%
		% within EJF2	50.0%	.0%	.0%	9.5%	33.3%	9.6%
Total	Count	2	10	13	42	6	73	
	% within pendidikan	2.7%	13.7%	17.8%	57.5%	8.2%	100.0%	
	% within EJF2	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	17.655 <sup>a</sup>	12	.127
Likelihood Ratio	18.787	12	.094
Linear-by-Linear Association	.715	1	.398
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 17 cells (85.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .19.

#### pendidikan \* EJF3

##### Crosstab

			EJF3					Total
			1	2	3	4	5	

LAMPIRAN CROSSTABS 4

pendidikan	2	Count	1	3	7	15	2	28
		% within pendidikan	3.6%	10.7%	25.0%	53.6%	7.1%	100.0%
		% within EJF3	33.3%	20.0%	41.2%	44.1%	50.0%	38.4%
	3	Count	0	3	4	7	1	15
		% within pendidikan	.0%	20.0%	26.7%	46.7%	6.7%	100.0%
		% within EJF3	.0%	20.0%	23.5%	20.6%	25.0%	20.5%
	4	Count	1	9	5	7	1	23
		% within pendidikan	4.3%	39.1%	21.7%	30.4%	4.3%	100.0%
		% within EJF3	33.3%	60.0%	29.4%	20.6%	25.0%	31.5%
	5	Count	1	0	1	5	0	7
		% within pendidikan	14.3%	.0%	14.3%	71.4%	.0%	100.0%
		% within EJF3	33.3%	.0%	5.9%	14.7%	.0%	9.6%
Total		Count	3	15	17	34	4	73
		% within pendidikan	4.1%	20.5%	23.3%	46.6%	5.5%	100.0%
		% within EJF3	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	12.529 <sup>a</sup>	12	.404
Likelihood Ratio	13.796	12	.314
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.065	1	.151
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 14 cells (70.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .29.

#### pendidikan \* EJF4

#### Crosstab

		EJF4					Total	
		1	2	3	4	5		
pendidikan	2	Count	1	1	11	13	2	28
		% within pendidikan	3.6%	3.6%	39.3%	46.4%	7.1%	100.0%
		% within EJF4	50.0%	6.2%	52.4%	50.0%	25.0%	38.4%
	3	Count	0	4	5	5	1	15
		% within pendidikan	.0%	26.7%	33.3%	33.3%	6.7%	100.0%
		% within EJF4	.0%	25.0%	23.8%	19.2%	12.5%	20.5%
	4	Count	0	11	4	5	3	23
		% within pendidikan	.0%	47.8%	17.4%	21.7%	13.0%	100.0%
		% within EJF4	.0%	68.8%	19.0%	19.2%	37.5%	31.5%
	5	Count	1	0	1	3	2	7
		% within pendidikan	14.3%	.0%	14.3%	42.9%	28.6%	100.0%
		% within EJF4	50.0%	.0%	4.8%	11.5%	25.0%	9.6%
Total		Count	2	16	21	26	8	73
		% within pendidikan	2.7%	21.9%	28.8%	35.6%	11.0%	100.0%
		% within EJF4	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	25.265 <sup>a</sup>	12	.014
Likelihood Ratio	26.418	12	.009
Linear-by-Linear Association	.553	1	.457
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 13 cells (65.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .19.

## pendidikan \* EJF5

Crosstab

			EJF5					Total
			1	2	3	4	5	
pendidikan	2	Count	0	4	5	17	2	28
		% within pendidikan	.0%	14.3%	17.9%	60.7%	7.1%	100.0%
		% within EJF5	.0%	50.0%	45.5%	39.5%	25.0%	38.4%
	3	Count	0	1	2	11	1	15
		% within pendidikan	.0%	6.7%	13.3%	73.3%	6.7%	100.0%
		% within EJF5	.0%	12.5%	18.2%	25.6%	12.5%	20.5%
	4	Count	2	3	4	10	4	23
		% within pendidikan	8.7%	13.0%	17.4%	43.5%	17.4%	100.0%
		% within EJF5	66.7%	37.5%	36.4%	23.3%	50.0%	31.5%
	5	Count	1	0	0	5	1	7
		% within pendidikan	14.3%	.0%	.0%	71.4%	14.3%	100.0%
		% within EJF5	33.3%	.0%	.0%	11.6%	12.5%	9.6%
Total	Count	3	8	11	43	8	73	
	% within pendidikan	4.1%	11.0%	15.1%	58.9%	11.0%	100.0%	
	% within EJF5	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	10.638 <sup>a</sup>	12	.560
Likelihood Ratio	13.240	12	.352
Linear-by-Linear Association	.033	1	.856
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 17 cells (85.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .29.

## pendidikan \* TJF1

Crosstab

			TJF1					Total
			1	2	3	4	5	
pendidikan	2	Count	1	4	5	14	4	28
		% within pendidikan	3.6%	14.3%	17.9%	50.0%	14.3%	100.0%
		% within TJF1	50.0%	40.0%	38.5%	35.0%	50.0%	38.4%
	3	Count	0	2	2	10	1	15
		% within pendidikan	.0%	13.3%	13.3%	66.7%	6.7%	100.0%
		% within TJF1	.0%	20.0%	15.4%	25.0%	12.5%	20.5%
	4	Count	0	4	4	13	2	23
		% within pendidikan	.0%	17.4%	17.4%	56.5%	8.7%	100.0%
		% within TJF1	.0%	40.0%	30.8%	32.5%	25.0%	31.5%
	5	Count	1	0	2	3	1	7
		% within pendidikan	14.3%	.0%	28.6%	42.9%	14.3%	100.0%
		% within TJF1	50.0%	.0%	15.4%	7.5%	12.5%	9.6%
Total	Count	2	10	13	40	8	73	
	% within pendidikan	2.7%	13.7%	17.8%	54.8%	11.0%	100.0%	
	% within TJF1	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	7.752 <sup>a</sup>	12	.804
Likelihood Ratio	7.964	12	.788

Linear-by-Linear Association	.067	1	.796
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 17 cells (85.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .19.

### pendidikan \* TJF2

Crosstab

			TJF2					Total	
			1	2	3	4	5		
pendidikan	2	Count	1	5	10	11	1	28	
		% within pendidikan	3.6%	17.9%	35.7%	39.3%	3.6%	100.0%	
		% within TJF2	50.0%	35.7%	37.0%	42.3%	25.0%	38.4%	
	3	Count	0	2	6	5	2	15	
		% within pendidikan	.0%	13.3%	40.0%	33.3%	13.3%	100.0%	
		% within TJF2	.0%	14.3%	22.2%	19.2%	50.0%	20.5%	
	4	Count	1	6	10	5	1	23	
		% within pendidikan	4.3%	26.1%	43.5%	21.7%	4.3%	100.0%	
		% within TJF2	50.0%	42.9%	37.0%	19.2%	25.0%	31.5%	
	5	Count	0	1	1	5	0	7	
		% within pendidikan	.0%	14.3%	14.3%	71.4%	.0%	100.0%	
		% within TJF2	.0%	7.1%	3.7%	19.2%	.0%	9.6%	
Total		Count	2	14	27	26	4	73	
		% within pendidikan	2.7%	19.2%	37.0%	35.6%	5.5%	100.0%	
		% within TJF2	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	9.333 <sup>a</sup>	12	.674
Likelihood Ratio	9.716	12	.641
Linear-by-Linear Association	.014	1	.904
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 13 cells (65.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .19.

### pendidikan \* TJF3

Crosstab

			TJF3					Total	
			1	2	3	4	5		
pendidikan	2	Count	0	7	10	10	1	28	
		% within pendidikan	.0%	25.0%	35.7%	35.7%	3.6%	100.0%	
		% within TJF3	.0%	50.0%	43.5%	30.3%	50.0%	38.4%	
	3	Count	0	2	3	9	1	15	
		% within pendidikan	.0%	13.3%	20.0%	60.0%	6.7%	100.0%	
		% within TJF3	.0%	14.3%	13.0%	27.3%	50.0%	20.5%	
	4	Count	0	5	8	10	0	23	
		% within pendidikan	.0%	21.7%	34.8%	43.5%	.0%	100.0%	
		% within TJF3	.0%	35.7%	34.8%	30.3%	.0%	31.5%	
	5	Count	1	0	2	4	0	7	
		% within pendidikan	14.3%	.0%	28.6%	57.1%	.0%	100.0%	
		% within TJF3	100.0%	.0%	8.7%	12.1%	.0%	9.6%	
Total		Count	1	14	23	33	2	73	
		% within pendidikan	1.4%	19.2%	31.5%	45.2%	2.7%	100.0%	
		% within TJF3	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	15.754 <sup>a</sup>	12	.203
Likelihood Ratio	12.959	12	.372
Linear-by-Linear Association	.035	1	.852
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 14 cells (70.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .10.

### pendidikan \* TJF4

#### Crosstab

			TJF4					Total	
			1	2	3	4	5		
pendidikan	2	Count	0	6	10	10	2	28	
		% within pendidikan	.0%	21.4%	35.7%	35.7%	7.1%	100.0%	
		% within TJF4	.0%	42.9%	43.5%	34.5%	33.3%	38.4%	
	3	Count	1	2	3	8	1	15	
		% within pendidikan	6.7%	13.3%	20.0%	53.3%	6.7%	100.0%	
		% within TJF4	100.0%	14.3%	13.0%	27.6%	16.7%	20.5%	
	4	Count	0	6	7	9	1	23	
		% within pendidikan	.0%	26.1%	30.4%	39.1%	4.3%	100.0%	
		% within TJF4	.0%	42.9%	30.4%	31.0%	16.7%	31.5%	
	5	Count	0	0	3	2	2	7	
		% within pendidikan	.0%	.0%	42.9%	28.6%	28.6%	100.0%	
		% within TJF4	.0%	.0%	13.0%	6.9%	33.3%	9.6%	
Total		Count	1	14	23	29	6	73	
		% within pendidikan	1.4%	19.2%	31.5%	39.7%	8.2%	100.0%	
		% within TJF4	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	12.269 <sup>a</sup>	12	.424
Likelihood Ratio	11.599	12	.478
Linear-by-Linear Association	.518	1	.471
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 14 cells (70.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .10.

### pendidikan \* PC1X

#### Crosstab

			PC1X					Total
			1	2	3	4	5	
pendidikan	2	Count	1	12	10	5	0	28
		% within pendidikan	3.6%	42.9%	35.7%	17.9%	.0%	100.0%
		% within PC1X	25.0%	35.3%	40.0%	55.6%	.0%	38.4%
	3	Count	0	7	5	3	0	15
		% within pendidikan	.0%	46.7%	33.3%	20.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within PC1X	.0%	20.6%	20.0%	33.3%	.0%	20.5%
	4	Count	2	11	9	0	1	23
		% within pendidikan	8.7%	47.8%	39.1%	.0%	4.3%	100.0%
		% within PC1X	50.0%	32.4%	36.0%	.0%	100.0%	31.5%
	5	Count	1	4	1	1	0	7
		% within pendidikan	14.3%	57.1%	14.3%	14.3%	.0%	100.0%

	% within PC1X	25.0%	11.8%	4.0%	11.1%	.0%	9.6%
Total	Count	4	34	25	9	1	73
	% within pendidikan	5.5%	46.6%	34.2%	12.3%	1.4%	100.0%
	% within PC1X	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	10.129 <sup>a</sup>	12	.605
Likelihood Ratio	13.589	12	.328
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.922	1	.166
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 14 cells (70.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .10.

#### pendidikan \* PC2

##### Crosstab

			PC2					Total	
			1	2	3	4	5		
pendidikan	2	Count	0	5	8	14	1	28	
		% within pendidikan	.0%	17.9%	28.6%	50.0%	3.6%	100.0%	
		% within PC2	.0%	71.4%	44.4%	32.6%	25.0%	38.4%	
	3	Count	0	1	3	10	1	15	
		% within pendidikan	.0%	6.7%	20.0%	66.7%	6.7%	100.0%	
		% within PC2	.0%	14.3%	16.7%	23.3%	25.0%	20.5%	
	4	Count	0	1	5	15	2	23	
		% within pendidikan	.0%	4.3%	21.7%	65.2%	8.7%	100.0%	
		% within PC2	.0%	14.3%	27.8%	34.9%	50.0%	31.5%	
	5	Count	1	0	2	4	0	7	
		% within pendidikan	14.3%	.0%	28.6%	57.1%	.0%	100.0%	
		% within PC2	100.0%	.0%	11.1%	9.3%	.0%	9.6%	
Total			1	7	18	43	4	73	
			1.4%	9.6%	24.7%	58.9%	5.5%	100.0%	
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	15.049 <sup>a</sup>	12	.239
Likelihood Ratio	11.111	12	.519
Linear-by-Linear Association	.684	1	.408
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 15 cells (75.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .10.

#### pendidikan \* PC3X

##### Crosstab

			PC3X					Total
			1	2	3	4	5	
pendidikan	2	Count	1	15	5	6	1	28
		% within pendidikan	3.6%	53.6%	17.9%	21.4%	3.6%	100.0%
		% within PC3X	16.7%	37.5%	41.7%	42.9%	100.0%	38.4%
	3	Count	2	9	2	2	0	15
		% within pendidikan	13.3%	60.0%	13.3%	13.3%	.0%	100.0%
		% within PC3X	33.3%	22.5%	16.7%	14.3%	.0%	20.5%
	4	Count	2	10	5	6	0	23

	% within pendidikan	8.7%	43.5%	21.7%	26.1%	.0%	100.0%
	% within PC3X	33.3%	25.0%	41.7%	42.9%	.0%	31.5%
5	Count	1	6	0	0	0	7
	% within pendidikan	14.3%	85.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
	% within PC3X	16.7%	15.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	9.6%
Total	Count	6	40	12	14	1	73
	% within pendidikan	8.2%	54.8%	16.4%	19.2%	1.4%	100.0%
	% within PC3X	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	8.903 <sup>a</sup>	12	.711
Likelihood Ratio	11.570	12	.481
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.605	1	.205
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 16 cells (80.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .10.

### pendidikan \* PC4X

Crosstab

			PC4X					Total
			1	2	3	4	5	
pendidikan	2	Count	1	13	6	8	0	28
		% within pendidikan	3.6%	46.4%	21.4%	28.6%	.0%	100.0%
		% within PC4X	25.0%	35.1%	46.2%	50.0%	.0%	38.4%
	3	Count	2	7	3	3	0	15
		% within pendidikan	13.3%	46.7%	20.0%	20.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within PC4X	50.0%	18.9%	23.1%	18.8%	.0%	20.5%
	4	Count	1	13	3	5	1	23
		% within pendidikan	4.3%	56.5%	13.0%	21.7%	4.3%	100.0%
		% within PC4X	25.0%	35.1%	23.1%	31.2%	33.3%	31.5%
	5	Count	0	4	1	0	2	7
		% within pendidikan	.0%	57.1%	14.3%	.0%	28.6%	100.0%
		% within PC4X	.0%	10.8%	7.7%	.0%	66.7%	9.6%
	Total	Count	4	37	13	16	3	73
		% within pendidikan	5.5%	50.7%	17.8%	21.9%	4.1%	100.0%
		% within PC4X	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	17.349 <sup>a</sup>	12	.137
Likelihood Ratio	14.682	12	.259
Linear-by-Linear Association	.042	1	.837
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 15 cells (75.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .29.

### pendidikan \* PC5

Crosstab

			PC5					Total
			1	2	3	4	5	

pendidikan	2	Count	0	7	8	10	3	28
		% within pendidikan	.0%	25.0%	28.6%	35.7%	10.7%	100.0%
		% within PC5	.0%	70.0%	38.1%	28.6%	50.0%	38.4%
	3	Count	0	1	6	6	2	15
		% within pendidikan	.0%	6.7%	40.0%	40.0%	13.3%	100.0%
		% within PC5	.0%	10.0%	28.6%	17.1%	33.3%	20.5%
	4	Count	0	2	7	13	1	23
		% within pendidikan	.0%	8.7%	30.4%	56.5%	4.3%	100.0%
		% within PC5	.0%	20.0%	33.3%	37.1%	16.7%	31.5%
	5	Count	1	0	0	6	0	7
		% within pendidikan	14.3%	.0%	.0%	85.7%	.0%	100.0%
		% within PC5	100.0%	.0%	.0%	17.1%	.0%	9.6%
Total		Count	1	10	21	35	6	73
		% within pendidikan	1.4%	13.7%	28.8%	47.9%	8.2%	100.0%
		% within PC5	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	21.845 <sup>a</sup>	12	.039
Likelihood Ratio	19.927	12	.068
Linear-by-Linear Association	.971	1	.324
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 15 cells (75.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .10.

#### pendidikan \* SCS1

#### Crosstab

		SCS1					Total	
		1	2	3	4	5		
pendidikan	2	Count	0	3	6	17	2	28
		% within pendidikan	.0%	10.7%	21.4%	60.7%	7.1%	100.0%
		% within SCS1	.0%	30.0%	31.6%	42.5%	66.7%	38.4%
	3	Count	0	1	7	7	0	15
		% within pendidikan	.0%	6.7%	46.7%	46.7%	.0%	100.0%
		% within SCS1	.0%	10.0%	36.8%	17.5%	.0%	20.5%
	4	Count	1	5	5	11	1	23
		% within pendidikan	4.3%	21.7%	21.7%	47.8%	4.3%	100.0%
		% within SCS1	100.0%	50.0%	26.3%	27.5%	33.3%	31.5%
	5	Count	0	1	1	5	0	7
		% within pendidikan	.0%	14.3%	14.3%	71.4%	.0%	100.0%
		% within SCS1	.0%	10.0%	5.3%	12.5%	.0%	9.6%
Total		Count	1	10	19	40	3	73
		% within pendidikan	1.4%	13.7%	26.0%	54.8%	4.1%	100.0%
		% within SCS1	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	9.652 <sup>a</sup>	12	.646
Likelihood Ratio	10.161	12	.602

Linear-by-Linear Association	1.237	1	.266
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 15 cells (75.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .10.

### pendidikan \* SCS2X

Crosstab

			SCS2X					Total	
			1	2	3	4	5		
pendidikan	2	Count	1	21	5	0	1	28	
		% within pendidikan	3.6%	75.0%	17.9%	.0%	3.6%	100.0%	
		% within SCS2X	12.5%	52.5%	23.8%	.0%	100.0%	38.4%	
	3	Count	5	7	2	1	0	15	
		% within pendidikan	33.3%	46.7%	13.3%	6.7%	.0%	100.0%	
		% within SCS2X	62.5%	17.5%	9.5%	33.3%	.0%	20.5%	
	4	Count	2	8	11	2	0	23	
		% within pendidikan	8.7%	34.8%	47.8%	8.7%	.0%	100.0%	
		% within SCS2X	25.0%	20.0%	52.4%	66.7%	.0%	31.5%	
	5	Count	0	4	3	0	0	7	
		% within pendidikan	.0%	57.1%	42.9%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	
		% within SCS2X	.0%	10.0%	14.3%	.0%	.0%	9.6%	
Total		Count	8	40	21	3	1	73	
		% within pendidikan	11.0%	54.8%	28.8%	4.1%	1.4%	100.0%	
		% within SCS2X	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	23.325 <sup>a</sup>	12	.025
Likelihood Ratio	23.570	12	.023
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.839	1	.175
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 15 cells (75.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .10.

