#### THESIS

## MODELLING OF SOIL STRUCTURE BEHAVIOUR DURING MONOTONIC AND CYCLIC LOADING

#### Irma ALMANYA 0706303533

Thesis submitted in partial fulfilments of the requirements For the Master Degree in Civil Engineering

Tutor:

Dr. Eric VINCENS Associate Professor Department of Solid Mechanics Laboratoire de Tribologie et Dynamique des Systèmes Ecole Centrale de Lyon – France

2009

Post Graduate Program

**Civil Engineering** 



In joint cooperation with



Ecole Centrale de Lyon France

# **APPROVAL PAGE**

#### THESIS

#### TITLE:

## MODELLING OF SOIL STRUCTURE BEHAVIOUR DURING MONOTONIC AND CYCLIC LOADING

By Irma ALMANYA 0706303533

THIS THESIS HAS BEEN APPROVED IN THE PRESENTATION ON 22<sup>th</sup> JANUARY 2009

Approved by Tutors

Dr.Eric Vincens

## STATEMENT OF THE THESIS ORIGINALITY

I, hereby, declare that the following thesis, entitled:

# MODELLING OF SOIL STRUCTURE BEHAVIOUR DURING MONOTONIC AND CYCLIC LOADING

That had been made and examined to complete part of the qualifications to be a Magister of Engineering at Postgraduate Program of Civil Engineering, University of Indonesia, is original; is not a duplication of another published thesis, nor being used to get Master Degree in University of Indonesia or in any other colleges, universities and institutions; except the references that had been informed as per printed.

Lyon, January 22<sup>nd</sup>, 2009

Irma ALMANYA NPM.0706303533

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This research was performed at the Ecole Centrale de Lyon, France at *Laboratoire de Tribiologie et Dynamique des Systèmes* (LTDS) as a realization of a joint cooperation between the University of Indonesia and the Ecole Centrale de Lyon on the Post Graduate Program in Civil Engineering University of Indonesia.

First of all I want to thank Allah SWT, for His Grace and His Guidance, Who gave me the opportunity and courage to study in France for these last three and a half years.

I would like to say thank you, especially, for Doctor Eric VINCENS, who accepts me in his laboratory, for his guidance, his tutorials and his support during my research

I also wish to express my gratitude for Prof. Irwan KATILI, for his support and trust for all these years that I have spent as an engineering student of the University of Indonesia. Thank you also, to Mr. Yuhanis YUNUS, Mr. Ngoc-Son NGUYEN, Mr. Tegar RINALDY, Mr. Yoann BAGAGLI, Ms. Nadege REBOUL, Mr. Jean-patrick PLASSIARD, Mr. Alexandru DANESCU, Ms. Sylviane DUBUJET, Ms. Marie CHAZE, Ms. Cécile NOUGUIER, Ms. Helen MAGOAREC, Mr. Francesco FROIIO and the rest of the staff in the building G8 for the kindness and friendship during my work.

A special thanks to Mutti, Fatti, Rani, Imran, Mas Inot, Rakha, and my dearest Victor. Thank you for your support, trust and love.

Lyon, 22 January 2009

Irma ALMANYA

**University of Indonesia** 

#### ABSTRACT

### MODELLING OF SOIL STRUCTURE BEHAVIOUR DURING MONOTONIC AND CYCLIC LOADING

By

Irma ALMANYA

Tutors : Dr. Eric VINCENS

Several studies have already been published in order to better understand the behaviour of interfaces. Different experimental methods, including direct shear tests, simple shear test, and tortional ring test, and also various constitutive models were presented to modelize the behaviour of the interface. These studies revealed that the main factors that affect the behaviour of the interface include the roughness of the interface, the soil mineralogy, the soil density, and the normal stress applied. This work was therefore directed primarily to better understand the overall behaviour of the interface and the influence of these factors in a monotonic and cyclical solicitation. Through the simulation of a direct shear test at constant normal stress (CNL) in FLAC 5.0, several typical behaviours, including degradation of shearing resistance and contractancydilatancy, have been observed and modelled. At first, the law of Mohr-Coulomb and its correspondent failure criterion have been implemented. Then several models have been proposed to model more precisely the behaviour. Finally, two cyclicals laws, the law of Ramberg-Osgood law and Byrne, originally developed for the soil, have been implemented in this model. This study has verified the consistency of the results and has determined whether the injection of such laws is sufficient to modelize the behaviour of the interface under cyclic loading.



