

## **Penyadapan (wiretapping): suatu tinjauan tentang legalitas dalam pelaksanaan tugas jaksa guna penanganan perkara tindak pidana korupsi**

Deskripsi Dokumen: <http://lib.ui.ac.id/bo/uibo/detail.jsp?id=110766&lokasi=lokal>

---

### **Abstrak**

Corruption Handling is the main priority in law enforcement now a day. Attorney General Office is open of the main essence to put it real. According to the rule, Attorney General Office and its personnel have the heaviest duty such as a prosecutor and also an investigator on s special cases included corruption cases. Based on the authority as an investigator in corruption cases, public attorney have special task in Article 26 Law Number 31 year 1999 which reform by Law Number. 20 Year 2001 Which says : "Investigating, Prosecuting, and Hearing in trial of corruption field based on the criminal procedure, unless it says differently in this Law" and clearance in the explanation of Article 26 which says "The Investigator authority in this article included the authority to wiretapping'. Based on this authority, public attorney can do the wiretapping while handling the Corruption Cases. With this wiretapping authority occurs some problem that important to researched, there are the legality of wiretapping by public attorney as investigator, the relation between wiretapping and Human Rights, and the value of evidence from the result of wiretapping in the court. With those problems concern to be blur in law enforcement these thesis will discuss the problem occurred from wiretapping and how to solved and explain all those things to make it clearly of how to handling the problem, with this writing hopefully we will have clearness about the other problems which occur from the investigator authority of wiretapping