### pendidikan \* SCS3

Crosstab

			SCS3					Total
			1	2	3	4	5	
pendidikan	2	Count	0	9	10	9	0	28
		% within pendidikan	.0%	32.1%	35.7%	32.1%	.0%	100.0%
		% within SCS3	.0%	37.5%	31.2%	64.3%	.0%	38.4%
	3	Count	0	4	8	2	1	15
		% within pendidikan	.0%	26.7%	53.3%	13.3%	6.7%	100.0%
		% within SCS3	.0%	16.7%	25.0%	14.3%	50.0%	20.5%
	4	Count	0	10	9	3	1	23
		% within pendidikan	.0%	43.5%	39.1%	13.0%	4.3%	100.0%
		% within SCS3	.0%	41.7%	28.1%	21.4%	50.0%	31.5%
	5	Count	1	1	5	0	0	7
		% within pendidikan	14.3%	14.3%	71.4%	.0%	.0%	100.0%

% within SCS3	100.0%	4.2%	15.6%	.0%	.0%	9.6%
Total Count	1	24	32	14	2	73
% within pendidikan	1.4%	32.9%	43.8%	19.2%	2.7%	100.0%
% within SCS3	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	19.729 <sup>a</sup>	12	.072
Likelihood Ratio	16.640	12	.164
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.887	1	.170
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 14 cells (70.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .10.

### pendidikan \* RC1X

Crosstab

		RC1X				Total		
		1	2	3	4			
pendidikan	2	Count	4	8	7	9	28	
		% within pendidikan	14.3%	28.6%	25.0%	32.1%	100.0%	
		% within RC1X	50.0%	30.8%	38.9%	42.9%	38.4%	
	3	Count	2	6	3	4	15	
		% within pendidikan	13.3%	40.0%	20.0%	26.7%	100.0%	
		% within RC1X	25.0%	23.1%	16.7%	19.0%	20.5%	
	4	Count	1	10	5	7	23	
		% within pendidikan	4.3%	43.5%	21.7%	30.4%	100.0%	
		% within RC1X	12.5%	38.5%	27.8%	33.3%	31.5%	
	5	Count	1	2	3	1	7	
		% within pendidikan	14.3%	28.6%	42.9%	14.3%	100.0%	
		% within RC1X	12.5%	7.7%	16.7%	4.8%	9.6%	
Total		Count	8	26	18	21	73	
		% within pendidikan	11.0%	35.6%	24.7%	28.8%	100.0%	
		% within RC1X	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.135 <sup>a</sup>	9	.902
Likelihood Ratio	4.334	9	.888
Linear-by-Linear Association	.025	1	.874
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 9 cells (56.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .77.

### pendidikan \* RC2X

Crosstab

		RC2X					Total	
		1	2	3	4	5		
pendidikan	2	Count	1	8	9	9	1	28

	% within pendidikan	3.6%	28.6%	32.1%	32.1%	3.6%	100.0%
	% within RC2X	14.3%	34.8%	52.9%	36.0%	100.0%	38.4%
3	Count	2	5	2	6	0	15
	% within pendidikan	13.3%	33.3%	13.3%	40.0%	.0%	100.0%
	% within RC2X	28.6%	21.7%	11.8%	24.0%	.0%	20.5%
4	Count	4	8	4	7	0	23
	% within pendidikan	17.4%	34.8%	17.4%	30.4%	.0%	100.0%
	% within RC2X	57.1%	34.8%	23.5%	28.0%	.0%	31.5%
5	Count	0	2	2	3	0	7
	% within pendidikan	.0%	28.6%	28.6%	42.9%	.0%	100.0%
	% within RC2X	.0%	8.7%	11.8%	12.0%	.0%	9.6%
Total	Count	7	23	17	25	1	73
	% within pendidikan	9.6%	31.5%	23.3%	34.2%	1.4%	100.0%
	% within RC2X	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	7.644 <sup>a</sup>	12	.812
Likelihood Ratio	8.681	12	.730
Linear-by-Linear Association	.538	1	.463
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 13 cells (65.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .10.

### pendidikan \* RC3

Crosstab

			RC3					Total
			1	2	3	4	5	
pendidikan	2	Count	1	5	9	11	2	28
		% within pendidikan	3.6%	17.9%	32.1%	39.3%	7.1%	100.0%
		% within RC3	50.0%	27.8%	36.0%	45.8%	50.0%	38.4%
	3	Count	0	3	5	7	0	15
		% within pendidikan	.0%	20.0%	33.3%	46.7%	.0%	100.0%
		% within RC3	.0%	16.7%	20.0%	29.2%	.0%	20.5%
	4	Count	0	7	10	4	2	23
		% within pendidikan	.0%	30.4%	43.5%	17.4%	8.7%	100.0%
		% within RC3	.0%	38.9%	40.0%	16.7%	50.0%	31.5%
	5	Count	1	3	1	2	0	7
		% within pendidikan	14.3%	42.9%	14.3%	28.6%	.0%	100.0%
		% within RC3	50.0%	16.7%	4.0%	8.3%	.0%	9.6%
Total		Count	2	18	25	24	4	73
		% within pendidikan	2.7%	24.7%	34.2%	32.9%	5.5%	100.0%
		% within RC3	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	12.569 <sup>a</sup>	12	.401
Likelihood Ratio	13.301	12	.348
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.897	1	.089

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	12.569 <sup>a</sup>	12	.401
Likelihood Ratio	13.301	12	.348
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.897	1	.089
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 13 cells (65.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .19.

#### pendidikan \* RC4

#### Crosstab

			RC4					Total	
			1	2	3	4	5		
pendidikan	2	Count	2	1	5	18	2	28	
		% within pendidikan	7.1%	3.6%	17.9%	64.3%	7.1%	100.0%	
		% within RC4	66.7%	12.5%	31.2%	42.9%	50.0%	38.4%	
	3	Count	0	1	5	8	1	15	
		% within pendidikan	.0%	6.7%	33.3%	53.3%	6.7%	100.0%	
		% within RC4	.0%	12.5%	31.2%	19.0%	25.0%	20.5%	
	4	Count	1	4	4	13	1	23	
		% within pendidikan	4.3%	17.4%	17.4%	56.5%	4.3%	100.0%	
		% within RC4	33.3%	50.0%	25.0%	31.0%	25.0%	31.5%	
	5	Count	0	2	2	3	0	7	
		% within pendidikan	.0%	28.6%	28.6%	42.9%	.0%	100.0%	
		% within RC4	.0%	25.0%	12.5%	7.1%	.0%	9.6%	
Total		Count	3	8	16	42	4	73	
		% within pendidikan	4.1%	11.0%	21.9%	57.5%	5.5%	100.0%	
		% within RC4	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	8.641 <sup>a</sup>	12	.733
Likelihood Ratio	9.511	12	.659
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.672	1	.196
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 15 cells (75.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .29.

#### pendidikan \* RA1

#### Crosstab

			RA1					Total
			1	2	3	4	5	
pendidikan	2	Count	1	1	6	17	3	28
		% within pendidikan	3.6%	3.6%	21.4%	60.7%	10.7%	100.0%
		% within RA1	50.0%	25.0%	50.0%	34.7%	50.0%	38.4%
	3	Count	1	1	3	10	0	15
		% within pendidikan	6.7%	6.7%	20.0%	66.7%	.0%	100.0%
		% within RA1	50.0%	25.0%	25.0%	20.4%	.0%	20.5%
	4	Count	0	2	2	17	2	23
		% within pendidikan	.0%	25.0%	25.0%	74.4%	.0%	100.0%
		% within RA1	.0%	50.0%	50.0%	20.4%	.0%	20.5%

	% within pendidikan	.0%	8.7%	8.7%	73.9%	8.7%	100.0%
	% within RA1	.0%	50.0%	16.7%	34.7%	33.3%	31.5%
5	Count	0	0	1	5	1	7
	% within pendidikan	.0%	.0%	14.3%	71.4%	14.3%	100.0%
	% within RA1	.0%	.0%	8.3%	10.2%	16.7%	9.6%
Total	Count	2	4	12	49	6	73
	% within pendidikan	2.7%	5.5%	16.4%	67.1%	8.2%	100.0%
	% within RA1	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.292 <sup>a</sup>	12	.901
Likelihood Ratio	8.493	12	.745
Linear-by-Linear Association	.831	1	.362
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 17 cells (85.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .19.

#### pendidikan \* RA2X

#### Crosstab

		RA2X					Total
		1	2	3	4	5	
pendidikan	2	Count	1	4	12	9	2
		% within pendidikan	3.6%	14.3%	42.9%	32.1%	7.1%
		% within RA2X	25.0%	25.0%	48.0%	36.0%	66.7%
	3	Count	1	3	4	6	1
		% within pendidikan	6.7%	20.0%	26.7%	40.0%	6.7%
		% within RA2X	25.0%	18.8%	16.0%	24.0%	33.3%
	4	Count	2	6	8	7	0
		% within pendidikan	8.7%	26.1%	34.8%	30.4%	.0%
		% within RA2X	50.0%	37.5%	32.0%	28.0%	.0%
	5	Count	0	3	1	3	0
		% within pendidikan	.0%	42.9%	14.3%	42.9%	.0%
		% within RA2X	.0%	18.8%	4.0%	12.0%	.0%
Total	Count	4	16	25	25	3	73
	% within pendidikan	5.5%	21.9%	34.2%	34.2%	4.1%	100.0%
	% within RA2X	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	7.597 <sup>a</sup>	12	.816
Likelihood Ratio	8.999	12	.703
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.596	1	.206
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 12 cells (60.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .29.

#### pendidikan \* RA3

#### Crosstab

			RA3				Total
			2	3	4	5	
pendidikan	2	Count	1	4	22	1	28
		% within pendidikan	3.6%	14.3%	78.6%	3.6%	100.0%
		% within RA3	16.7%	44.4%	41.5%	20.0%	38.4%
	3	Count	1	1	10	3	15
		% within pendidikan	6.7%	6.7%	66.7%	20.0%	100.0%
		% within RA3	16.7%	11.1%	18.9%	60.0%	20.5%
	4	Count	3	4	16	0	23
		% within pendidikan	13.0%	17.4%	69.6%	.0%	100.0%
		% within RA3	50.0%	44.4%	30.2%	.0%	31.5%
	5	Count	1	0	5	1	7
		% within pendidikan	14.3%	.0%	71.4%	14.3%	100.0%
		% within RA3	16.7%	.0%	9.4%	20.0%	9.6%
Total		Count	6	9	53	5	73
		% within pendidikan	8.2%	12.3%	72.6%	6.8%	100.0%
		% within RA3	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	10.171 <sup>a</sup>	9	.337
Likelihood Ratio	11.329	9	.254
Linear-by-Linear Association	.677	1	.411
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 12 cells (75.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .48.

#### pendidikan \* RA4X

#### Crosstab

			RA4X					Total
			1	2	3	4	5	
pendidikan	2	Count	1	13	6	8	0	28
		% within pendidikan	3.6%	46.4%	21.4%	28.6%	.0%	100.0%
		% within RA4X	12.5%	52.0%	35.3%	36.4%	.0%	38.4%
	3	Count	3	3	4	4	1	15
		% within pendidikan	20.0%	20.0%	26.7%	26.7%	6.7%	100.0%
		% within RA4X	37.5%	12.0%	23.5%	18.2%	100.0%	20.5%
	4	Count	3	8	4	8	0	23
		% within pendidikan	13.0%	34.8%	17.4%	34.8%	.0%	100.0%
		% within RA4X	37.5%	32.0%	23.5%	36.4%	.0%	31.5%
	5	Count	1	1	3	2	0	7
		% within pendidikan	14.3%	14.3%	42.9%	28.6%	.0%	100.0%
		% within RA4X	12.5%	4.0%	17.6%	9.1%	.0%	9.6%
Total		Count	8	25	17	22	1	73
		% within pendidikan	11.0%	34.2%	23.3%	30.1%	1.4%	100.0%
		% within RA4X	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	11.323 <sup>a</sup>	12	.501
Likelihood Ratio	10.950	12	.533
Linear-by-Linear Association	.014	1	.906
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 13 cells (65.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .10.

### pendidikan \* RA5X

Crosstab

			RA5X				Total
			1	2	3	4	
pendidikan	2	Count	2	7	10	9	28
		% within pendidikan	7.1%	25.0%	35.7%	32.1%	100.0%
		% within RA5X	40.0%	30.4%	40.0%	45.0%	38.4%
	3	Count	2	5	4	4	15
		% within pendidikan	13.3%	33.3%	26.7%	26.7%	100.0%
		% within RA5X	40.0%	21.7%	16.0%	20.0%	20.5%
	4	Count	0	9	8	6	23
		% within pendidikan	.0%	39.1%	34.8%	26.1%	100.0%
		% within RA5X	.0%	39.1%	32.0%	30.0%	31.5%
	5	Count	1	2	3	1	7
		% within pendidikan	14.3%	28.6%	42.9%	14.3%	100.0%
		% within RA5X	20.0%	8.7%	12.0%	5.0%	9.6%
Total	Count	5	23	25	20	73	
		% within pendidikan	6.8%	31.5%	34.2%	27.4%	100.0%
		% within RA5X	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Total						

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.010 <sup>a</sup>	9	.833
Likelihood Ratio	6.338	9	.706
Linear-by-Linear Association	.444	1	.505
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 9 cells (56.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .48.

### masakerja \* TW1

Crosstab

			TW1					Total
			1	2	3	4	5	
masakerja	1	Count	1	0	0	14	4	19
		% within masakerja	5.3%	.0%	.0%	73.7%	21.1%	100.0%
		% within TW1	33.3%	.0%	.0%	28.0%	22.2%	26.0%
	2	Count	0	0	1	12	5	18
		% within masakerja	.0%	.0%	5.6%	66.7%	27.8%	100.0%
		% within TW1	.0%	.0%	100.0%	24.0%	27.8%	24.7%
	3	Count	1	0	0	5	2	8
		% within masakerja	12.5%	.0%	.0%	62.5%	25.0%	100.0%

	% within TW1	33.3%	.0%	.0%	10.0%	11.1%	11.0%
4	Count	0	0	0	5	0	5
	% within masakerja	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
	% within TW1	.0%	.0%	.0%	10.0%	.0%	6.8%
5	Count	1	1	0	14	7	23
	% within masakerja	4.3%	4.3%	.0%	60.9%	30.4%	100.0%
	% within TW1	33.3%	100.0%	.0%	28.0%	38.9%	31.5%
Total	Count	3	1	1	50	18	73
	% within masakerja	4.1%	1.4%	1.4%	68.5%	24.7%	100.0%
	% within TW1	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	10.371 <sup>a</sup>	16	.847
Likelihood Ratio	11.833	16	.755
Linear-by-Linear Association	.019	1	.890
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 20 cells (80.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .07.

### masakerja \* TW2

Crosstab

			TW2					Total
			1	2	3	4	5	
masakerja	1	Count	0	0	1	17	1	19
		% within masakerja	.0%	.0%	5.3%	89.5%	5.3%	100.0%
		% within TW2	.0%	.0%	12.5%	30.4%	14.3%	26.0%
	2	Count	0	1	1	13	3	18
		% within masakerja	.0%	5.6%	5.6%	72.2%	16.7%	100.0%
		% within TW2	.0%	100.0%	12.5%	23.2%	42.9%	24.7%
	3	Count	1	0	1	6	0	8
		% within masakerja	12.5%	.0%	12.5%	75.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within TW2	100.0%	.0%	12.5%	10.7%	.0%	11.0%
	4	Count	0	0	1	4	0	5
		% within masakerja	.0%	.0%	20.0%	80.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within TW2	.0%	.0%	12.5%	7.1%	.0%	6.8%
	5	Count	0	0	4	16	3	23
		% within masakerja	.0%	.0%	17.4%	69.6%	13.0%	100.0%
		% within TW2	.0%	.0%	50.0%	28.6%	42.9%	31.5%
Total	Count	1	1	8	56	7	73	
	% within masakerja	1.4%	1.4%	11.0%	76.7%	9.6%	100.0%	
	% within TW2	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	16.939 <sup>a</sup>	16	.390
Likelihood Ratio	14.120	16	.590
Linear-by-Linear Association	.160	1	.689
N of Valid Cases	73		

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	16.939 <sup>a</sup>	16	.390
Likelihood Ratio	14.120	16	.590
Linear-by-Linear Association	.160	1	.689

a. 21 cells (84.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .07.

#### masakerja \* TW3

#### Crosstab

			TW3					Total
			1	2	3	4	5	
masakerja	1	Count	0	1	3	13	2	19
		% within masakerja	.0%	5.3%	15.8%	68.4%	10.5%	100.0%
		% within TW3	.0%	25.0%	27.3%	27.7%	20.0%	26.0%
	2	Count	0	1	1	13	3	18
		% within masakerja	.0%	5.6%	5.6%	72.2%	16.7%	100.0%
		% within TW3	.0%	25.0%	9.1%	27.7%	30.0%	24.7%
	3	Count	1	0	2	4	1	8
		% within masakerja	12.5%	.0%	25.0%	50.0%	12.5%	100.0%
		% within TW3	100.0%	.0%	18.2%	8.5%	10.0%	11.0%
	4	Count	0	1	1	3	0	5
		% within masakerja	.0%	20.0%	20.0%	60.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within TW3	.0%	25.0%	9.1%	6.4%	.0%	6.8%
	5	Count	0	1	4	14	4	23
		% within masakerja	.0%	4.3%	17.4%	60.9%	17.4%	100.0%
		% within TW3	.0%	25.0%	36.4%	29.8%	40.0%	31.5%
	Total	Count	1	4	11	47	10	73
		% within masakerja	1.4%	5.5%	15.1%	64.4%	13.7%	100.0%
		% within TW3	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	14.031 <sup>a</sup>	16	.596
Likelihood Ratio	10.919	16	.814
Linear-by-Linear Association	.028	1	.866
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 21 cells (84.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .07.

#### masakerja \* TW4

#### Crosstab

			TW4					Total
			1	2	3	4	5	
masakerja	1	Count	0	2	4	13	0	19
		% within masakerja	.0%	10.5%	21.1%	68.4%	.0%	100.0%
		% within TW4	.0%	18.2%	28.6%	31.0%	.0%	26.0%
	2	Count	0	5	2	10	1	18
		% within masakerja	.0%	27.8%	11.1%	55.6%	5.6%	100.0%

	% within TW4	.0%	45.5%	14.3%	23.8%	50.0%	24.7%
3	Count	1	1	1	5	0	8
	% within masakerja	12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	62.5%	.0%	100.0%
	% within TW4	25.0%	9.1%	7.1%	11.9%	.0%	11.0%
4	Count	0	0	0	4	1	5
	% within masakerja	.0%	.0%	.0%	80.0%	20.0%	100.0%
	% within TW4	.0%	.0%	.0%	9.5%	50.0%	6.8%
5	Count	3	3	7	10	0	23
	% within masakerja	13.0%	13.0%	30.4%	43.5%	.0%	100.0%
	% within TW4	75.0%	27.3%	50.0%	23.8%	.0%	31.5%
Total	Count	4	11	14	42	2	73
	% within masakerja	5.5%	15.1%	19.2%	57.5%	2.7%	100.0%
	% within TW4	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	20.768 <sup>a</sup>	16	.188
Likelihood Ratio	21.525	16	.159
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.067	1	.151
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 22 cells (88.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .14.