This English version is a translation of the French version, if there any ambiguities in the phrases please refer to the French one.

Pour

ma mère et mon père, Dewi et Ir, ma sœur et mon frère, Rani et Imran, et pour Rakha et mon amour Victor

# **TABLE OF CONTEXT**

TITLE PAGE	<u> </u>
APPROVAL PAGE	II
DECLARATION OF ORIGINALITY	III
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	IV
ABSTRACT	V
TABLE OF CONTEXT	VIII
LIST OF NOTATIONS	XI
LISTE OF FIGURES	XIII
LISTE OF TABLE	XVIII
I. INTRODUCTION	1
I.1. BACKGROUNDS	1
I.2. OBJECTIVE <u>S</u>	2
I.3. CONTENTS	3
II. SYNTHESES OF EXPERIMENTAL BEHAVIOUR OF SOILS AND IN	TERFACES5
II.1. SOIL BEHAVIOUR UNDER A MONOTONIC LOADING	5
II.2. DEFINITION OF AN INTERFACE	8
U.3. DIRECT SHEAR TEST	10
II 3.1 CONSTANT NORMAL LOADING TEST (CNL)	14
II 3.2 CONSTANT NORMAL VOLUME TESTS (CNV)	15
II.3.3. CONSTANT NORMAL STIFFNESS TESTS (CNS)	15
II.4. INTERFACE BEHAVIOUR UNDER A MONOTONIC LOADING	16
II.4.1. REFERENCE STATES OF THE INTERFACE'S BEHAVIOUR	17
II.4.2. BEHAVIOUR ACCORDING TO DIFFERENT SHEAR STRAIN CURVES	18
II.4.3. BEHAVIOUR ACCORDING TO DIFFERENT INITIAL DENSITIES	20
II.4.4. INFLUENCE OF DIFFERENTS PARAMETERS	21
<b>II.5.</b> Cyclic behaviour of interface	25
II.5.1. CONSTANT NORMAL STRESS TEST	27

II.5.2. NULL NORMAL DISPLACEMENT TEST	30
II.6. PARTIAL CONCLUSION	32
III. INTERFACE MODELLING UNDER A MONOTONIC LOADING	33
III.1. MODEL DISCRIPTION	33
III.1.1. NON-LINEAR ELASTIC MODEL	34
III.1.2. FAILURE CRITERIUM	35
III.1.3. Shear stress softening model	35
III.1.4. DILATANCY MODEL	37
III.2. IDENTIFICATION OF THE MODEL PARAMETERS	40
III.2.1. ELASTICS PARAMETERS	40
III.2.2. THE DIFFERENTS STATES OF REFERENCES PARAMETERS FOR AN INTERFACE	42
III.2.3. STRESS-SOFTENING PARAMETERS	44
III.2.4. DILATANCY MODEL PARAMETERS	47
III.3. PARTIAL CONCLUSION	47
IV. INTERFACES MODELLING UNDER CYCLIC LOADING	49
IV.1. MODEL DISCRIPTION	49
IV.1.1. RAMBERG-OSGOOD'S MODEL	49
IV.1.2. THE BYRNE LAW	51
IV.2. IDENTIFICATION OF THE MODEL PARAMETERS	52
IV.2.1. RAMBERG-OSGOOD PARAMETERS	52
IV.2.2. BYRNE'S PARAMETERS	53
IV.3. PARTIAL CONCLUSION	55
V. IMPLEMENTATION ON FLAC	56
V.1. FLAC ARCHITECTURE	56
V.2. INTERFACE MODELLING WITH FLAC	57
V.3. DIRECT SHEAR STRESS MODELISATION IN FLAC	60
V.4. MONOTONE MODEL STRUCTURE	61
V.5. STRUCTURE DU MODÈLE CYCLIQUE	61
V.6. PEAK VALUE DETECTION	62
V.7. PARTIAL CONCLUSION	64
VI. RESULTATS	65
VI.1. RÉSULTATS DE LA SIMULATION D'UN ESSAI MONOTONE	66