### masakerja \* TW5

Crosstab

		TW5					Total	
		1	2	3	4	5		
masakerja	1	Count	1	0	4	11	3	19
		% within masakerja	5.3%	.0%	21.1%	57.9%	15.8%	100.0%
		% within TW5	25.0%	.0%	40.0%	25.0%	25.0%	26.0%
	2	Count	1	0	2	11	4	18
		% within masakerja	5.6%	.0%	11.1%	61.1%	22.2%	100.0%
		% within TW5	25.0%	.0%	20.0%	25.0%	33.3%	24.7%
	3	Count	1	0	1	6	0	8
		% within masakerja	12.5%	.0%	12.5%	75.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within TW5	25.0%	.0%	10.0%	13.6%	.0%	11.0%
	4	Count	1	0	1	3	0	5
		% within masakerja	20.0%	.0%	20.0%	60.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within TW5	25.0%	.0%	10.0%	6.8%	.0%	6.8%
	5	Count	0	3	2	13	5	23
		% within masakerja	.0%	13.0%	8.7%	56.5%	21.7%	100.0%
		% within TW5	.0%	100.0%	20.0%	29.5%	41.7%	31.5%
Total		Count	4	3	10	44	12	73
		% within masakerja	5.5%	4.1%	13.7%	60.3%	16.4%	100.0%
		% within TW5	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	15.102 <sup>a</sup>	16	.517

Likelihood Ratio	17.779	16	.337
Linear-by-Linear Association	.025	1	.874
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 22 cells (88.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .21.

### masakerja \* EJF1

			EJF1					Total
			1	2	3	4	5	
masakerja	1	Count	0	1	2	13	3	19
		% within masakerja	.0%	5.3%	10.5%	68.4%	15.8%	100.0%
		% within EJF1	.0%	14.3%	20.0%	28.3%	33.3%	26.0%
	2	Count	0	2	4	9	3	18
		% within masakerja	.0%	11.1%	22.2%	50.0%	16.7%	100.0%
		% within EJF1	.0%	28.6%	40.0%	19.6%	33.3%	24.7%
	3	Count	1	0	2	4	1	8
		% within masakerja	12.5%	.0%	25.0%	50.0%	12.5%	100.0%
		% within EJF1	100.0%	.0%	20.0%	8.7%	11.1%	11.0%
	4	Count	0	1	1	3	0	5
		% within masakerja	.0%	20.0%	20.0%	60.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within EJF1	.0%	14.3%	10.0%	6.5%	.0%	6.8%
	5	Count	0	3	1	17	2	23
		% within masakerja	.0%	13.0%	4.3%	73.9%	8.7%	100.0%
		% within EJF1	.0%	42.9%	10.0%	37.0%	22.2%	31.5%
Total		Count	1	7	10	46	9	73
		% within masakerja	1.4%	9.6%	13.7%	63.0%	12.3%	100.0%
		% within EJF1	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	16.161 <sup>a</sup>	16	.442
Likelihood Ratio	13.993	16	.599
Linear-by-Linear Association	.383	1	.536
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 21 cells (84.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .07.

### masakerja \* EJF2

			EJF2					Total
			1	2	3	4	5	
masakerja	1	Count	0	3	6	9	1	19
		% within masakerja	.0%	15.8%	31.6%	47.4%	5.3%	100.0%
		% within EJF2	.0%	30.0%	46.2%	21.4%	16.7%	26.0%
	2	Count	0	3	2	11	2	18
		% within masakerja	.0%	16.7%	11.1%	61.1%	11.1%	100.0%
		% within EJF2	.0%	30.0%	15.4%	26.2%	33.3%	24.7%
	3	Count	1	0	0	7	0	8

	% within masakerja	12.5%	.0%	.0%	87.5%	.0%	100.0%
	% within EJF2	50.0%	.0%	.0%	16.7%	.0%	11.0%
4	Count	0	0	1	3	1	5
	% within masakerja	.0%	.0%	20.0%	60.0%	20.0%	100.0%
	% within EJF2	.0%	.0%	7.7%	7.1%	16.7%	6.8%
5	Count	1	4	4	12	2	23
	% within masakerja	4.3%	17.4%	17.4%	52.2%	8.7%	100.0%
	% within EJF2	50.0%	40.0%	30.8%	28.6%	33.3%	31.5%
Total	Count	2	10	13	42	6	73
	% within masakerja	2.7%	13.7%	17.8%	57.5%	8.2%	100.0%
	% within EJF2	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	13.896 <sup>a</sup>	16	.606
Likelihood Ratio	16.847	16	.396
Linear-by-Linear Association	.000	1	.984
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 22 cells (88.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .14.

#### masakerja \* EJF3

#### Crosstab

		EJF3					Total	
		1	2	3	4	5		
masakerja	1	Count	0	4	8	6	1	19
		% within masakerja	.0%	21.1%	42.1%	31.6%	5.3%	100.0%
		% within EJF3	.0%	26.7%	47.1%	17.6%	25.0%	26.0%
	2	Count	2	4	1	10	1	18
		% within masakerja	11.1%	22.2%	5.6%	55.6%	5.6%	100.0%
		% within EJF3	66.7%	26.7%	5.9%	29.4%	25.0%	24.7%
	3	Count	1	0	2	4	1	8
		% within masakerja	12.5%	.0%	25.0%	50.0%	12.5%	100.0%
		% within EJF3	33.3%	.0%	11.8%	11.8%	25.0%	11.0%
	4	Count	0	0	2	3	0	5
		% within masakerja	.0%	.0%	40.0%	60.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within EJF3	.0%	.0%	11.8%	8.8%	.0%	6.8%
	5	Count	0	7	4	11	1	23
		% within masakerja	.0%	30.4%	17.4%	47.8%	4.3%	100.0%
		% within EJF3	.0%	46.7%	23.5%	32.4%	25.0%	31.5%
Total	Count	3	15	17	34	4	73	
	% within masakerja	4.1%	20.5%	23.3%	46.6%	5.5%	100.0%	
	% within EJF3	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	18.013 <sup>a</sup>	16	.323
Likelihood Ratio	21.913	16	.146
Linear-by-Linear Association	.105	1	.746

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	18.013 <sup>a</sup>	16	.323
Likelihood Ratio	21.913	16	.146
Linear-by-Linear Association	.105	1	.746
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 21 cells (84.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .21.

### masakerja \* EJF4

Crosstab

			EJF4					Total
			1	2	3	4	5	
masakerja	1	Count	0	4	8	6	1	19
		% within masakerja	.0%	21.1%	42.1%	31.6%	5.3%	100.0%
		% within EJF4	.0%	25.0%	38.1%	23.1%	12.5%	26.0%
	2	Count	1	5	3	7	2	18
		% within masakerja	5.6%	27.8%	16.7%	38.9%	11.1%	100.0%
		% within EJF4	50.0%	31.2%	14.3%	26.9%	25.0%	24.7%
	3	Count	1	0	1	4	2	8
		% within masakerja	12.5%	.0%	12.5%	50.0%	25.0%	100.0%
		% within EJF4	50.0%	.0%	4.8%	15.4%	25.0%	11.0%
	4	Count	0	0	2	2	1	5
		% within masakerja	.0%	.0%	40.0%	40.0%	20.0%	100.0%
		% within EJF4	.0%	.0%	9.5%	7.7%	12.5%	6.8%
	5	Count	0	7	7	7	2	23
		% within masakerja	.0%	30.4%	30.4%	30.4%	8.7%	100.0%
		% within EJF4	.0%	43.8%	33.3%	26.9%	25.0%	31.5%
Total	Count	2	16	21	26	8	73	
		% within masakerja	2.7%	21.9%	28.8%	35.6%	11.0%	100.0%
		% within EJF4	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	14.847 <sup>a</sup>	16	.536
Likelihood Ratio	17.282	16	.368
Linear-by-Linear Association	.011	1	.915
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 18 cells (72.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .14.

### masakerja \* EJF5

Crosstab

			EJF5					Total
			1	2	3	4	5	
masakerja	1	Count	0	1	3	13	2	19
		% within masakerja	.0%	5.3%	15.8%	68.4%	10.5%	100.0%
		% within EJF5	.0%	12.5%	27.3%	30.2%	25.0%	26.0%
	2	Count	0	3	3	10	2	18

	% within masakerja	.0%	16.7%	16.7%	55.6%	11.1%	100.0%
	% within EJF5	.0%	37.5%	27.3%	23.3%	25.0%	24.7%
3	Count	1	0	1	4	2	8
	% within masakerja	12.5%	.0%	12.5%	50.0%	25.0%	100.0%
	% within EJF5	33.3%	.0%	9.1%	9.3%	25.0%	11.0%
4	Count	0	1	2	1	1	5
	% within masakerja	.0%	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%	100.0%
	% within EJF5	.0%	12.5%	18.2%	2.3%	12.5%	6.8%
5	Count	2	3	2	15	1	23
	% within masakerja	8.7%	13.0%	8.7%	65.2%	4.3%	100.0%
	% within EJF5	66.7%	37.5%	18.2%	34.9%	12.5%	31.5%
Total	Count	3	8	11	43	8	73
	% within masakerja	4.1%	11.0%	15.1%	58.9%	11.0%	100.0%
	% within EJF5	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	14.074 <sup>a</sup>	16	.593
Likelihood Ratio	15.591	16	.482
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.803	1	.179
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 22 cells (88.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .21.

#### masakerja \* TJF1

#### Crosstab

		TJF1					Total	
		1	2	3	4	5		
masakerja	1	Count	0	3	4	10	2	19
		% within masakerja	.0%	15.8%	21.1%	52.6%	10.5%	100.0%
		% within TJF1	.0%	30.0%	30.8%	25.0%	25.0%	26.0%
	2	Count	0	2	2	10	4	18
		% within masakerja	.0%	11.1%	11.1%	55.6%	22.2%	100.0%
		% within TJF1	.0%	20.0%	15.4%	25.0%	50.0%	24.7%
	3	Count	1	0	1	6	0	8
		% within masakerja	12.5%	.0%	12.5%	75.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within TJF1	50.0%	.0%	7.7%	15.0%	.0%	11.0%
	4	Count	0	2	1	1	1	5
		% within masakerja	.0%	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	100.0%
		% within TJF1	.0%	20.0%	7.7%	2.5%	12.5%	6.8%
	5	Count	1	3	5	13	1	23
		% within masakerja	4.3%	13.0%	21.7%	56.5%	4.3%	100.0%
		% within TJF1	50.0%	30.0%	38.5%	32.5%	12.5%	31.5%
Total	Count	2	10	13	40	8	73	
	% within masakerja	2.7%	13.7%	17.8%	54.8%	11.0%	100.0%	
	% within TJF1	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)

Pearson Chi-Square	14.817 <sup>a</sup>	16	.538	
Likelihood Ratio	15.814	16	.466	
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.144	1	.285	
N of Valid Cases	73			

a. 22 cells (88.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .14.

### masakerja \* TJF2

Crosstab

			TJF2					Total
			1	2	3	4	5	
masakerja	1	Count	0	5	7	6	1	19
		% within masakerja	.0%	26.3%	36.8%	31.6%	5.3%	100.0%
		% within TJF2	.0%	35.7%	25.9%	23.1%	25.0%	26.0%
	2	Count	1	3	6	6	2	18
		% within masakerja	5.6%	16.7%	33.3%	33.3%	11.1%	100.0%
		% within TJF2	50.0%	21.4%	22.2%	23.1%	50.0%	24.7%
	3	Count	1	2	2	2	1	8
		% within masakerja	12.5%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	12.5%	100.0%
		% within TJF2	50.0%	14.3%	7.4%	7.7%	25.0%	11.0%
	4	Count	0	0	2	3	0	5
		% within masakerja	.0%	.0%	40.0%	60.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within TJF2	.0%	.0%	7.4%	11.5%	.0%	6.8%
	5	Count	0	4	10	9	0	23
		% within masakerja	.0%	17.4%	43.5%	39.1%	.0%	100.0%
		% within TJF2	.0%	28.6%	37.0%	34.6%	.0%	31.5%
	Total	Count	2	14	27	26	4	73
		% within masakerja	2.7%	19.2%	37.0%	35.6%	5.5%	100.0%
		% within TJF2	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	11.521 <sup>a</sup>	16	.776
Likelihood Ratio	13.293	16	.651
Linear-by-Linear Association	.060	1	.807
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 19 cells (76.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .14.

### masakerja \* TJF3

Crosstab

			TJF3					Total
			1	2	3	4	5	
masakerja	1	Count	0	4	2	13	0	19
		% within masakerja	.0%	21.1%	10.5%	68.4%	.0%	100.0%
		% within TJF3	.0%	28.6%	8.7%	39.4%	.0%	26.0%
	2	Count	0	3	7	7	1	18
		% within masakerja	.0%	16.7%	38.9%	38.9%	5.6%	100.0%
		% within TJF3	.0%	21.4%	30.4%	21.2%	50.0%	24.7%

3	Count	1	0	3	3	1	8
	% within masakerja	12.5%	.0%	37.5%	37.5%	12.5%	100.0%
	% within TJF3	100.0%	.0%	13.0%	9.1%	50.0%	11.0%
4	Count	0	1	2	2	0	5
	% within masakerja	.0%	20.0%	40.0%	40.0%	.0%	100.0%
	% within TJF3	.0%	7.1%	8.7%	6.1%	.0%	6.8%
5	Count	0	6	9	8	0	23
	% within masakerja	.0%	26.1%	39.1%	34.8%	.0%	100.0%
	% within TJF3	.0%	42.9%	39.1%	24.2%	.0%	31.5%
Total	Count	1	14	23	33	2	73
	% within masakerja	1.4%	19.2%	31.5%	45.2%	2.7%	100.0%
	% within TJF3	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	21.625 <sup>a</sup>	16	.156
Likelihood Ratio	19.878	16	.226
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.199	1	.138
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 19 cells (76.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .07.

#### masakerja \* TJF4

#### Crosstab

		TJF4					Total	
		1	2	3	4	5		
masakerja	1	Count	1	2	6	10	0	19
		% within masakerja	5.3%	10.5%	31.6%	52.6%	.0%	100.0%
		% within TJF4	100.0%	14.3%	26.1%	34.5%	.0%	26.0%
	2	Count	0	5	5	6	2	18
		% within masakerja	.0%	27.8%	27.8%	33.3%	11.1%	100.0%
		% within TJF4	.0%	35.7%	21.7%	20.7%	33.3%	24.7%
	3	Count	0	0	3	4	1	8
		% within masakerja	.0%	.0%	37.5%	50.0%	12.5%	100.0%
		% within TJF4	.0%	.0%	13.0%	13.8%	16.7%	11.0%
	4	Count	0	0	2	2	1	5
		% within masakerja	.0%	.0%	40.0%	40.0%	20.0%	100.0%
		% within TJF4	.0%	.0%	8.7%	6.9%	16.7%	6.8%
	5	Count	0	7	7	7	2	23
		% within masakerja	.0%	30.4%	30.4%	30.4%	8.7%	100.0%
		% within TJF4	.0%	50.0%	30.4%	24.1%	33.3%	31.5%
Total	Count	1	14	23	29	6	73	
	% within masakerja	1.4%	19.2%	31.5%	39.7%	8.2%	100.0%	
	% within TJF4	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	13.052 <sup>a</sup>	16	.669
Likelihood Ratio	16.452	16	.422

Linear-by-Linear Association	.065	1	.798
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 19 cells (76.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .07.

### masakerja \* PC1X

Crosstab

			PC1X					Total
			1	2	3	4	5	
masakerja	1	Count	0	9	7	2	1	19
		% within masakerja	.0%	47.4%	36.8%	10.5%	5.3%	100.0%
		% within PC1X	.0%	26.5%	28.0%	22.2%	100.0%	26.0%
	2	Count	1	9	7	1	0	18
		% within masakerja	5.6%	50.0%	38.9%	5.6%	.0%	100.0%
		% within PC1X	25.0%	26.5%	28.0%	11.1%	.0%	24.7%
	3	Count	2	4	2	0	0	8
		% within masakerja	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within PC1X	50.0%	11.8%	8.0%	.0%	.0%	11.0%
	4	Count	0	2	1	2	0	5
		% within masakerja	.0%	40.0%	20.0%	40.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within PC1X	.0%	5.9%	4.0%	22.2%	.0%	6.8%
	5	Count	1	10	8	4	0	23
		% within masakerja	4.3%	43.5%	34.8%	17.4%	.0%	100.0%
		% within PC1X	25.0%	29.4%	32.0%	44.4%	.0%	31.5%
Total		Count	4	34	25	9	1	73
		% within masakerja	5.5%	46.6%	34.2%	12.3%	1.4%	100.0%
		% within PC1X	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	15.875 <sup>a</sup>	16	.462
Likelihood Ratio	14.442	16	.566
Linear-by-Linear Association	.027	1	.870
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 19 cells (76.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .07.

### masakerja \* PC2

Crosstab

			PC2					Total
			1	2	3	4	5	
masakerja	1	Count	0	1	4	13	1	19
		% within masakerja	.0%	5.3%	21.1%	68.4%	5.3%	100.0%
		% within PC2	.0%	14.3%	22.2%	30.2%	25.0%	26.0%
	2	Count	0	2	4	11	1	18
		% within masakerja	.0%	11.1%	22.2%	61.1%	5.6%	100.0%
		% within PC2	.0%	28.6%	22.2%	25.6%	25.0%	24.7%
	3	Count	1	0	2	4	1	8
		% within masakerja	12.5%	.0%	25.0%	50.0%	12.5%	100.0%

	% within PC2	100.0%	.0%	11.1%	9.3%	25.0%	11.0%
4	Count	0	0	2	3	0	5
	% within masakerja	.0%	.0%	40.0%	60.0%	.0%	100.0%
	% within PC2	.0%	.0%	11.1%	7.0%	.0%	6.8%
5	Count	0	4	6	12	1	23
	% within masakerja	.0%	17.4%	26.1%	52.2%	4.3%	100.0%
	% within PC2	.0%	57.1%	33.3%	27.9%	25.0%	31.5%
Total	Count	1	7	18	43	4	73
	% within masakerja	1.4%	9.6%	24.7%	58.9%	5.5%	100.0%
	% within PC2	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	13.528 <sup>a</sup>	16	.634
Likelihood Ratio	10.869	16	.817
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.419	1	.234
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 21 cells (84.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .07.

### masakerja \* PC3X

Crosstab

			PC3X					Total
			1	2	3	4	5	
masakerja	1	Count	1	11	3	4	0	19
		% within masakerja	5.3%	57.9%	15.8%	21.1%	.0%	100.0%
		% within PC3X	16.7%	27.5%	25.0%	28.6%	.0%	26.0%
	2	Count	2	8	4	4	0	18
		% within masakerja	11.1%	44.4%	22.2%	22.2%	.0%	100.0%
		% within PC3X	33.3%	20.0%	33.3%	28.6%	.0%	24.7%
	3	Count	2	3	1	2	0	8
		% within masakerja	25.0%	37.5%	12.5%	25.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within PC3X	33.3%	7.5%	8.3%	14.3%	.0%	11.0%
	4	Count	0	4	0	1	0	5
		% within masakerja	.0%	80.0%	.0%	20.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within PC3X	.0%	10.0%	.0%	7.1%	.0%	6.8%
	5	Count	1	14	4	3	1	23
		% within masakerja	4.3%	60.9%	17.4%	13.0%	4.3%	100.0%
		% within PC3X	16.7%	35.0%	33.3%	21.4%	100.0%	31.5%
Total	Count	6	40	12	14	1		73
	% within masakerja	8.2%	54.8%	16.4%	19.2%	1.4%		100.0%
	% within PC3X	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	9.684 <sup>a</sup>	16	.883
Likelihood Ratio	10.187	16	.857
Linear-by-Linear Association	.013	1	.911
N of Valid Cases	73		

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	9.684 <sup>a</sup>	16	.883
Likelihood Ratio	10.187	16	.857
Linear-by-Linear Association	.013	1	.911

a. 22 cells (88.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .07.

### masakerja \* PC4X

Crosstab

			PC4X					Total
			1	2	3	4	5	
masakerja	1	Count	1	9	4	4	1	19
		% within masakerja	5.3%	47.4%	21.1%	21.1%	5.3%	100.0%
		% within PC4X	25.0%	24.3%	30.8%	25.0%	33.3%	26.0%
	2	Count	1	8	3	6	0	18
		% within masakerja	5.6%	44.4%	16.7%	33.3%	.0%	100.0%
		% within PC4X	25.0%	21.6%	23.1%	37.5%	.0%	24.7%
	3	Count	1	4	1	2	0	8
		% within masakerja	12.5%	50.0%	12.5%	25.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within PC4X	25.0%	10.8%	7.7%	12.5%	.0%	11.0%
	4	Count	1	1	1	1	1	5
		% within masakerja	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	100.0%
		% within PC4X	25.0%	2.7%	7.7%	6.2%	33.3%	6.8%
	5	Count	0	15	4	3	1	23
		% within masakerja	.0%	65.2%	17.4%	13.0%	4.3%	100.0%
		% within PC4X	.0%	40.5%	30.8%	18.8%	33.3%	31.5%
	Total	Count	4	37	13	16	3	73
		% within masakerja	5.5%	50.7%	17.8%	21.9%	4.1%	100.0%
		% within PC4X	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	12.392 <sup>a</sup>	16	.717
Likelihood Ratio	12.446	16	.713
Linear-by-Linear Association	.294	1	.588
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 21 cells (84.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .21.

### masakerja \* PC5

Crosstab

			PC5					Total
			1	2	3	4	5	
masakerja	1	Count	0	2	7	8	2	19
		% within masakerja	.0%	10.5%	36.8%	42.1%	10.5%	100.0%
		% within PC5	.0%	20.0%	33.3%	22.9%	33.3%	26.0%
	2	Count	0	3	5	8	2	18
		% within masakerja	.0%	16.7%	27.8%	44.4%	11.1%	100.0%

	% within PC5	.0%	30.0%	23.8%	22.9%	33.3%	24.7%
3	Count	1	0	3	3	1	8
	% within masakerja	12.5%	.0%	37.5%	37.5%	12.5%	100.0%
	% within PC5	100.0%	.0%	14.3%	8.6%	16.7%	11.0%
4	Count	0	1	1	3	0	5
	% within masakerja	.0%	20.0%	20.0%	60.0%	.0%	100.0%
	% within PC5	.0%	10.0%	4.8%	8.6%	.0%	6.8%
5	Count	0	4	5	13	1	23
	% within masakerja	.0%	17.4%	21.7%	56.5%	4.3%	100.0%
	% within PC5	.0%	40.0%	23.8%	37.1%	16.7%	31.5%
Total	Count	1	10	21	35	6	73
	% within masakerja	1.4%	13.7%	28.8%	47.9%	8.2%	100.0%
	% within PC5	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	13.210 <sup>a</sup>	16	.657
Likelihood Ratio	11.007	16	.809
Linear-by-Linear Association	.046	1	.831
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 19 cells (76.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .07.

### masakerja \* SCS1

Crosstab

		SCS1					Total	
		1	2	3	4	5		
masakerja	1	Count	0	2	6	9	2	19
		% within masakerja	.0%	10.5%	31.6%	47.4%	10.5%	100.0%
		% within SCS1	.0%	20.0%	31.6%	22.5%	66.7%	26.0%
	2	Count	1	3	5	8	1	18
		% within masakerja	5.6%	16.7%	27.8%	44.4%	5.6%	100.0%
		% within SCS1	100.0%	30.0%	26.3%	20.0%	33.3%	24.7%
	3	Count	0	1	2	5	0	8
		% within masakerja	.0%	12.5%	25.0%	62.5%	.0%	100.0%
		% within SCS1	.0%	10.0%	10.5%	12.5%	.0%	11.0%
	4	Count	0	0	3	2	0	5
		% within masakerja	.0%	.0%	60.0%	40.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within SCS1	.0%	.0%	15.8%	5.0%	.0%	6.8%
	5	Count	0	4	3	16	0	23
		% within masakerja	.0%	17.4%	13.0%	69.6%	.0%	100.0%
		% within SCS1	.0%	40.0%	15.8%	40.0%	.0%	31.5%
Total		Count	1	10	19	40	3	73
		% within masakerja	1.4%	13.7%	26.0%	54.8%	4.1%	100.0%
		% within SCS1	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	13.409 <sup>a</sup>	16	.643

Likelihood Ratio	14.414	16	.568
Linear-by-Linear Association	.013	1	.908
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 21 cells (84.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .07.

### masakerja \* SCS2X

Crosstab

			SCS2X					Total
			1	2	3	4	5	
masakerja	1	Count	5	9	3	2	0	19
		% within masakerja	26.3%	47.4%	15.8%	10.5%	.0%	100.0%
		% within SCS2X	62.5%	22.5%	14.3%	66.7%	.0%	26.0%
	2	Count	0	12	5	1	0	18
		% within masakerja	.0%	66.7%	27.8%	5.6%	.0%	100.0%
		% within SCS2X	.0%	30.0%	23.8%	33.3%	.0%	24.7%
	3	Count	2	3	2	0	1	8
		% within masakerja	25.0%	37.5%	25.0%	.0%	12.5%	100.0%
		% within SCS2X	25.0%	7.5%	9.5%	.0%	100.0%	11.0%
	4	Count	0	3	2	0	0	5
		% within masakerja	.0%	60.0%	40.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within SCS2X	.0%	7.5%	9.5%	.0%	.0%	6.8%
	5	Count	1	13	9	0	0	23
		% within masakerja	4.3%	56.5%	39.1%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within SCS2X	12.5%	32.5%	42.9%	.0%	.0%	31.5%
Total		Count	8	40	21	3	1	73
		% within masakerja	11.0%	54.8%	28.8%	4.1%	1.4%	100.0%
		% within SCS2X	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	23.930 <sup>a</sup>	16	.091
Likelihood Ratio	22.504	16	.128
Linear-by-Linear Association	.630	1	.427
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 19 cells (76.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .07.

### masakerja \* SCS3

Crosstab

			SCS3					Total
			1	2	3	4	5	
masakerja	1	Count	0	6	8	4	1	19
		% within masakerja	.0%	31.6%	42.1%	21.1%	5.3%	100.0%
		% within SCS3	.0%	25.0%	25.0%	28.6%	50.0%	26.0%
	2	Count	0	7	8	3	0	18
		% within masakerja	.0%	38.9%	44.4%	16.7%	.0%	100.0%
		% within SCS3	.0%	29.2%	25.0%	21.4%	.0%	24.7%
	3	Count	1	3	2	2	0	8

	% within masakerja	12.5%	37.5%	25.0%	25.0%	.0%	100.0%
	% within SCS3	100.0%	12.5%	6.2%	14.3%	.0%	11.0%
4	Count	0	1	3	1	0	5
	% within masakerja	.0%	20.0%	60.0%	20.0%	.0%	100.0%
	% within SCS3	.0%	4.2%	9.4%	7.1%	.0%	6.8%
5	Count	0	7	11	4	1	23
	% within masakerja	.0%	30.4%	47.8%	17.4%	4.3%	100.0%
	% within SCS3	.0%	29.2%	34.4%	28.6%	50.0%	31.5%
Total	Count	1	24	32	14	2	73
	% within masakerja	1.4%	32.9%	43.8%	19.2%	2.7%	100.0%
	% within SCS3	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	11.506 <sup>a</sup>	16	.777
Likelihood Ratio	8.630	16	.928
Linear-by-Linear Association	.016	1	.899
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 19 cells (76.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .07.

#### masakerja \* RC1X

#### Crosstab

			RC1X				Total
			1	2	3	4	
masakerja	1	Count	3	6	4	6	19
		% within masakerja	15.8%	31.6%	21.1%	31.6%	100.0%
		% within RC1X	37.5%	23.1%	22.2%	28.6%	26.0%
	2	Count	2	8	2	6	18
		% within masakerja	11.1%	44.4%	11.1%	33.3%	100.0%
		% within RC1X	25.0%	30.8%	11.1%	28.6%	24.7%
	3	Count	2	3	1	2	8
		% within masakerja	25.0%	37.5%	12.5%	25.0%	100.0%
		% within RC1X	25.0%	11.5%	5.6%	9.5%	11.0%
	4	Count	0	2	2	1	5
		% within masakerja	.0%	40.0%	40.0%	20.0%	100.0%
		% within RC1X	.0%	7.7%	11.1%	4.8%	6.8%
	5	Count	1	7	9	6	23
		% within masakerja	4.3%	30.4%	39.1%	26.1%	100.0%
		% within RC1X	12.5%	26.9%	50.0%	28.6%	31.5%
	Total	Count	8	26	18	21	73
		% within masakerja	11.0%	35.6%	24.7%	28.8%	100.0%
		% within RC1X	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	8.762 <sup>a</sup>	12	.723
Likelihood Ratio	9.229	12	.683
Linear-by-Linear Association	.473	1	.492

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	8.762 <sup>a</sup>	12	.723
Likelihood Ratio	9.229	12	.683
Linear-by-Linear Association	.473	1	.492
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 13 cells (65.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .55.

### masakerja \* RC2X

Crosstab

			RC2X					Total
			1	2	3	4	5	
masakerja	1	Count	3	7	4	5	0	19
		% within masakerja	15.8%	36.8%	21.1%	26.3%	.0%	100.0%
		% within RC2X	42.9%	30.4%	23.5%	20.0%	.0%	26.0%
	2	Count	1	5	3	8	1	18
		% within masakerja	5.6%	27.8%	16.7%	44.4%	5.6%	100.0%
		% within RC2X	14.3%	21.7%	17.6%	32.0%	100.0%	24.7%
	3	Count	1	2	2	3	0	8
		% within masakerja	12.5%	25.0%	25.0%	37.5%	.0%	100.0%
		% within RC2X	14.3%	8.7%	11.8%	12.0%	.0%	11.0%
	4	Count	0	3	0	2	0	5
		% within masakerja	.0%	60.0%	.0%	40.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within RC2X	.0%	13.0%	.0%	8.0%	.0%	6.8%
	5	Count	2	6	8	7	0	23
		% within masakerja	8.7%	26.1%	34.8%	30.4%	.0%	100.0%
		% within RC2X	28.6%	26.1%	47.1%	28.0%	.0%	31.5%
Total	Count		7	23	17	25	1	73
		% within masakerja	9.6%	31.5%	23.3%	34.2%	1.4%	100.0%
		% within RC2X	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	10.479 <sup>a</sup>	16	.840
Likelihood Ratio	11.383	16	.785
Linear-by-Linear Association	.136	1	.713
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 18 cells (72.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .07.

### masakerja \* RC3

Crosstab

			RC3					Total
			1	2	3	4	5	
masakerja	1	Count	0	4	5	10	0	19
		% within masakerja	.0%	21.1%	26.3%	52.6%	.0%	100.0%
		% within RC3	.0%	22.2%	20.0%	41.7%	.0%	26.0%
	2	Count	1	4	7	4	2	18
		% within masakerja	.0%	22.2%	35.6%	22.2%	.0%	100.0%
		% within RC3	.0%	22.2%	20.0%	41.7%	.0%	26.0%

	% within masakerja	5.6%	22.2%	38.9%	22.2%	11.1%	100.0%
	% within RC3	50.0%	22.2%	28.0%	16.7%	50.0%	24.7%
3	Count	1	1	4	2	0	8
	% within masakerja	12.5%	12.5%	50.0%	25.0%	.0%	100.0%
	% within RC3	50.0%	5.6%	16.0%	8.3%	.0%	11.0%
4	Count	0	2	1	1	1	5
	% within masakerja	.0%	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	100.0%
	% within RC3	.0%	11.1%	4.0%	4.2%	25.0%	6.8%
5	Count	0	7	8	7	1	23
	% within masakerja	.0%	30.4%	34.8%	30.4%	4.3%	100.0%
	% within RC3	.0%	38.9%	32.0%	29.2%	25.0%	31.5%
Total	Count	2	18	25	24	4	73
	% within masakerja	2.7%	24.7%	34.2%	32.9%	5.5%	100.0%
	% within RC3	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	15.163 <sup>a</sup>	16	.513
Likelihood Ratio	15.285	16	.504
Linear-by-Linear Association	.404	1	.525
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 18 cells (72.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .14.

#### masakerja \* RC4

#### Crosstab

		RC4					Total	
		1	2	3	4	5		
masakerja	1	Count	0	0	5	13	1	19
		% within masakerja	.0%	.0%	26.3%	68.4%	5.3%	100.0%
		% within RC4	.0%	.0%	31.2%	31.0%	25.0%	26.0%
	2	Count	1	1	3	10	3	18
		% within masakerja	5.6%	5.6%	16.7%	55.6%	16.7%	100.0%
		% within RC4	33.3%	12.5%	18.8%	23.8%	75.0%	24.7%
	3	Count	0	1	2	5	0	8
		% within masakerja	.0%	12.5%	25.0%	62.5%	.0%	100.0%
		% within RC4	.0%	12.5%	12.5%	11.9%	.0%	11.0%
	4	Count	1	1	2	1	0	5
		% within masakerja	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within RC4	33.3%	12.5%	12.5%	2.4%	.0%	6.8%
	5	Count	1	5	4	13	0	23
		% within masakerja	4.3%	21.7%	17.4%	56.5%	.0%	100.0%
		% within RC4	33.3%	62.5%	25.0%	31.0%	.0%	31.5%
Total	Count	3	8	16	42	4	73	
	% within masakerja	4.1%	11.0%	21.9%	57.5%	5.5%	100.0%	
	% within RC4	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)

Pearson Chi-Square	18.809 <sup>a</sup>	16	.279		
Likelihood Ratio	20.758	16	.188		
Linear-by-Linear Association	5.844	1	.016		
N of Valid Cases	73				

a. 21 cells (84.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .21.

### masakerja \* RA1

Crosstab

			RA1					Total
			1	2	3	4	5	
masakerja	1	Count	1	1	4	12	1	19
		% within masakerja	5.3%	5.3%	21.1%	63.2%	5.3%	100.0%
		% within RA1	50.0%	25.0%	33.3%	24.5%	16.7%	26.0%
	2	Count	0	0	1	14	3	18
		% within masakerja	.0%	.0%	5.6%	77.8%	16.7%	100.0%
		% within RA1	.0%	.0%	8.3%	28.6%	50.0%	24.7%
	3	Count	0	0	3	5	0	8
		% within masakerja	.0%	.0%	37.5%	62.5%	.0%	100.0%
		% within RA1	.0%	.0%	25.0%	10.2%	.0%	11.0%
	4	Count	0	1	1	3	0	5
		% within masakerja	.0%	20.0%	20.0%	60.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within RA1	.0%	25.0%	8.3%	6.1%	.0%	6.8%
	5	Count	1	2	3	15	2	23
		% within masakerja	4.3%	8.7%	13.0%	65.2%	8.7%	100.0%
		% within RA1	50.0%	50.0%	25.0%	30.6%	33.3%	31.5%
Total		Count	2	4	12	49	6	73
		% within masakerja	2.7%	5.5%	16.4%	67.1%	8.2%	100.0%
		% within RA1	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	12.458 <sup>a</sup>	16	.712
Likelihood Ratio	14.336	16	.574
Linear-by-Linear Association	.329	1	.566
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 21 cells (84.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .14.

### masakerja \* RA2X

Crosstab

			RA2X					Total
			1	2	3	4	5	
masakerja	1	Count	0	2	7	9	1	19
		% within masakerja	.0%	10.5%	36.8%	47.4%	5.3%	100.0%
		% within RA2X	.0%	12.5%	28.0%	36.0%	33.3%	26.0%
	2	Count	2	6	4	5	1	18
		% within masakerja	11.1%	33.3%	22.2%	27.8%	5.6%	100.0%
		% within RA2X	50.0%	37.5%	16.0%	20.0%	33.3%	24.7%

3	Count	1	3	1	3	0	8
	% within masakerja	12.5%	37.5%	12.5%	37.5%	.0%	100.0%
	% within RA2X	25.0%	18.8%	4.0%	12.0%	.0%	11.0%
4	Count	1	2	1	1	0	5
	% within masakerja	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%	.0%	100.0%
	% within RA2X	25.0%	12.5%	4.0%	4.0%	.0%	6.8%
5	Count	0	3	12	7	1	23
	% within masakerja	.0%	13.0%	52.2%	30.4%	4.3%	100.0%
	% within RA2X	.0%	18.8%	48.0%	28.0%	33.3%	31.5%
Total	Count	4	16	25	25	3	73
	% within masakerja	5.5%	21.9%	34.2%	34.2%	4.1%	100.0%
	% within RA2X	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	17.282 <sup>a</sup>	16	.368
Likelihood Ratio	19.026	16	.267
Linear-by-Linear Association	.168	1	.682
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 18 cells (72.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .21.

#### masakerja \* RA3

#### Crosstab

		RA3				Total	
		2	3	4	5		
masakerja	1	Count	1	0	16	2	19
		% within masakerja	5.3%	.0%	84.2%	10.5%	100.0%
		% within RA3	16.7%	.0%	30.2%	40.0%	26.0%
	2	Count	2	3	12	1	18
		% within masakerja	11.1%	16.7%	66.7%	5.6%	100.0%
		% within RA3	33.3%	33.3%	22.6%	20.0%	24.7%
	3	Count	1	1	5	1	8
		% within masakerja	12.5%	12.5%	62.5%	12.5%	100.0%
		% within RA3	16.7%	11.1%	9.4%	20.0%	11.0%
4	Count	0	1	4	0	5	
		% within masakerja	.0%	20.0%	80.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within RA3	.0%	11.1%	7.5%	.0%	6.8%
	5	Count	2	4	16	1	23
		% within masakerja	8.7%	17.4%	69.6%	4.3%	100.0%
		% within RA3	33.3%	44.4%	30.2%	20.0%	31.5%
Total	Count	6	9	53	5	73	
	% within masakerja	8.2%	12.3%	72.6%	6.8%	100.0%	
	% within RA3	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.279 <sup>a</sup>	12	.901
Likelihood Ratio	9.138	12	.691

Linear-by-Linear Association	1.116	1	.291
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 16 cells (80.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .34.