<i>VI.1.1.</i> ROUGH INTERFACE WITH A DENSE SAND 66
<i>VI.1.2.</i> ROUGH INTERFACE AND LOOSE SAND 68
<i>VI.1.3.</i> Smooth interface smooth and loose sand 69
<i>VI.1.4.</i> Smooth interface and loose sand 71
VI.2. RESULTS OF CYCLICS SIMULATIONS 72
VI.2.1. SHEAR STRESS CURVE 72
VI.2.2. DISPLACEMENT CURVE 74
VI.3. PARTIAL CONCLUSION 77
VII.CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION78
VII 1 CONCLUSION 79
VII 2 RECOMMENDATION 70
VII.2. RECOMMENDATION
APPENDIX
APPENDIX A.DIRECT SHEAR STRESS RESULTS CURVES [SHAHROUR AND
REZAIE, 1997] A-1
APPENDIX B.SHEAR STRESS CURVEC FOR A MONOTONIC SOLICITATION
(WITH THE FINAL PARAMETERS) A-D
APPENDIX C.RESULTS OF MONOTONIC SIMULATION FOR A ROUGH
INTERFACE WITH DENSE SAND FOR DIFFERENTS VALUES OF
•car A-III
APPENDIX D.RESULTS OF A MONOTONIC SIMULATION FOR ROUGH
INTERFACE WITH DENSE SAND FOR DIFFERENTS VALUES OF
PARAMETRE n A-IV
APPENDIX E. RESULTS OF A MONOTONIC SIMULATION FOR A SMOOTH
INTERFACE WITH DENSE SAND FOR $\phi_{car} = 29^{\circ}$ A-v
APPENDIX F. THE USED BYRNE FONCTION FOR THE CYCLIC SIMULATION A-v
APPENDIX G.DIRECT SHEAR TEST ON FLAC A-vi
APPENDIX H.FLAC FOLDER (.FIN) A-x
REFERENCES A-XII

### LIST OF NOTATIONS

- $\Delta u_n^{irr}$  Irreversible normal displacement variation
  - $\phi$  Friction angle
  - au Shear stress
  - $\alpha$  Ramberg-Osgood model's parameter
  - $\varepsilon$  Deformation tensor
  - $\beta$  Dilatancy model's parameter
    - Amplitude of the tangential displacement,  $u_s$  at the
  - $\gamma$  considered  $\frac{1}{2}$  cycles
  - $\psi$  Dilatancy angle
- $\varepsilon^{e}$  Elastic part of the deformation tensor
- $\varepsilon^{p}$  Plastic part of the deformation tensor
- $\tau_c$  Cyclic shear stress at the last peak detected
- $\phi_{car}$  Characteristics state's friction angle
- $\phi_{cr}$  Critical state's friction angle
- $\phi_m$  Current friction angle
- $\phi_{max}$  Maximum shear resistance state friction angle
- $\sigma_n$  Effective normal stress
- $\sigma_{res}$  Effective reference normal stress (100 kPa)
- $\phi_{res}$  Large strain (residual) state's friction angle
- *a* Non-linear stiffness model's parameter
- *a*<sub>2</sub> Dilatancy model's parameter
- *b* Dilatancy model's parameter
- c Cohesion
- *C*<sub>1</sub> Byrne law's parameter
- *C*<sub>2</sub> Byrne law's parameter
- $D_{50}$  Average diameter of the soil particuls
- *ID* Initial relative density
- e Voids

$$ID = \frac{e_{\max} - e}{e_{\max} - e_{\min}}$$

 $e = V_{vide} / V_{solide}$ 

- G
  - Volume compresibility module of soil
- *K* Shear module of soil
- *k* Spring stiffness
- $k_n$  Interface's normal stiffness
- *k*<sub>s</sub> Interface's tangential stiffness
- *l* Interface's thickness
- $L_m$  Surface length
- M Characteristic state coefficient

 $M = \tan \phi_{car} = \frac{\tau_{car}}{\sigma_{n_{car}}}$ 

- *m* Massing law coefficient
- $M_{cr}$  Critical state coefficient on the shear stress curve ( $\tau$ , us)
- *n* Non-linear stiffness model's parameter (HERTZ law's parameter)
- *P<sub>h</sub>* Applied horizontal loading
- $P_v$  Applied vertical loading
- *R* Shear stress softening model
- *r* Ramberg-Osgood model's parameter
- *R<sub>cri</sub>* Limit value of the interface normalized roughness
- *R<sub>n</sub>* Interface normalized roughness
- *R<sub>t</sub>* Aspérité ou la rugosité maximum de surface de structure
- *u<sub>n</sub>* Normal displacement
- $u_n^{irr}$  Irreversible normal displacement
- *u*<sub>s</sub> Tangential displacement