### masakerja \* RA4X

Crosstab

			RA4X					Total
			1	2	3	4	5	
masakerja	1	Count	2	3	7	6	1	19
		% within masakerja	10.5%	15.8%	36.8%	31.6%	5.3%	100.0%
		% within RA4X	25.0%	12.0%	41.2%	27.3%	100.0%	26.0%
	2	Count	2	7	3	6	0	18
		% within masakerja	11.1%	38.9%	16.7%	33.3%	.0%	100.0%
		% within RA4X	25.0%	28.0%	17.6%	27.3%	.0%	24.7%
	3	Count	3	3	0	2	0	8
		% within masakerja	37.5%	37.5%	.0%	25.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within RA4X	37.5%	12.0%	.0%	9.1%	.0%	11.0%
	4	Count	0	2	1	2	0	5
		% within masakerja	.0%	40.0%	20.0%	40.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within RA4X	.0%	8.0%	5.9%	9.1%	.0%	6.8%
	5	Count	1	10	6	6	0	23
		% within masakerja	4.3%	43.5%	26.1%	26.1%	.0%	100.0%
		% within RA4X	12.5%	40.0%	35.3%	27.3%	.0%	31.5%
	Total	Count	8	25	17	22	1	73
		% within masakerja	11.0%	34.2%	23.3%	30.1%	1.4%	100.0%
		% within RA4X	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	16.334 <sup>a</sup>	16	.430
Likelihood Ratio	17.088	16	.380
Linear-by-Linear Association	.494	1	.482
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 18 cells (72.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .07.

### masakerja \* RA5X

Crosstab

			RA5X				Total
			1	2	3	4	
masakerja	1	Count	1	4	9	5	19
		% within masakerja	5.3%	21.1%	47.4%	26.3%	100.0%
		% within RA5X	20.0%	17.4%	36.0%	25.0%	26.0%
	2	Count	1	8	3	6	18
		% within masakerja	5.6%	44.4%	16.7%	33.3%	100.0%
		% within RA5X	20.0%	34.8%	12.0%	30.0%	24.7%
	3	Count	2	2	2	2	8
		% within masakerja	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	100.0%

	% within RA5X	40.0%	8.7%	8.0%	10.0%	11.0%
4	Count	0	2	3	0	5
	% within masakerja	.0%	40.0%	60.0%	.0%	100.0%
	% within RA5X	.0%	8.7%	12.0%	.0%	6.8%
5	Count	1	7	8	7	23
	% within masakerja	4.3%	30.4%	34.8%	30.4%	100.0%
	% within RA5X	20.0%	30.4%	32.0%	35.0%	31.5%
Total	Count	5	23	25	20	73
	% within masakerja	6.8%	31.5%	34.2%	27.4%	100.0%
	% within RA5X	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	11.813 <sup>a</sup>	12	.461
Likelihood Ratio	12.076	12	.440
Linear-by-Linear Association	.005	1	.944
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 12 cells (60.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .34.

## PTKAIM \* TW1

Crosstab

		TW1					Total	
		1	2	3	4	5		
PTKAIM	0	Count	2	0	0	36	14	52
		% within PTKAIM	3.8%	.0%	.0%	69.2%	26.9%	100.0%
		% within TW1	66.7%	.0%	.0%	72.0%	77.8%	71.2%
	1	Count	1	1	1	14	4	21
		% within PTKAIM	4.8%	4.8%	4.8%	66.7%	19.0%	100.0%
		% within TW1	33.3%	100.0%	100.0%	28.0%	22.2%	28.8%
Total	Count	3	1	1	50	18	73	
	% within PTKAIM	4.1%	1.4%	1.4%	68.5%	24.7%	100.0%	
	% within TW1	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.374 <sup>a</sup>	4	.251
Likelihood Ratio	5.424	4	.246
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.350	1	.245
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 6 cells (60.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .29.

## PTKAIM \* TW2

Crosstab

		TW2					Total	
		1	2	3	4	5		
PTKAIM	0	Count	0	1	5	40	6	52

	% within PTKAIM	.0%	1.9%	9.6%	76.9%	11.5%	100.0%
	% within TW2	.0%	100.0%	62.5%	71.4%	85.7%	71.2%
1	Count	1	0	3	16	1	21
	% within PTKAIM	4.8%	.0%	14.3%	76.2%	4.8%	100.0%
	% within TW2	100.0%	.0%	37.5%	28.6%	14.3%	28.8%
Total	Count	1	1	8	56	7	73
	% within PTKAIM	1.4%	1.4%	11.0%	76.7%	9.6%	100.0%
	% within TW2	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.895 <sup>a</sup>	4	.420
Likelihood Ratio	4.275	4	.370
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.876	1	.171
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 7 cells (70.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .29.

#### PTKAIM \* TW3

##### Crosstab

		TW3					Total	
		1	2	3	4	5		
PTKAIM	0	Count	0	3	10	31	8	52
		% within PTKAIM	.0%	5.8%	19.2%	59.6%	15.4%	100.0%
		% within TW3	.0%	75.0%	90.9%	66.0%	80.0%	71.2%
	1	Count	1	1	1	16	2	21
		% within PTKAIM	4.8%	4.8%	4.8%	76.2%	9.5%	100.0%
		% within TW3	100.0%	25.0%	9.1%	34.0%	20.0%	28.8%
Total		Count	1	4	11	47	10	73
		% within PTKAIM	1.4%	5.5%	15.1%	64.4%	13.7%	100.0%
		% within TW3	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.596 <sup>a</sup>	4	.231
Likelihood Ratio	6.115	4	.191
Linear-by-Linear Association	.033	1	.856
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 6 cells (60.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .29.

#### PTKAIM \* TW4

##### Crosstab

		TW4					Total	
		1	2	3	4	5		
PTKAIM	0	Count	1	9	11	29	2	52
		% within PTKAIM	1.9%	17.3%	21.2%	55.8%	3.8%	100.0%
		% within TW4	25.0%	81.8%	78.6%	69.0%	100.0%	71.2%

1	Count	3	2	3	13	0	21
	% within PTKAIM	14.3%	9.5%	14.3%	61.9%	.0%	100.0%
	% within TW4	75.0%	18.2%	21.4%	31.0%	.0%	28.8%
Total	Count	4	11	14	42	2	73
	% within PTKAIM	5.5%	15.1%	19.2%	57.5%	2.7%	100.0%
	% within TW4	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.047 <sup>a</sup>	4	.196
Likelihood Ratio	6.157	4	.188
Linear-by-Linear Association	.550	1	.458
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 6 cells (60.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .58.

#### PTKAIM \* TW5

#### Crosstab

		TW5					Total	
		1	2	3	4	5		
PTKAIM	0	Count	3	2	5	33	9	
		% within PTKAIM	5.8%	3.8%	9.6%	63.5%	17.3%	
		% within TW5	75.0%	66.7%	50.0%	75.0%	75.0%	
	1	Count	1	1	5	11	3	
		% within PTKAIM	4.8%	4.8%	23.8%	52.4%	14.3%	
		% within TW5	25.0%	33.3%	50.0%	25.0%	25.0%	
Total		Count	4	3	10	44	12	
		% within PTKAIM	5.5%	4.1%	13.7%	60.3%	16.4%	
		% within TW5	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.646 <sup>a</sup>	4	.619
Likelihood Ratio	2.446	4	.654
Linear-by-Linear Association	.416	1	.519
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 6 cells (60.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .86.

#### PTKAIM \* EJF1

#### Crosstab

		EJF1					Total
		1	2	3	4	5	
PTKAIM	0	Count	0	5	7	33	7
		% within PTKAIM	.0%	9.6%	13.5%	63.5%	13.5%
		% within EJF1	.0%	71.4%	70.0%	71.7%	77.8%
	1	Count	1	2	3	13	2
		% within PTKAIM	4.8%	9.5%	14.3%	61.9%	9.5%
							100.0%

	% within EJF1	100.0%	28.6%	30.0%	28.3%	22.2%	28.8%
Total	Count	1	7	10	46	9	73
	% within PTKAIM	1.4%	9.6%	13.7%	63.0%	12.3%	100.0%
	% within EJF1	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.678 <sup>a</sup>	4	.613
Likelihood Ratio	2.703	4	.609
Linear-by-Linear Association	.743	1	.389
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 6 cells (60.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .29.

## PTKAIM \* EJF2

#### Crosstab

		EJF2					Total	
		1	2	3	4	5		
PTKAIM	0	Count	0	5	11	33	3	52
		% within PTKAIM	.0%	9.6%	21.2%	63.5%	5.8%	100.0%
		% within EJF2	.0%	50.0%	84.6%	78.6%	50.0%	71.2%
	1	Count	2	5	2	9	3	21
		% within PTKAIM	9.5%	23.8%	9.5%	42.9%	14.3%	100.0%
		% within EJF2	100.0%	50.0%	15.4%	21.4%	50.0%	28.8%
Total	Count	2	10	13	42	6	73	
	% within PTKAIM	2.7%	13.7%	17.8%	57.5%	8.2%	100.0%	
	% within EJF2	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	10.712 <sup>a</sup>	4	.030
Likelihood Ratio	10.620	4	.031
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.351	1	.125
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 6 cells (60.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .58.

## PTKAIM \* EJF3

#### Crosstab

		EJF3					Total	
		1	2	3	4	5		
PTKAIM	0	Count	1	9	13	26	3	52
		% within PTKAIM	1.9%	17.3%	25.0%	50.0%	5.8%	100.0%
		% within EJF3	33.3%	60.0%	76.5%	76.5%	75.0%	71.2%
	1	Count	2	6	4	8	1	21
		% within PTKAIM	9.5%	28.6%	19.0%	38.1%	4.8%	100.0%
		% within EJF3	66.7%	40.0%	23.5%	23.5%	25.0%	28.8%
Total	Count	3	15	17	34	4	73	

% within PTKAIM	4.1%	20.5%	23.3%	46.6%	5.5%	100.0%
% within EJF3	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.737 <sup>a</sup>	4	.443
Likelihood Ratio	3.449	4	.486
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.475	1	.116
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 6 cells (60.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .86.

#### PTKAIM \* EJF4

##### Crosstab

		EJF4					Total		
		1	2	3	4	5			
PTKAIM	0	Count	1	10	16	21	4	52	
		% within PTKAIM	1.9%	19.2%	30.8%	40.4%	7.7%	100.0%	
		% within EJF4	50.0%	62.5%	76.2%	80.8%	50.0%	71.2%	
	1	Count	1	6	5	5	4	21	
		% within PTKAIM	4.8%	28.6%	23.8%	23.8%	19.0%	100.0%	
		% within EJF4	50.0%	37.5%	23.8%	19.2%	50.0%	28.8%	
Total		Count	2	16	21	26	8	73	
		% within PTKAIM	2.7%	21.9%	28.8%	35.6%	11.0%	100.0%	
		% within EJF4	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.201 <sup>a</sup>	4	.379
Likelihood Ratio	4.065	4	.397
Linear-by-Linear Association	.113	1	.737
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 4 cells (40.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .58.

#### PTKAIM \* EJF5

##### Crosstab

		EJF5					Total		
		1	2	3	4	5			
PTKAIM	0	Count	1	6	9	30	6	52	
		% within PTKAIM	1.9%	11.5%	17.3%	57.7%	11.5%	100.0%	
		% within EJF5	33.3%	75.0%	81.8%	69.8%	75.0%	71.2%	
	1	Count	2	2	2	13	2	21	
		% within PTKAIM	9.5%	9.5%	9.5%	61.9%	9.5%	100.0%	
		% within EJF5	66.7%	25.0%	18.2%	30.2%	25.0%	28.8%	
Total		Count	3	8	11	43	8	73	
		% within PTKAIM	4.1%	11.0%	15.1%	58.9%	11.0%	100.0%	
		% within EJF5	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.860 <sup>a</sup>	4	.581
Likelihood Ratio	2.660	4	.616
Linear-by-Linear Association	.271	1	.603
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 5 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .86.

#### PTKAIM \* TJF1

#### Crosstab

			TJF1					Total	
			1	2	3	4	5		
PTKAIM	0	Count	1	7	10	27	7	52	
		% within PTKAIM	1.9%	13.5%	19.2%	51.9%	13.5%	100.0%	
		% within TJF1	50.0%	70.0%	76.9%	67.5%	87.5%	71.2%	
	1	Count	1	3	3	13	1	21	
		% within PTKAIM	4.8%	14.3%	14.3%	61.9%	4.8%	100.0%	
		% within TJF1	50.0%	30.0%	23.1%	32.5%	12.5%	28.8%	
Total		Count	2	10	13	40	8	73	
		% within PTKAIM	2.7%	13.7%	17.8%	54.8%	11.0%	100.0%	
		% within TJF1	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.958 <sup>a</sup>	4	.743
Likelihood Ratio	2.098	4	.718
Linear-by-Linear Association	.317	1	.573
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 5 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .58.

#### PTKAIM \* TJF2

#### Crosstab

			TJF2					Total	
			1	2	3	4	5		
PTKAIM	0	Count	2	7	22	18	3	52	
		% within PTKAIM	3.8%	13.5%	42.3%	34.6%	5.8%	100.0%	
		% within TJF2	100.0%	50.0%	81.5%	69.2%	75.0%	71.2%	
	1	Count	0	7	5	8	1	21	
		% within PTKAIM	.0%	33.3%	23.8%	38.1%	4.8%	100.0%	
		% within TJF2	.0%	50.0%	18.5%	30.8%	25.0%	28.8%	
Total		Count	2	14	27	26	4	73	
		% within PTKAIM	2.7%	19.2%	37.0%	35.6%	5.5%	100.0%	
		% within TJF2	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.350 <sup>a</sup>	4	.253
Likelihood Ratio	5.729	4	.220
Linear-by-Linear Association	.204	1	.651
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 5 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .58.

#### PTKAIM \* TJF3

#### Crosstab

		TJF3					Total		
		1	2	3	4	5			
PTKAIM	0	Count	0	8	19	23	2	52	
		% within PTKAIM	.0%	15.4%	36.5%	44.2%	3.8%	100.0%	
		% within TJF3	.0%	57.1%	82.6%	69.7%	100.0%	71.2%	
	1	Count	1	6	4	10	0	21	
		% within PTKAIM	4.8%	28.6%	19.0%	47.6%	.0%	100.0%	
		% within TJF3	100.0%	42.9%	17.4%	30.3%	.0%	28.8%	
Total		Count	1	14	23	33	2	73	
		% within PTKAIM	1.4%	19.2%	31.5%	45.2%	2.7%	100.0%	
		% within TJF3	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.131 <sup>a</sup>	4	.190
Likelihood Ratio	6.748	4	.150
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.484	1	.223
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 5 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .29.

#### PTKAIM \* TJF4

#### Crosstab

		TJF4					Total		
		1	2	3	4	5			
PTKAIM	0	Count	1	8	18	22	3	52	
		% within PTKAIM	1.9%	15.4%	34.6%	42.3%	5.8%	100.0%	
		% within TJF4	100.0%	57.1%	78.3%	75.9%	50.0%	71.2%	
	1	Count	0	6	5	7	3	21	
		% within PTKAIM	.0%	28.6%	23.8%	33.3%	14.3%	100.0%	
		% within TJF4	.0%	42.9%	21.7%	24.1%	50.0%	28.8%	
Total		Count	1	14	23	29	6	73	
		% within PTKAIM	1.4%	19.2%	31.5%	39.7%	8.2%	100.0%	
		% within TJF4	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)

Pearson Chi-Square	3.938 <sup>a</sup>	4	.414		
Likelihood Ratio	4.029	4	.402		
Linear-by-Linear Association	.003	1	.958		
N of Valid Cases	73				

a. 5 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .29.

## PTKAIM \* PC1X

Crosstab

			PC1X					Total	
			1	2	3	4	5		
PTKAIM	0	Count	2	23	18	9	0	52	
		% within PTKAIM	3.8%	44.2%	34.6%	17.3%	.0%	100.0%	
		% within PC1X	50.0%	67.6%	72.0%	100.0%	.0%	71.2%	
	1	Count	2	11	7	0	1	21	
		% within PTKAIM	9.5%	52.4%	33.3%	.0%	4.8%	100.0%	
		% within PC1X	50.0%	32.4%	28.0%	.0%	100.0%	28.8%	
Total		Count	4	34	25	9	1	73	
		% within PTKAIM	5.5%	46.6%	34.2%	12.3%	1.4%	100.0%	
		% within PC1X	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	7.211 <sup>a</sup>	4	.125
Likelihood Ratio	9.609	4	.048
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.609	1	.205
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 5 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .29.

## PTKAIM \* PC2

Crosstab

			PC2					Total	
			1	2	3	4	5		
PTKAIM	0	Count	0	6	12	32	2	52	
		% within PTKAIM	.0%	11.5%	23.1%	61.5%	3.8%	100.0%	
		% within PC2	.0%	85.7%	66.7%	74.4%	50.0%	71.2%	
	1	Count	1	1	6	11	2	21	
		% within PTKAIM	4.8%	4.8%	28.6%	52.4%	9.5%	100.0%	
		% within PC2	100.0%	14.3%	33.3%	25.6%	50.0%	28.8%	
Total		Count	1	7	18	43	4	73	
		% within PTKAIM	1.4%	9.6%	24.7%	58.9%	5.5%	100.0%	
		% within PC2	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.469 <sup>a</sup>	4	.346
Likelihood Ratio	4.504	4	.342

Linear-by-Linear Association	.001	1	.979
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 6 cells (60.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .29.

## PTKAIM \* PC3X

Crosstab

			PC3X					Total	
			1	2	3	4	5		
PTKAIM	0	Count	3	26	9	13	1	52	
		% within PTKAIM	5.8%	50.0%	17.3%	25.0%	1.9%	100.0%	
		% within PC3X	50.0%	65.0%	75.0%	92.9%	100.0%	71.2%	
	1	Count	3	14	3	1	0	21	
		% within PTKAIM	14.3%	66.7%	14.3%	4.8%	.0%	100.0%	
		% within PC3X	50.0%	35.0%	25.0%	7.1%	.0%	28.8%	
Total		Count	6	40	12	14	1	73	
		% within PTKAIM	8.2%	54.8%	16.4%	19.2%	1.4%	100.0%	
		% within PC3X	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.760 <sup>a</sup>	4	.218
Likelihood Ratio	6.793	4	.147
Linear-by-Linear Association	5.598	1	.018
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 6 cells (60.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .29.

## PTKAIM \* PC4X

Crosstab

			PC4X					Total	
			1	2	3	4	5		
PTKAIM	0	Count	4	24	10	14	0	52	
		% within PTKAIM	7.7%	46.2%	19.2%	26.9%	.0%	100.0%	
		% within PC4X	100.0%	64.9%	76.9%	87.5%	.0%	71.2%	
	1	Count	0	13	3	2	3	21	
		% within PTKAIM	.0%	61.9%	14.3%	9.5%	14.3%	100.0%	
		% within PC4X	.0%	35.1%	23.1%	12.5%	100.0%	28.8%	
Total		Count	4	37	13	16	3	73	
		% within PTKAIM	5.5%	50.7%	17.8%	21.9%	4.1%	100.0%	
		% within PC4X	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	12.048 <sup>a</sup>	4	.017
Likelihood Ratio	13.533	4	.009
Linear-by-Linear Association	.171	1	.680
N of Valid Cases	73		

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	12.048 <sup>a</sup>	4	.017
Likelihood Ratio	13.533	4	.009
Linear-by-Linear Association	.171	1	.680

a. 6 cells (60.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .86.

#### PTKAIM \* PC5

##### Crosstab

		PC5					Total	
		1	2	3	4	5		
PTKAIM	0	Count	0	8	18	21	5	52
		% within PTKAIM	.0%	15.4%	34.6%	40.4%	9.6%	100.0%
		% within PC5	.0%	80.0%	85.7%	60.0%	83.3%	71.2%
	1	Count	1	2	3	14	1	21
		% within PTKAIM	4.8%	9.5%	14.3%	66.7%	4.8%	100.0%
		% within PC5	100.0%	20.0%	14.3%	40.0%	16.7%	28.8%
Total		Count	1	10	21	35	6	73
		% within PTKAIM	1.4%	13.7%	28.8%	47.9%	8.2%	100.0%
		% within PC5	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	7.584 <sup>a</sup>	4	.108
Likelihood Ratio	7.857	4	.097
Linear-by-Linear Association	.319	1	.572
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 5 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .29.

#### PTKAIM \* SCS1

##### Crosstab

		SCS1					Total	
		1	2	3	4	5		
PTKAIM	0	Count	1	5	14	29	3	52
		% within PTKAIM	1.9%	9.6%	26.9%	55.8%	5.8%	100.0%
		% within SCS1	100.0%	50.0%	73.7%	72.5%	100.0%	71.2%
	1	Count	0	5	5	11	0	21
		% within PTKAIM	.0%	23.8%	23.8%	52.4%	.0%	100.0%
		% within SCS1	.0%	50.0%	26.3%	27.5%	.0%	28.8%
Total		Count	1	10	19	40	3	73
		% within PTKAIM	1.4%	13.7%	26.0%	54.8%	4.1%	100.0%
		% within SCS1	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.903 <sup>a</sup>	4	.419

Likelihood Ratio	4.791	4	.309
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.372	1	.242
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 5 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .29.

## PTKAIM \* SCS2X

Crosstab

			SCS2X					Total	
			1	2	3	4	5		
PTKAIM	0	Count	3	30	16	2	1	52	
		% within PTKAIM	5.8%	57.7%	30.8%	3.8%	1.9%	100.0%	
		% within SCS2X	37.5%	75.0%	76.2%	66.7%	100.0%	71.2%	
	1	Count	5	10	5	1	0	21	
		% within PTKAIM	23.8%	47.6%	23.8%	4.8%	.0%	100.0%	
		% within SCS2X	62.5%	25.0%	23.8%	33.3%	.0%	28.8%	
Total		Count	8	40	21	3	1	73	
		% within PTKAIM	11.0%	54.8%	28.8%	4.1%	1.4%	100.0%	
		% within SCS2X	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.406 <sup>a</sup>	4	.248
Likelihood Ratio	5.164	4	.271
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.080	1	.149
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 5 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .29.

## PTKAIM \* SCS3

Crosstab

			SCS3					Total	
			1	2	3	4	5		
PTKAIM	0	Count	0	14	22	14	2	52	
		% within PTKAIM	.0%	26.9%	42.3%	26.9%	3.8%	100.0%	
		% within SCS3	.0%	58.3%	68.8%	100.0%	100.0%	71.2%	
	1	Count	1	10	10	0	0	21	
		% within PTKAIM	4.8%	47.6%	47.6%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	
		% within SCS3	100.0%	41.7%	31.2%	.0%	.0%	28.8%	
Total		Count	1	24	32	14	2	73	
		% within PTKAIM	1.4%	32.9%	43.8%	19.2%	2.7%	100.0%	
		% within SCS3	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	10.983 <sup>a</sup>	4	.027
Likelihood Ratio	15.257	4	.004
Linear-by-Linear Association	9.217	1	.002

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	10.983 <sup>a</sup>	4	.027
Likelihood Ratio	15.257	4	.004
Linear-by-Linear Association	9.217	1	.002
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 5 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .29.

#### PTKAIM \* RC1X

##### Crosstab

		RC1X				Total	
		1	2	3	4		
PTKAIM	0	Count	5	17	13	17	52
		% within PTKAIM	9.6%	32.7%	25.0%	32.7%	100.0%
		% within RC1X	62.5%	65.4%	72.2%	81.0%	71.2%
	1	Count	3	9	5	4	21
		% within PTKAIM	14.3%	42.9%	23.8%	19.0%	100.0%
		% within RC1X	37.5%	34.6%	27.8%	19.0%	28.8%
Total	Count	8	26	18	21	73	
	% within PTKAIM	11.0%	35.6%	24.7%	28.8%	100.0%	
	% within RC1X	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.708 <sup>a</sup>	3	.635
Likelihood Ratio	1.760	3	.624
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.622	1	.203
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 1 cells (12.5%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.30.

#### PTKAIM \* RC2X

##### Crosstab

		RC2X					Total	
		1	2	3	4	5		
PTKAIM	0	Count	4	14	16	17	1	52
		% within PTKAIM	7.7%	26.9%	30.8%	32.7%	1.9%	100.0%
		% within RC2X	57.1%	60.9%	94.1%	68.0%	100.0%	71.2%
	1	Count	3	9	1	8	0	21
		% within PTKAIM	14.3%	42.9%	4.8%	38.1%	.0%	100.0%
		% within RC2X	42.9%	39.1%	5.9%	32.0%	.0%	28.8%
Total	Count	7	23	17	25	1	73	
	% within PTKAIM	9.6%	31.5%	23.3%	34.2%	1.4%	100.0%	
	% within RC2X	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)

Pearson Chi-Square	6.760 <sup>a</sup>	4	.149
Likelihood Ratio	8.308	4	.081
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.041	1	.308
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 5 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .29.

## PTKAIM \* RC3

Crosstab

			RC3					Total	
			1	2	3	4	5		
PTKAIM	0	Count	1	10	18	20	3	52	
		% within PTKAIM	1.9%	19.2%	34.6%	38.5%	5.8%	100.0%	
		% within RC3	50.0%	55.6%	72.0%	83.3%	75.0%	71.2%	
	1	Count	1	8	7	4	1	21	
		% within PTKAIM	4.8%	38.1%	33.3%	19.0%	4.8%	100.0%	
		% within RC3	50.0%	44.4%	28.0%	16.7%	25.0%	28.8%	
Total		Count	2	18	25	24	4	73	
		% within PTKAIM	2.7%	24.7%	34.2%	32.9%	5.5%	100.0%	
		% within RC3	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.349 <sup>a</sup>	4	.361
Likelihood Ratio	4.331	4	.363
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.522	1	.061
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 4 cells (40.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .58.

## PTKAIM \* RC4

Crosstab

			RC4					Total	
			1	2	3	4	5		
PTKAIM	0	Count	3	4	12	30	3	52	
		% within PTKAIM	5.8%	7.7%	23.1%	57.7%	5.8%	100.0%	
		% within RC4	100.0%	50.0%	75.0%	71.4%	75.0%	71.2%	
	1	Count	0	4	4	12	1	21	
		% within PTKAIM	.0%	19.0%	19.0%	57.1%	4.8%	100.0%	
		% within RC4	.0%	50.0%	25.0%	28.6%	25.0%	28.8%	
Total		Count	3	8	16	42	4	73	
		% within PTKAIM	4.1%	11.0%	21.9%	57.5%	5.5%	100.0%	
		% within RC4	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.111 <sup>a</sup>	4	.539
Likelihood Ratio	3.769	4	.438

Linear-by-Linear Association	.010	1	.920
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 6 cells (60.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .86.

## PTKAIM \* RA1

Crosstab

			RA1					Total
			1	2	3	4	5	
PTKAIM	0	Count	1	1	8	36	6	52
		% within PTKAIM	1.9%	1.9%	15.4%	69.2%	11.5%	100.0%
		% within RA1	50.0%	25.0%	66.7%	73.5%	100.0%	71.2%
	1	Count	1	3	4	13	0	21
		% within PTKAIM	4.8%	14.3%	19.0%	61.9%	.0%	100.0%
		% within RA1	50.0%	75.0%	33.3%	26.5%	.0%	28.8%
Total		Count	2	4	12	49	6	73
		% within PTKAIM	2.7%	5.5%	16.4%	67.1%	8.2%	100.0%
		% within RA1	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	7.277 <sup>a</sup>	4	.122
Likelihood Ratio	8.364	4	.079
Linear-by-Linear Association	5.433	1	.020
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 7 cells (70.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .58.

## PTKAIM \* RA2X

Crosstab

			RA2X					Total
			1	2	3	4	5	
PTKAIM	0	Count	3	9	19	18	3	52
		% within PTKAIM	5.8%	17.3%	36.5%	34.6%	5.8%	100.0%
		% within RA2X	75.0%	56.2%	76.0%	72.0%	100.0%	71.2%
	1	Count	1	7	6	7	0	21
		% within PTKAIM	4.8%	33.3%	28.6%	33.3%	.0%	100.0%
		% within RA2X	25.0%	43.8%	24.0%	28.0%	.0%	28.8%
Total		Count	4	16	25	25	3	73
		% within PTKAIM	5.5%	21.9%	34.2%	34.2%	4.1%	100.0%
		% within RA2X	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.276 <sup>a</sup>	4	.513
Likelihood Ratio	3.977	4	.409
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.135	1	.287
N of Valid Cases	73		

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.276 <sup>a</sup>	4	.513
Likelihood Ratio	3.977	4	.409
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.135	1	.287

a. 5 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .86.

#### PTKAIM \* RA3

##### Crosstab

			RA3				Total
			2	3	4	5	
PTKAIM	0	Count	4	8	36	4	52
		% within PTKAIM	7.7%	15.4%	69.2%	7.7%	100.0%
		% within RA3	66.7%	88.9%	67.9%	80.0%	71.2%
	1	Count	2	1	17	1	21
		% within PTKAIM	9.5%	4.8%	81.0%	4.8%	100.0%
		% within RA3	33.3%	11.1%	32.1%	20.0%	28.8%
Total	Count	6	9	53	5	73	
	% within PTKAIM	8.2%	12.3%	72.6%	6.8%	100.0%	
	% within RA3	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.901 <sup>a</sup>	3	.593
Likelihood Ratio	2.178	3	.536
Linear-by-Linear Association	.051	1	.822
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 5 cells (62.5%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.44.

#### PTKAIM \* RA4X

##### Crosstab

			RA4X					Total
			1	2	3	4	5	
PTKAIM	0	Count	4	17	12	19	0	52
		% within PTKAIM	7.7%	32.7%	23.1%	36.5%	.0%	100.0%
		% within RA4X	50.0%	68.0%	70.6%	86.4%	.0%	71.2%
	1	Count	4	8	5	3	1	21
		% within PTKAIM	19.0%	38.1%	23.8%	14.3%	4.8%	100.0%
		% within RA4X	50.0%	32.0%	29.4%	13.6%	100.0%	28.8%
Total	Count	8	25	17	22	1	73	
	% within PTKAIM	11.0%	34.2%	23.3%	30.1%	1.4%	100.0%	
	% within RA4X	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.825 <sup>a</sup>	4	.145

Likelihood Ratio	7.051	4	.133
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.273	1	.132
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 4 cells (40.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .29.

## PTKAIM \* RA5X

Crosstab

		RA5X				Total	
		1	2	3	4		
PTKAIM	0	Count	3	15	18	16	52
		% within PTKAIM	5.8%	28.8%	34.6%	30.8%	100.0%
		% within RA5X	60.0%	65.2%	72.0%	80.0%	71.2%
	1	Count	2	8	7	4	21
		% within PTKAIM	9.5%	38.1%	33.3%	19.0%	100.0%
		% within RA5X	40.0%	34.8%	28.0%	20.0%	28.8%
Total		Count	5	23	25	20	73
		% within PTKAIM	6.8%	31.5%	34.2%	27.4%	100.0%
		% within RA5X	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.471 <sup>a</sup>	3	.689
Likelihood Ratio	1.494	3	.684
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.440	1	.230
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 2 cells (25.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.44.

## KURSUSLAIN \* TW1

Crosstab

		TW1					Total	
		1	2	3	4	5		
KURSUSLAIN	0	Count	1	0	0	24	11	36
		% within KURSUSLAIN	2.8%	.0%	.0%	66.7%	30.6%	100.0%
		% within TW1	33.3%	.0%	.0%	48.0%	61.1%	49.3%
	1	Count	0	0	1	12	4	17
		% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	.0%	5.9%	70.6%	23.5%	100.0%
		% within TW1	.0%	.0%	100.0%	24.0%	22.2%	23.3%
	2	Count	2	0	0	7	1	10
		% within KURSUSLAIN	20.0%	.0%	.0%	70.0%	10.0%	100.0%
		% within TW1	66.7%	.0%	.0%	14.0%	5.6%	13.7%
	3	Count	0	1	0	7	1	9
		% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	11.1%	.0%	77.8%	11.1%	100.0%
		% within TW1	.0%	100.0%	.0%	14.0%	5.6%	12.3%
	5	Count	0	0	0	0	1	1
		% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% within TW1	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	5.6%	1.4%
Total	Count	3	1	1	50	18	73	

% within KURSUSLAIN	4.1%	1.4%	1.4%	68.5%	24.7%	100.0%
% within TW1	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	23.004 <sup>a</sup>	16	.114
Likelihood Ratio	17.902	16	.330
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.694	1	.193
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 20 cells (80.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .01.

#### KURSUSLAIN \* TW2

##### Crosstab

		TW2					Total		
		1	2	3	4	5			
KURSUSLAIN	0	Count	0	1	4	26	5	36	
		% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	2.8%	11.1%	72.2%	13.9%	100.0%	
		% within TW2	.0%	100.0%	50.0%	46.4%	71.4%	49.3%	
	1	Count	0	0	2	13	2	17	
		% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	.0%	11.8%	76.5%	11.8%	100.0%	
		% within TW2	.0%	.0%	25.0%	23.2%	28.6%	23.3%	
	2	Count	1	0	1	8	0	10	
		% within KURSUSLAIN	10.0%	.0%	10.0%	80.0%	.0%	100.0%	
		% within TW2	100.0%	.0%	12.5%	14.3%	.0%	13.7%	
	3	Count	0	0	1	8	0	9	
		% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	.0%	11.1%	88.9%	.0%	100.0%	
		% within TW2	.0%	.0%	12.5%	14.3%	.0%	12.3%	
	5	Count	0	0	0	1	0	1	
		% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	
		% within TW2	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.8%	.0%	1.4%	
Total		Count	1	1	8	56	7	73	
		% within KURSUSLAIN	1.4%	1.4%	11.0%	76.7%	9.6%	100.0%	
		% within TW2	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	10.506 <sup>a</sup>	16	.839
Likelihood Ratio	10.491	16	.840
Linear-by-Linear Association	.760	1	.383
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 21 cells (84.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .01.

#### KURSUSLAIN \* TW3

##### Crosstab

		TW3					Total
		1	2	3	4	5	

KURSUSLAIN	0	Count	0	2	8	20	6	36
		% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	5.6%	22.2%	55.6%	16.7%	100.0%
		% within TW3	.0%	50.0%	72.7%	42.6%	60.0%	49.3%
	1	Count	0	1	1	12	3	17
		% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	5.9%	5.9%	70.6%	17.6%	100.0%
		% within TW3	.0%	25.0%	9.1%	25.5%	30.0%	23.3%
	2	Count	1	0	2	6	1	10
		% within KURSUSLAIN	10.0%	.0%	20.0%	60.0%	10.0%	100.0%
		% within TW3	100.0%	.0%	18.2%	12.8%	10.0%	13.7%
	3	Count	0	1	0	8	0	9
		% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	11.1%	.0%	88.9%	.0%	100.0%
		% within TW3	.0%	25.0%	.0%	17.0%	.0%	12.3%
	5	Count	0	0	0	1	0	1
		% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within TW3	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.1%	.0%	1.4%
Total		Count	1	4	11	47	10	73
		% within KURSUSLAIN	1.4%	5.5%	15.1%	64.4%	13.7%	100.0%
		% within TW3	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	14.770 <sup>a</sup>	16	.542
Likelihood Ratio	15.801	16	.467
Linear-by-Linear Association	.118	1	.731
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 20 cells (80.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .01.

#### KURSUSLAIN \* TW4

#### Crosstab

		TW4					Total	
		1	2	3	4	5		
KURSUSLAIN	0	Count	1	5	5	24	1	36
		% within KURSUSLAIN	2.8%	13.9%	13.9%	66.7%	2.8%	100.0%
		% within TW4	25.0%	45.5%	35.7%	57.1%	50.0%	49.3%
	1	Count	0	2	5	9	1	17
		% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	11.8%	29.4%	52.9%	5.9%	100.0%
		% within TW4	.0%	18.2%	35.7%	21.4%	50.0%	23.3%
	2	Count	0	3	4	3	0	10
		% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	30.0%	40.0%	30.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within TW4	.0%	27.3%	28.6%	7.1%	.0%	13.7%
	3	Count	2	1	0	6	0	9
		% within KURSUSLAIN	22.2%	11.1%	.0%	66.7%	.0%	100.0%
		% within TW4	50.0%	9.1%	.0%	14.3%	.0%	12.3%
	5	Count	1	0	0	0	0	1
		% within KURSUSLAIN	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within TW4	25.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.4%
Total		Count	4	11	14	42	2	73
		% within KURSUSLAIN	5.5%	15.1%	19.2%	57.5%	2.7%	100.0%
		% within TW4	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	34.149 <sup>a</sup>	16	.005
Likelihood Ratio	23.688	16	.097
Linear-by-Linear Association	6.079	1	.014
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 19 cells (76.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .03.

#### KURSUSLAIN \* TW5

#### Crosstab

			TW5					Total	
			1	2	3	4	5		
KURSUSLAIN	0	Count	1	1	3	24	7	36	
		% within KURSUSLAIN	2.8%	2.8%	8.3%	66.7%	19.4%	100.0%	
		% within TW5	25.0%	33.3%	30.0%	54.5%	58.3%	49.3%	
	1	Count	2	1	3	9	2	17	
		% within KURSUSLAIN	11.8%	5.9%	17.6%	52.9%	11.8%	100.0%	
		% within TW5	50.0%	33.3%	30.0%	20.5%	16.7%	23.3%	
	2	Count	1	0	2	5	2	10	
		% within KURSUSLAIN	10.0%	.0%	20.0%	50.0%	20.0%	100.0%	
		% within TW5	25.0%	.0%	20.0%	11.4%	16.7%	13.7%	
	3	Count	0	1	2	6	0	9	
		% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	11.1%	22.2%	66.7%	.0%	100.0%	
		% within TW5	.0%	33.3%	20.0%	13.6%	.0%	12.3%	
	5	Count	0	0	0	0	1	1	
		% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
		% within TW5	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	8.3%	1.4%	
Total			4	3	10	44	12	73	
			5.5%	4.1%	13.7%	60.3%	16.4%	100.0%	
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	13.754 <sup>a</sup>	16	.617
Likelihood Ratio	14.064	16	.594
Linear-by-Linear Association	.524	1	.469
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 20 cells (80.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .04.