 $R_n = \frac{R_t}{D_{50}}$ 

# **LISTE OF FIGURES**

Figure 1.	Different behaviours of soil, depending on its initial density during a drain
	direct shear test
Figure 2.	Different behaviours of soil, depending on its initial density during an
	undrain direct shear test
Figure 3.	Global behavor of an interface7
Figure 4.	Definition of the maximum roughness8
Figure 5.	Shear tests with differents values of $R_n$ . ( <i>ID</i> = 90%, $\sigma_n$ = 200 Kpa)9
Figure 6.	Interface system
Figure 7.	Schematic diagram of the direct shear test (Zhang, 2007)11
Figure 8.	Forces acting on the top half of the specimen12
Figure 9.	Constant Normal Stress Boundary Condition15
Figure 10.	Constant Normal Volume Boundary Condition15
Figure 11.	Constant Normal Stiffness Boundary Condition16
Figure 12.	Shear stress curve [Shahrour et Rezaie, 1997]
Figure 13.	Typical results for different types of direct shear test: (a) Shear stress curve
	; (b) Relation between shear stress and normal stress; (c) Normal
	displacement curve; (d) Normal stress curve19
Figure 14.	Stress ration for CNL tests, for loose sand with ID=15% and dense sand
	with ID=90% [Shahrour and Rezaie, 1997]20
Figure 15.	Normal displacement for CNL tests, for loose sand with ID=15% and
	dense sand with ID=90% [Shahrour et Rezaie, 1997]21
Figure 16.	Influence of the inteface's roughness [Fioravante, 2002]22
Figure 17.	Friction angle of the inteface as a function of $D_{50}$
Figure 18.	Shear stress curve for a CNL test for an interface of $R_n=0.5$ and dense sand
	of <i>ID</i> =90% [Hu et Pu, 2003]24
Figure 19.	Normal displacement curve for an interface of $R_n=0.5$ and dense sand of
	<i>ID</i> =90% [Hu et Pu, 2003]24
Figure 20.	Stress ration for a rough interface with a dense sand (ID=84%) for
	differents values of initial normale stress : a) CNL test; b) CNS test (k =
	800 kPa/mm) [Evgin et Fakharian, 1996]25

Figure 21.	Degradation of the interface's properties discovered from an instrumente
	probe test on a fontainebleau sand, tested in a calibration room [Le Kouby
	2003]

Figure 22. Interface behaviour (rough case,  $\sigma_n = 500$  kPa) studied on a direct shear box for a: (a) dense sand ; (b) loose sand [Fakharian and Evgin, 1993]....28

- Figure 26. Interface behaviour under cyclic loading at a zero normal displacement (CNV) for smooth interface: (a) dense sand (ID=90%); (b) loose sand (ID=15%) [Shahrour and Rezaie, 1997]......31

Figure 39.	Shear stress curve of the proposed model, with only one parameter, $a_2$ for a
	rough interface with dense sand45
Figure 40.	Influence of <i>b</i> on the shear stress-deplacement curve
Figure 41.	Example of calibration of $a_2$ and $b$ for a rough interface
Figure 42.	Influence of $\beta$ for an interface: (a) with dense sand ( <i>ID</i> =90%); (b) with
	loose sand ( <i>ID</i> =15%)
Figure 43.	Injection of the variations of the normal displacement due to the cyclic
	phenomena
Figure 44.	Influence of <i>r</i>
Figure 45.	Influence of <i>α</i>
Figure 46.	Byrne's parameters determination– Influence of $C_1$
Figure 47.	Byrne's parameters determination– Influence of $C_2$
Figure 48.	Basic explicit calculation cycle [ITASCA 2005]56
Figure 49.	Interface modelisation with FLAC [ITASCA 2005]57
Figure 50.	Interface modelling for a CNL test
Figure 51.	Interface modelling for a CNV test
Figure 52.	General flow chart for interface calculation
Figure 53.	The cyclic model algorithm for a ½ hysteric boucle
Figure 54.	Flow-chart of the interface calculation procedure
Figure 55.	Result of the simulation for a rough interface and a dense sand (ID=90%) :
	Displacement curve
Figure 56.	Result of the simulation for a rough interface and a dense sand (ID=90%) :
	Stress ratio curve
Figure 57.	Result of the simulation for a rough interface and loose sand (ID=15%):
	stress ratio curve
Figure 58.	Result of the simulation for a rough interface and a loose sand ( $ID = 15\%$ ):
	displacement curve
Figure 59.	Result of the simulation for a smooth interface and a dense sand (ID=90%)
	: stress ratio curve
Figure 60.	Result of the simulation for a smooth interface and a dense sand (ID=90%)
	: Displacement curve
Figure 61.	Result of the simulation for a smooth interface and a loose sand (ID=15%)
	: stress ration curve