#### KURSUSLAIN \* EJF1

#### Crosstab

			EJF1					Total
			1	2	3	4	5	
KURSUSLAIN	0	Count	0	4	5	22	5	36
		% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	11.1%	13.9%	61.1%	13.9%	100.0%

	% within EJF1	.0%	57.1%	50.0%	47.8%	55.6%	49.3%
1	Count	0	1	4	10	2	17
	% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	5.9%	23.5%	58.8%	11.8%	100.0%
	% within EJF1	.0%	14.3%	40.0%	21.7%	22.2%	23.3%
2	Count	1	1	1	6	1	10
	% within KURSUSLAIN	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	60.0%	10.0%	100.0%
	% within EJF1	100.0%	14.3%	10.0%	13.0%	11.1%	13.7%
3	Count	0	1	0	8	0	9
	% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	11.1%	.0%	88.9%	.0%	100.0%
	% within EJF1	.0%	14.3%	.0%	17.4%	.0%	12.3%
5	Count	0	0	0	0	1	1
	% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% within EJF1	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	11.1%	1.4%
Total	Count	1	7	10	46	9	73
	% within KURSUSLAIN	1.4%	9.6%	13.7%	63.0%	12.3%	100.0%
	% within EJF1	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	18.552 <sup>a</sup>	16	.293
Likelihood Ratio	15.355	16	.499
Linear-by-Linear Association	.058	1	.810
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 21 cells (84.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .01.

#### KURSUSLAIN \* EJF2

#### Crosstab

		EJF2					Total
		1	2	3	4	5	
KURSUSLAIN	0	Count	0	5	6	22	36
		% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	13.9%	16.7%	61.1%	8.3%
		% within EJF2	.0%	50.0%	46.2%	52.4%	50.0%
1	Count	0	2	4	9	2	17
		% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	11.8%	23.5%	52.9%	11.8%
		% within EJF2	.0%	20.0%	30.8%	21.4%	33.3%
2	Count	1	1	2	6	0	10
		% within KURSUSLAIN	10.0%	10.0%	20.0%	60.0%	.0%
		% within EJF2	50.0%	10.0%	15.4%	14.3%	.0%
3	Count	1	2	1	4	1	9
		% within KURSUSLAIN	11.1%	22.2%	11.1%	44.4%	11.1%
		% within EJF2	50.0%	20.0%	7.7%	9.5%	16.7%
5	Count	0	0	0	1	0	1
		% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%
		% within EJF2	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.4%	.0%
Total	Count	2	10	13	42	6	73
	% within KURSUSLAIN	2.7%	13.7%	17.8%	57.5%	8.2%	100.0%
	% within EJF2	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	9.228 <sup>a</sup>	16	.904
Likelihood Ratio	10.000	16	.867
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.141	1	.285
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 20 cells (80.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .03.

### KURSUSLAIN \* EJF3

**Crosstab**

			EJF3					Total	
			1	2	3	4	5		
KURSUSLAIN	0	Count	1	6	9	18	2	36	
		% within KURSUSLAIN	2.8%	16.7%	25.0%	50.0%	5.6%	100.0%	
		% within EJF3	33.3%	40.0%	52.9%	52.9%	50.0%	49.3%	
	1	Count	1	4	5	6	1	17	
		% within KURSUSLAIN	5.9%	23.5%	29.4%	35.3%	5.9%	100.0%	
		% within EJF3	33.3%	26.7%	29.4%	17.6%	25.0%	23.3%	
	2	Count	1	1	1	6	1	10	
		% within KURSUSLAIN	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	60.0%	10.0%	100.0%	
		% within EJF3	33.3%	6.7%	5.9%	17.6%	25.0%	13.7%	
	3	Count	0	4	1	4	0	9	
		% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	44.4%	11.1%	44.4%	.0%	100.0%	
		% within EJF3	.0%	26.7%	5.9%	11.8%	.0%	12.3%	
	5	Count	0	0	1	0	0	1	
		% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	
		% within EJF3	.0%	.0%	5.9%	.0%	.0%	1.4%	
Total		Count	3	15	17	34	4	73	
		% within KURSUSLAIN	4.1%	20.5%	23.3%	46.6%	5.5%	100.0%	
		% within EJF3	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	11.646 <sup>a</sup>	16	.768
Likelihood Ratio	11.662	16	.767
Linear-by-Linear Association	.639	1	.424
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 21 cells (84.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .04.

### KURSUSLAIN \* EJF4

**Crosstab**

			EJF4					Total
			1	2	3	4	5	
KURSUSLAIN	0	Count	1	7	13	12	3	36
		% within KURSUSLAIN	2.8%	19.4%	36.1%	33.3%	8.3%	100.0%
		% within EJF4	50.0%	43.8%	61.9%	46.2%	37.5%	49.3%
	1	Count	0	3	6	6	2	17
		% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	17.4%	33.3%	33.3%	.0%	100.0%
		% within EJF4	.0%	26.7%	46.2%	46.2%	.0%	23.5%

	% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	17.6%	35.3%	35.3%	11.8%	100.0%
	% within EJF4	.0%	18.8%	28.6%	23.1%	25.0%	23.3%
2	Count	1	2	0	6	1	10
	% within KURSUSLAIN	10.0%	20.0%	.0%	60.0%	10.0%	100.0%
	% within EJF4	50.0%	12.5%	.0%	23.1%	12.5%	13.7%
3	Count	0	4	1	2	2	9
	% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	44.4%	11.1%	22.2%	22.2%	100.0%
	% within EJF4	.0%	25.0%	4.8%	7.7%	25.0%	12.3%
5	Count	0	0	1	0	0	1
	% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
	% within EJF4	.0%	.0%	4.8%	.0%	.0%	1.4%
Total	Count	2	16	21	26	8	73
	% within KURSUSLAIN	2.7%	21.9%	28.8%	35.6%	11.0%	100.0%
	% within EJF4	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	15.696 <sup>a</sup>	16	.474
Likelihood Ratio	17.842	16	.333
Linear-by-Linear Association	.000	1	.984
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 21 cells (84.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .03.

## KURSUSLAIN \* EJF5

Crosstab

		EJF5					Total	
		1	2	3	4	5		
KURSUSLAIN	0	0	6	6	20	4	36	
		% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	16.7%	16.7%	55.6%	11.1%	100.0%
		% within EJF5	.0%	75.0%	54.5%	46.5%	50.0%	49.3%
1	1	1	0	5	9	2	17	
		% within KURSUSLAIN	5.9%	.0%	29.4%	52.9%	11.8%	100.0%
		% within EJF5	33.3%	.0%	45.5%	20.9%	25.0%	23.3%
2	2	1	1	0	7	1	10	
		% within KURSUSLAIN	10.0%	10.0%	.0%	70.0%	10.0%	100.0%
		% within EJF5	33.3%	12.5%	.0%	16.3%	12.5%	13.7%
3	3	1	1	0	6	1	9	
		% within KURSUSLAIN	11.1%	11.1%	.0%	66.7%	11.1%	100.0%
		% within EJF5	33.3%	12.5%	.0%	14.0%	12.5%	12.3%
5	5	0	0	0	1	0	1	
		% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within EJF5	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.3%	.0%	1.4%
Total	Count	3	8	11	43	8	73	
	% within KURSUSLAIN	4.1%	11.0%	15.1%	58.9%	11.0%	100.0%	
	% within EJF5	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)

Pearson Chi-Square	12.903 <sup>a</sup>	16	.680	
Likelihood Ratio	18.195	16	.313	
Linear-by-Linear Association	.002	1	.961	
N of Valid Cases	73			

a. 20 cells (80.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .04.

## KURSUSLAIN \* TJF1

Crosstab

			TJF1					Total	
			1	2	3	4	5		
KURSUSLAIN	0	Count	1	6	6	18	5	36	
		% within KURSUSLAIN	2.8%	16.7%	16.7%	50.0%	13.9%	100.0%	
		% within TJF1	50.0%	60.0%	46.2%	45.0%	62.5%	49.3%	
	1	Count	0	2	4	10	1	17	
		% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	11.8%	23.5%	58.8%	5.9%	100.0%	
		% within TJF1	.0%	20.0%	30.8%	25.0%	12.5%	23.3%	
	2	Count	1	1	3	4	1	10	
		% within KURSUSLAIN	10.0%	10.0%	30.0%	40.0%	10.0%	100.0%	
		% within TJF1	50.0%	10.0%	23.1%	10.0%	12.5%	13.7%	
	3	Count	0	1	0	7	1	9	
		% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	11.1%	.0%	77.8%	11.1%	100.0%	
		% within TJF1	.0%	10.0%	.0%	17.5%	12.5%	12.3%	
	5	Count	0	0	0	1	0	1	
		% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	
		% within TJF1	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.5%	.0%	1.4%	
Total		Count	2	10	13	40	8	73	
		% within KURSUSLAIN	2.7%	13.7%	17.8%	54.8%	11.0%	100.0%	
		% within TJF1	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	8.818 <sup>a</sup>	16	.921
Likelihood Ratio	10.558	16	.836
Linear-by-Linear Association	.314	1	.575
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 21 cells (84.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .03.

## KURSUSLAIN \* TJF2

Crosstab

			TJF2					Total
			1	2	3	4	5	
KURSUSLAIN	0	Count	2	5	15	12	2	36
		% within KURSUSLAIN	5.6%	13.9%	41.7%	33.3%	5.6%	100.0%
		% within TJF2	100.0%	35.7%	55.6%	46.2%	50.0%	49.3%
	1	Count	0	5	5	6	1	17
		% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	29.4%	29.4%	35.3%	5.9%	100.0%
		% within TJF2	.0%	35.7%	18.5%	23.1%	25.0%	23.3%

2	Count	0	2	4	3	1	10
	% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	20.0%	40.0%	30.0%	10.0%	100.0%
	% within TJF2	.0%	14.3%	14.8%	11.5%	25.0%	13.7%
3	Count	0	2	3	4	0	9
	% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	22.2%	33.3%	44.4%	.0%	100.0%
	% within TJF2	.0%	14.3%	11.1%	15.4%	.0%	12.3%
5	Count	0	0	0	1	0	1
	% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
	% within TJF2	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.8%	.0%	1.4%
Total	Count	2	14	27	26	4	73
	% within KURSUSLAIN	2.7%	19.2%	37.0%	35.6%	5.5%	100.0%
	% within TJF2	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	7.080 <sup>a</sup>	16	.972
Likelihood Ratio	8.428	16	.935
Linear-by-Linear Association	.289	1	.591
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 20 cells (80.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .03.

#### KURSUSLAIN \* TJF3

		TJF3					Total	
		1	2	3	4	5		
KURSUSLAIN	0	Count	0	7	14	14	1	36
		% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	19.4%	38.9%	38.9%	2.8%	100.0%
		% within TJF3	.0%	50.0%	60.9%	42.4%	50.0%	49.3%
	1	Count	0	3	5	9	0	17
		% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	17.6%	29.4%	52.9%	.0%	100.0%
		% within TJF3	.0%	21.4%	21.7%	27.3%	.0%	23.3%
	2	Count	1	1	3	4	1	10
		% within KURSUSLAIN	10.0%	10.0%	30.0%	40.0%	10.0%	100.0%
		% within TJF3	100.0%	7.1%	13.0%	12.1%	50.0%	13.7%
	3	Count	0	3	1	5	0	9
		% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	33.3%	11.1%	55.6%	.0%	100.0%
		% within TJF3	.0%	21.4%	4.3%	15.2%	.0%	12.3%
	5	Count	0	0	0	1	0	1
		% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within TJF3	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.0%	.0%	1.4%
Total	Count	1	14	23	33	2	73	
	% within KURSUSLAIN	1.4%	19.2%	31.5%	45.2%	2.7%	100.0%	
	% within TJF3	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	14.194 <sup>a</sup>	16	.584
Likelihood Ratio	12.428	16	.714

Linear-by-Linear Association	.138	1	.710
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 20 cells (80.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .01.

## KURSUSLAIN \* TJF4

Crosstab

		TJF4					Total	
		1	2	3	4	5		
KURSUSLAIN	0	Count	1	8	13	12	2	36
		% within KURSUSLAIN	2.8%	22.2%	36.1%	33.3%	5.6%	100.0%
		% within TJF4	100.0%	57.1%	56.5%	41.4%	33.3%	49.3%
	1	Count	0	1	7	8	1	17
		% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	5.9%	41.2%	47.1%	5.9%	100.0%
		% within TJF4	.0%	7.1%	30.4%	27.6%	16.7%	23.3%
	2	Count	0	1	2	6	1	10
		% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	10.0%	20.0%	60.0%	10.0%	100.0%
		% within TJF4	.0%	7.1%	8.7%	20.7%	16.7%	13.7%
Total	3	Count	0	4	0	3	2	9
		% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	44.4%	.0%	33.3%	22.2%	100.0%
		% within TJF4	.0%	28.6%	.0%	10.3%	33.3%	12.3%
	5	Count	0	0	1	0	0	1
		% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within TJF4	.0%	.0%	4.3%	.0%	.0%	1.4%
	Total	Count	1	14	23	29	6	73
		% within KURSUSLAIN	1.4%	19.2%	31.5%	39.7%	8.2%	100.0%
		% within TJF4	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	16.704 <sup>a</sup>	16	.405
Likelihood Ratio	19.144	16	.261
Linear-by-Linear Association	.826	1	.363
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 20 cells (80.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .01.

## KURSUSLAIN \* PC1X

Crosstab

		PC1X					Total	
		1	2	3	4	5		
KURSUSLAIN	0	Count	1	18	12	5	0	36
		% within KURSUSLAIN	2.8%	50.0%	33.3%	13.9%	.0%	100.0%
		% within PC1X	25.0%	52.9%	48.0%	55.6%	.0%	49.3%
	1	Count	1	5	8	2	1	17
		% within KURSUSLAIN	5.9%	29.4%	47.1%	11.8%	5.9%	100.0%
		% within PC1X	25.0%	14.7%	32.0%	22.2%	100.0%	23.3%
	2	Count	1	5	2	2	0	10
		% within KURSUSLAIN	10.0%	50.0%	20.0%	20.0%	.0%	100.0%

	% within PC1X	25.0%	14.7%	8.0%	22.2%	.0%	13.7%
3	Count	1	6	2	0	0	9
	% within KURSUSLAIN	11.1%	66.7%	22.2%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
	% within PC1X	25.0%	17.6%	8.0%	.0%	.0%	12.3%
5	Count	0	0	1	0	0	1
	% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
	% within PC1X	.0%	.0%	4.0%	.0%	.0%	1.4%
Total	Count	4	34	25	9	1	73
	% within KURSUSLAIN	5.5%	46.6%	34.2%	12.3%	1.4%	100.0%
	% within PC1X	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	12.009 <sup>a</sup>	16	.743
Likelihood Ratio	12.923	16	.678
Linear-by-Linear Association	.876	1	.349
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 21 cells (84.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .01.

## KURSUSLAIN \* PC2

Crosstab

		PC2					Total
		1	2	3	4	5	
KURSUSLAIN	0	Count	0	3	9	21	3
		% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	8.3%	25.0%	58.3%	8.3%
		% within PC2	.0%	42.9%	50.0%	48.8%	75.0%
1	Count	0	2	6	8	1	17
		% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	11.8%	35.3%	47.1%	5.9%
		% within PC2	.0%	28.6%	33.3%	18.6%	25.0%
2	Count	1	1	1	7	0	10
		% within KURSUSLAIN	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	70.0%	.0%
		% within PC2	100.0%	14.3%	5.6%	16.3%	.0%
3	Count	0	1	1	7	0	9
		% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	11.1%	11.1%	77.8%	.0%
		% within PC2	.0%	14.3%	5.6%	16.3%	.0%
5	Count	0	0	1	0	0	1
		% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%
		% within PC2	.0%	.0%	5.6%	.0%	1.4%
Total		Count	1	7	18	43	4
		% within KURSUSLAIN	1.4%	9.6%	24.7%	58.9%	5.5%
		% within PC2	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	14.573 <sup>a</sup>	16	.556
Likelihood Ratio	13.247	16	.655
Linear-by-Linear Association	.522	1	.470
N of Valid Cases	73		

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	14.573 <sup>a</sup>	16	.556
Likelihood Ratio	13.247	16	.655
Linear-by-Linear Association	.522	1	.470

a. 20 cells (80.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .01.

#### KURSUSLAIN \* PC3X

##### Crosstab

			PC3X					Total	
			1	2	3	4	5		
KURSUSLAIN	0	Count	2	18	8	7	1	36	
		% within KURSUSLAIN	5.6%	50.0%	22.2%	19.4%	2.8%	100.0%	
		% within PC3X	33.3%	45.0%	66.7%	50.0%	100.0%	49.3%	
	1	Count	1	9	3	4	0	17	
		% within KURSUSLAIN	5.9%	52.9%	17.6%	23.5%	.0%	100.0%	
		% within PC3X	16.7%	22.5%	25.0%	28.6%	.0%	23.3%	
	2	Count	2	4	1	3	0	10	
		% within KURSUSLAIN	20.0%	40.0%	10.0%	30.0%	.0%	100.0%	
		% within PC3X	33.3%	10.0%	8.3%	21.4%	.0%	13.7%	
	3	Count	1	8	0	0	0	9	
		% within KURSUSLAIN	11.1%	88.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	
		% within PC3X	16.7%	20.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	12.3%	
	5	Count	0	1	0	0	0	1	
		% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	
		% within PC3X	.0%	2.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.4%	
Total			6	40	12	14	1	73	
			8.2%	54.8%	16.4%	19.2%	1.4%	100.0%	
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	11.499 <sup>a</sup>	16	.778
Likelihood Ratio	14.617	16	.553
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.702	1	.054
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 20 cells (80.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .01.

#### KURSUSLAIN \* PC4X

##### Crosstab

			PC4X					Total
			1	2	3	4	5	
KURSUSLAIN	0	Count	3	18	6	9	0	36
		% within KURSUSLAIN	8.3%	50.0%	16.7%	25.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within PC4X	75.0%	48.6%	46.2%	56.2%	.0%	49.3%
	1	Count	1	5	4	6	1	17
		% within KURSUSLAIN	5.9%	29.4%	23.5%	35.3%	5.9%	100.0%

	% within PC4X	25.0%	13.5%	30.8%	37.5%	33.3%	23.3%
2	Count	0	8	1	1	0	10
	% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	80.0%	10.0%	10.0%	.0%	100.0%
	% within PC4X	.0%	21.6%	7.7%	6.2%	.0%	13.7%
3	Count	0	5	2	0	2	9
	% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	55.6%	22.2%	.0%	22.2%	100.0%
	% within PC4X	.0%	13.5%	15.4%	.0%	66.7%	12.3%
5	Count	0	1	0	0	0	1
	% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
	% within PC4X	.0%	2.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.4%
Total	Count	4	37	13	16	3	73
	% within KURSUSLAIN	5.5%	50.7%	17.8%	21.9%	4.1%	100.0%
	% within PC4X	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	19.962 <sup>a</sup>	16	.222
Likelihood Ratio	21.454	16	.162
Linear-by-Linear Association	.005	1	.941
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 20 cells (80.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .04.