Figure 62.	Result of the simulation for a smooth interface and a loose sand ( <i>ID</i> =15%):
	Displacement curve
Figure 63.	Shear stress curve for a rough interface with dense sand ( $ID=90\%$ ), during
	cyclic loading ( $\sigma_{n0} = 100 \text{ kPa}$ )
Figure 64.	Shear stress curve for a rough interface with loose sand ( $ID = 15\%$ ), during
	cyclic loading ( $\sigma_{n0} = 100 \text{ kPa}$ )
Figure 65.	Shear stress curve for a smooth interface with dense sand ( $ID = 90\%$ ),
	during a cyclic loading ( $\sigma_{n0} = 100 \text{ kPa}$ )
Figure 66.	Shear stress curve for a smooth interface with loose sand ( $ID = 15\%$ ),
	during a cyclic loading ( $\sigma_{n0} = 100 \text{ kPa}$ )
Figure 67.	Displacement curve for a rough interface with dense sand ( $ID = 90\%$ ),
	during cyclic loading ( $\sigma_{n0} = 100 \text{ kPa}$ )
Figure 68.	Displacement curve for a rough interface with loose sand ( $ID = 15\%$ ),
	during cyclic loading ( $\sigma_{n0} = 100 \text{ kPa}$ )
Figure 69.	Displacement curve for a smooth interface with dense sand ( $ID = 90\%$ ),
	during cyclic loading ( $\sigma_{n0} = 100 \text{ kPa}$ )
Figure 70.	Displacement curve for a smooth interface with loose sand ( $ID = 15\%$ ),
	during cyclic loading ( $\sigma_{n0} = 100 \text{ kPa}$ )
Figure 71.	Results curves for a smooth interface [Shahrour and Rezaie, 1997]A-i
Figure 72.	Results curves for a rough interface [Shahrour and Rezaie, 1997]A-i
Figure 73.	Shear stress curve for rough interface with : a) dense sand ( <i>ID</i> =90%) ; b)
	loose sand ( <i>ID</i> =15%)
Figure 74.	Shear stress curve for smooth interface with: a) dense sand ( <i>ID</i> =90%); b)
	loose sand (ID=15%)
Figure 75.	Displacement curve and shear stress curve of a CNL simulation for a rough
	interface with dense sand ( $\phi_{car}=26^\circ$ )
Figure 76.	Displacement curve and shear stress curve of a CNL simulation for a rough
	interface with dense sand ( $\phi_{car}=29^\circ$ )
Figure 77.	Displacement curve and shear stress curve of a CNL simulation for a rough
	interface with dense sand ( $\phi_{car}=30^\circ$ )
Figure 78.	Stress ratio of a CNL simulation for a rough interface rough with dense
	sand ( <i>n</i> =0,65)

Figure 79.	Stress ratio of a CNL simulation for a rough interface rough with dense
	sand ( <i>n</i> =0,8)A-iv
Figure 80.	Stress ratio of a CNL simulation for a rough interface rough with dense
	sand ( <i>n</i> =1)A-iv
Figure 81.	Displacement curve and shear stress curve of a monotonic simulation for a
	interface with dense sand ( $\phi_{car} = 29^{\circ}$ )A-v
Figure 82.	Byrne fonction for cyclic solicitation for rough interfaces with: a) dense
	sand ( <i>ID</i> =90%); b) loose sand ( <i>ID</i> =15%)A-v
Figure 83.	Byrne fonction for cyclic solicitation for smooth interfaces with: a) dense



# LISTE OF TABLE

Table 1.	Friction angle's interval	44
Table 2.	Physical parameters of sand Hostun	65
Table 3.	Maximum friction angle of soil-structure interface [Shahrour and R	Rezaie,
	1997]	65
Table 4.	Basic data of the interface	66
Table 5.	Monotone model parameters	66
Table 6.	Cyclic model parameters	72