## KURSUSLAIN \* PC5

Crosstab

		PC5					Total	
		1	2	3	4	5		
KURSUSLAIN	0	Count	0	6	12	14	4	36
		% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	16.7%	33.3%	38.9%	11.1%	100.0%
		% within PC5	.0%	60.0%	57.1%	40.0%	66.7%	49.3%
1	Count	0	2	6	8	1	17	
		% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	11.8%	35.3%	47.1%	5.9%	100.0%
		% within PC5	.0%	20.0%	28.6%	22.9%	16.7%	23.3%
2	Count	1	1	3	4	1	10	
		% within KURSUSLAIN	10.0%	10.0%	30.0%	40.0%	10.0%	100.0%
		% within PC5	100.0%	10.0%	14.3%	11.4%	16.7%	13.7%
3	Count	0	1	0	8	0	9	
		% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	11.1%	.0%	88.9%	.0%	100.0%
		% within PC5	.0%	10.0%	.0%	22.9%	.0%	12.3%
5	Count	0	0	0	1	0	1	
		% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within PC5	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.9%	.0%	1.4%
Total	Count	1	10	21	35	6	73	
	% within KURSUSLAIN	1.4%	13.7%	28.8%	47.9%	8.2%	100.0%	
	% within PC5	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	16.055 <sup>a</sup>	16	.449

Likelihood Ratio	16.786	16	.400
Linear-by-Linear Association	.627	1	.429
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 22 cells (88.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .01.

## KURSUSLAIN \* SCS1

			Crosstab					Total	
			1	2	3	4	5		
KURSUSLAIN	0	Count	1	3	10	20	2	36	
		% within KURSUSLAIN	2.8%	8.3%	27.8%	55.6%	5.6%	100.0%	
		% within SCS1	100.0%	30.0%	52.6%	50.0%	66.7%	49.3%	
	1	Count	0	3	6	7	1	17	
		% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	17.6%	35.3%	41.2%	5.9%	100.0%	
		% within SCS1	.0%	30.0%	31.6%	17.5%	33.3%	23.3%	
	2	Count	0	2	0	8	0	10	
		% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	20.0%	.0%	80.0%	.0%	100.0%	
		% within SCS1	.0%	20.0%	.0%	20.0%	.0%	13.7%	
	3	Count	0	2	3	4	0	9	
		% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	22.2%	33.3%	44.4%	.0%	100.0%	
		% within SCS1	.0%	20.0%	15.8%	10.0%	.0%	12.3%	
	5	Count	0	0	0	1	0	1	
		% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	
		% within SCS1	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.5%	.0%	1.4%	
Total		Count	1	10	19	40	3	73	
		% within KURSUSLAIN	1.4%	13.7%	26.0%	54.8%	4.1%	100.0%	
		% within SCS1	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	9.955 <sup>a</sup>	16	.869
Likelihood Ratio	13.899	16	.606
Linear-by-Linear Association	.144	1	.704
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 21 cells (84.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .01.

## KURSUSLAIN \* SCS2X

			Crosstab					Total
			1	2	3	4	5	
KURSUSLAIN	0	Count	2	23	10	0	1	36
		% within KURSUSLAIN	5.6%	63.9%	27.8%	.0%	2.8%	100.0%
		% within SCS2X	25.0%	57.5%	47.6%	.0%	100.0%	49.3%
	1	Count	2	7	5	3	0	17
		% within KURSUSLAIN	11.8%	41.2%	29.4%	17.6%	.0%	100.0%
		% within SCS2X	25.0%	17.5%	23.8%	100.0%	.0%	23.3%
	2	Count	2	5	3	0	0	10
		% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	0.0%
		% within SCS2X	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	0.0%

	% within KURSUSLAIN	20.0%	50.0%	30.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
	% within SCS2X	25.0%	12.5%	14.3%	.0%	.0%	13.7%
3	Count	2	4	3	0	0	9
	% within KURSUSLAIN	22.2%	44.4%	33.3%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
	% within SCS2X	25.0%	10.0%	14.3%	.0%	.0%	12.3%
5	Count	0	1	0	0	0	1
	% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
	% within SCS2X	.0%	2.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.4%
Total	Count	8	40	21	3	1	73
	% within KURSUSLAIN	11.0%	54.8%	28.8%	4.1%	1.4%	100.0%
	% within SCS2X	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	15.859 <sup>a</sup>	16	.463
Likelihood Ratio	15.291	16	.503
Linear-by-Linear Association	.784	1	.376
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 21 cells (84.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .01.

#### KURSUSLAIN \* SCS3

#### Crosstab

		SCS3					Total	
		1	2	3	4	5		
KURSUSLAIN	0	Count	0	8	17	11	0	36
		% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	22.2%	47.2%	30.6%	.0%	100.0%
		% within SCS3	.0%	33.3%	53.1%	78.6%	.0%	49.3%
1	1	Count	0	8	7	2	0	17
		% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	47.1%	41.2%	11.8%	.0%	100.0%
		% within SCS3	.0%	33.3%	21.9%	14.3%	.0%	23.3%
2	2	Count	1	3	3	1	2	10
		% within KURSUSLAIN	10.0%	30.0%	30.0%	10.0%	20.0%	100.0%
		% within SCS3	100.0%	12.5%	9.4%	7.1%	100.0%	13.7%
3	3	Count	0	5	4	0	0	9
		% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	55.6%	44.4%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within SCS3	.0%	20.8%	12.5%	.0%	.0%	12.3%
5	5	Count	0	0	1	0	0	1
		% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within SCS3	.0%	.0%	3.1%	.0%	.0%	1.4%
Total		Count	1	24	32	14	2	73
		% within KURSUSLAIN	1.4%	32.9%	43.8%	19.2%	2.7%	100.0%
		% within SCS3	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	29.498 <sup>a</sup>	16	.021
Likelihood Ratio	24.224	16	.085
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.707	1	.100

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	29.498 <sup>a</sup>	16	.021
Likelihood Ratio	24.224	16	.085
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.707	1	.100
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 20 cells (80.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .01.

#### KURSUSLAIN \* RC1X

#### Crosstab

			RC1X				Total	
			1	2	3	4		
KURSUSLAIN	0	Count	5	11	11	9	36	
		% within KURSUSLAIN	13.9%	30.6%	30.6%	25.0%	100.0%	
		% within RC1X	62.5%	42.3%	61.1%	42.9%	49.3%	
	1	Count	2	5	4	6	17	
		% within KURSUSLAIN	11.8%	29.4%	23.5%	35.3%	100.0%	
		% within RC1X	25.0%	19.2%	22.2%	28.6%	23.3%	
	2	Count	1	5	1	3	10	
		% within KURSUSLAIN	10.0%	50.0%	10.0%	30.0%	100.0%	
		% within RC1X	12.5%	19.2%	5.6%	14.3%	13.7%	
	3	Count	0	5	2	2	9	
		% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	55.6%	22.2%	22.2%	100.0%	
		% within RC1X	.0%	19.2%	11.1%	9.5%	12.3%	
	5	Count	0	0	0	1	1	
		% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
		% within RC1X	.0%	.0%	.0%	4.8%	1.4%	
Total		Count	8	26	18	21	73	
		% within KURSUSLAIN	11.0%	35.6%	24.7%	28.8%	100.0%	
		% within RC1X	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	7.769 <sup>a</sup>	12	.803
Likelihood Ratio	8.783	12	.721
Linear-by-Linear Association	.237	1	.626
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 16 cells (80.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .11.

#### KURSUSLAIN \* RC2X

#### Crosstab

			RC2X					Total
			1	2	3	4	5	
KURSUSLAIN	0	Count	2	11	12	10	1	36
		% within KURSUSLAIN	5.6%	30.6%	33.3%	27.8%	2.8%	100.0%
		% within RC2X	28.6%	47.8%	70.6%	40.0%	100.0%	49.3%
	1	Count	3	4	4	6	0	17
		% within KURSUSLAIN	17.6%	22.2%	22.2%	35.3%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within RC2X	17.6%	22.2%	22.2%	35.3%	0.0%	100.0%
	2	Count	1	2	2	3	1	9
		% within KURSUSLAIN	11.1%	22.2%	22.2%	33.3%	11.1%	100.0%
		% within RC2X	11.1%	22.2%	22.2%	33.3%	11.1%	100.0%
	3	Count	0	1	1	1	0	4
		% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within RC2X	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
	5	Count	0	0	0	0	1	1
		% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within RC2X	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%

	% within KURSUSLAIN	17.6%	23.5%	23.5%	35.3%	.0%	100.0%
	% within RC2X	42.9%	17.4%	23.5%	24.0%	.0%	23.3%
2	Count	1	4	1	4	0	10
	% within KURSUSLAIN	10.0%	40.0%	10.0%	40.0%	.0%	100.0%
	% within RC2X	14.3%	17.4%	5.9%	16.0%	.0%	13.7%
3	Count	1	4	0	4	0	9
	% within KURSUSLAIN	11.1%	44.4%	.0%	44.4%	.0%	100.0%
	% within RC2X	14.3%	17.4%	.0%	16.0%	.0%	12.3%
5	Count	0	0	0	1	0	1
	% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
	% within RC2X	.0%	.0%	.0%	4.0%	.0%	1.4%
Total	Count	7	23	17	25	1	73
	% within KURSUSLAIN	9.6%	31.5%	23.3%	34.2%	1.4%	100.0%
	% within RC2X	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	11.010 <sup>a</sup>	16	.809
Likelihood Ratio	13.565	16	.631
Linear-by-Linear Association	.002	1	.965
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 20 cells (80.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .01.

## KURSUSLAIN \* RC3

Crosstab

		RC3					Total	
		1	2	3	4	5		
KURSUSLAIN	0	Count	1	6	13	15	1	36
		% within KURSUSLAIN	2.8%	16.7%	36.1%	41.7%	2.8%	100.0%
		% within RC3	50.0%	33.3%	52.0%	62.5%	25.0%	49.3%
	1	Count	0	4	7	4	2	17
		% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	23.5%	41.2%	23.5%	11.8%	100.0%
		% within RC3	.0%	22.2%	28.0%	16.7%	50.0%	23.3%
	2	Count	1	3	2	3	1	10
		% within KURSUSLAIN	10.0%	30.0%	20.0%	30.0%	10.0%	100.0%
		% within RC3	50.0%	16.7%	8.0%	12.5%	25.0%	13.7%
	3	Count	0	4	3	2	0	9
		% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	44.4%	33.3%	22.2%	.0%	100.0%
		% within RC3	.0%	22.2%	12.0%	8.3%	.0%	12.3%
	5	Count	0	1	0	0	0	1
		% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within RC3	.0%	5.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.4%
Total	Count	2	18	25	24	4	73	
	% within KURSUSLAIN	2.7%	24.7%	34.2%	32.9%	5.5%	100.0%	
	% within RC3	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)

Pearson Chi-Square	13.253 <sup>a</sup>	16	.654	
Likelihood Ratio	13.094	16	.666	
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.084	1	.079	
N of Valid Cases	73			

a. 20 cells (80.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .03.

## KURSUSLAIN \* RC4

Crosstab

			RC4					Total	
			1	2	3	4	5		
KURSUSLAIN	0	Count	2	2	12	18	2	36	
		% within KURSUSLAIN	5.6%	5.6%	33.3%	50.0%	5.6%	100.0%	
		% within RC4	66.7%	25.0%	75.0%	42.9%	50.0%	49.3%	
	1	Count	1	1	2	12	1	17	
		% within KURSUSLAIN	5.9%	5.9%	11.8%	70.6%	5.9%	100.0%	
		% within RC4	33.3%	12.5%	12.5%	28.6%	25.0%	23.3%	
	2	Count	0	2	0	7	1	10	
		% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	20.0%	.0%	70.0%	10.0%	100.0%	
		% within RC4	.0%	25.0%	.0%	16.7%	25.0%	13.7%	
	3	Count	0	2	2	5	0	9	
		% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	22.2%	22.2%	55.6%	.0%	100.0%	
		% within RC4	.0%	25.0%	12.5%	11.9%	.0%	12.3%	
	5	Count	0	1	0	0	0	1	
		% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	
		% within RC4	.0%	12.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.4%	
Total		Count	3	8	16	42	4	73	
		% within KURSUSLAIN	4.1%	11.0%	21.9%	57.5%	5.5%	100.0%	
		% within RC4	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	19.504 <sup>a</sup>	16	.243
Likelihood Ratio	18.897	16	.274
Linear-by-Linear Association	.309	1	.578
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 20 cells (80.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .04.

## KURSUSLAIN \* RA1

Crosstab

			RA1					Total
			1	2	3	4	5	
KURSUSLAIN	0	Count	1	1	7	23	4	36
		% within KURSUSLAIN	2.8%	2.8%	19.4%	63.9%	11.1%	100.0%
		% within RA1	50.0%	25.0%	58.3%	46.9%	66.7%	49.3%
	1	Count	0	0	3	13	1	17
		% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	.0%	17.6%	76.5%	5.9%	100.0%
		% within RA1	.0%	.0%	25.0%	26.5%	16.7%	23.3%

2	Count	1	0	1	7	1	10
	% within KURSUSLAIN	10.0%	.0%	10.0%	70.0%	10.0%	100.0%
	% within RA1	50.0%	.0%	8.3%	14.3%	16.7%	13.7%
3	Count	0	3	1	5	0	9
	% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	33.3%	11.1%	55.6%	.0%	100.0%
	% within RA1	.0%	75.0%	8.3%	10.2%	.0%	12.3%
5	Count	0	0	0	1	0	1
	% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
	% within RA1	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.0%	.0%	1.4%
Total	Count	2	4	12	49	6	73
	% within KURSUSLAIN	2.7%	5.5%	16.4%	67.1%	8.2%	100.0%
	% within RA1	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	20.176 <sup>a</sup>	16	.212
Likelihood Ratio	15.837	16	.464
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.613	1	.204
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 20 cells (80.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .03.

#### KURSUSLAIN \* RA2X

		RA2X					Total
		1	2	3	4	5	
KURSUSLAIN	0	Count	1	10	14	8	36
		% within KURSUSLAIN	2.8%	27.8%	38.9%	22.2%	8.3%
		% within RA2X	25.0%	62.5%	56.0%	32.0%	100.0%
	1	Count	2	0	6	9	0
		% within KURSUSLAIN	11.8%	.0%	35.3%	52.9%	.0%
		% within RA2X	50.0%	.0%	24.0%	36.0%	.0%
	2	Count	1	3	1	5	0
		% within KURSUSLAIN	10.0%	30.0%	10.0%	50.0%	.0%
		% within RA2X	25.0%	18.8%	4.0%	20.0%	.0%
	3	Count	0	2	4	3	0
		% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	22.2%	44.4%	33.3%	.0%
		% within RA2X	.0%	12.5%	16.0%	12.0%	.0%
	5	Count	0	1	0	0	0
		% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
		% within RA2X	.0%	6.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Total	Count	4	16	25	25	3	73
	% within KURSUSLAIN	5.5%	21.9%	34.2%	34.2%	4.1%	100.0%
	% within RA2X	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	19.968 <sup>a</sup>	16	.222
Likelihood Ratio	24.943	16	.071

Linear-by-Linear Association	.141	1	.707
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 20 cells (80.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .04.

## KURSUSLAIN \* RA3

Crosstab

			RA3				Total	
			2	3	4	5		
KURSUSLAIN	0	Count	3	5	26	2	36	
		% within KURSUSLAIN	8.3%	13.9%	72.2%	5.6%	100.0%	
		% within RA3	50.0%	55.6%	49.1%	40.0%	49.3%	
	1	Count	1	2	13	1	17	
		% within KURSUSLAIN	5.9%	11.8%	76.5%	5.9%	100.0%	
		% within RA3	16.7%	22.2%	24.5%	20.0%	23.3%	
	2	Count	1	1	7	1	10	
		% within KURSUSLAIN	10.0%	10.0%	70.0%	10.0%	100.0%	
		% within RA3	16.7%	11.1%	13.2%	20.0%	13.7%	
	3	Count	1	1	7	0	9	
		% within KURSUSLAIN	11.1%	11.1%	77.8%	.0%	100.0%	
		% within RA3	16.7%	11.1%	13.2%	.0%	12.3%	
	5	Count	0	0	0	1	1	
		% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
		% within RA3	.0%	.0%	.0%	20.0%	1.4%	
Total		Count	6	9	53	5	73	
		% within KURSUSLAIN	8.2%	12.3%	72.6%	6.8%	100.0%	
		% within RA3	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	14.925 <sup>a</sup>	12	.246
Likelihood Ratio	7.293	12	.838
Linear-by-Linear Association	.363	1	.547
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 16 cells (80.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .07.

## KURSUSLAIN \* RA4X

Crosstab

			RA4X					Total
			1	2	3	4	5	
KURSUSLAIN	0	Count	4	15	7	10	0	36
		% within KURSUSLAIN	11.1%	41.7%	19.4%	27.8%	.0%	100.0%
		% within RA4X	50.0%	60.0%	41.2%	45.5%	.0%	49.3%
	1	Count	1	4	5	7	0	17
		% within KURSUSLAIN	5.9%	23.5%	29.4%	41.2%	.0%	100.0%
		% within RA4X	12.5%	16.0%	29.4%	31.8%	.0%	23.3%
	2	Count	2	2	2	4	0	10
		% within KURSUSLAIN	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within RA4X	25.0%	8.0%	11.8%	18.2%	.0%	13.7%
	3	Count	1	4	2	1	1	9
		% within KURSUSLAIN	11.1%	44.4%	22.2%	11.1%	11.1%	100.0%

	% within RA4X	12.5%	16.0%	11.8%	4.5%	100.0%	12.3%
5	Count	0	0	1	0	0	1
	% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
	% within RA4X	.0%	.0%	5.9%	.0%	.0%	1.4%
Total	Count	8	25	17	22	1	73
	% within KURSUSLAIN	11.0%	34.2%	23.3%	30.1%	1.4%	100.0%
	% within RA4X	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	16.264 <sup>a</sup>	16	.435
Likelihood Ratio	13.238	16	.655
Linear-by-Linear Association	.154	1	.695
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 20 cells (80.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .01.

#### KURSUSLAIN \* RA5X

#### Crosstab

		RA5X				Total	
		1	2	3	4		
KURSUSLAIN	0	Count	2	13	12	9	36
		% within KURSUSLAIN	5.6%	36.1%	33.3%	25.0%	100.0%
		% within RA5X	40.0%	56.5%	48.0%	45.0%	49.3%
1	Count	0	5	7	5	17	
		% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	29.4%	41.2%	29.4%	100.0%
		% within RA5X	.0%	21.7%	28.0%	25.0%	23.3%
2	Count	3	0	2	5	10	
		% within KURSUSLAIN	30.0%	.0%	20.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within RA5X	60.0%	.0%	8.0%	25.0%	13.7%
3	Count	0	4	4	1	9	
		% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	44.4%	44.4%	11.1%	100.0%
		% within RA5X	.0%	17.4%	16.0%	5.0%	12.3%
5	Count	0	1	0	0	1	
		% within KURSUSLAIN	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within RA5X	.0%	4.3%	.0%	.0%	1.4%
Total		Count	5	23	25	20	73
		% within KURSUSLAIN	6.8%	31.5%	34.2%	27.4%	100.0%
		% within RA5X	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	19.712 <sup>a</sup>	12	.073
Likelihood Ratio	21.082	12	.049
Linear-by-Linear Association	.167	1	.683
N of Valid Cases	73		

a. 15 cells (75.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .07.